

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

**IN THE MATTER OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF)
NEW MEXICO'S FIRST ANNUAL GRID)
MODERNIZATION REVIEW FILING PURSUANT)
TO THE COMMISSION'S FINAL ORDER)**

Case No. 25-004-UT

**)
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO,)
)
Applicant.)**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF
ERFAN HAKIMIAN**

June 20, 2025

**NMPRC CASE NO. 25-00__-UT
ANNUAL COMPLIANCE FILING
INDEX TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
ERFAN HAKIMIAN**

**WITNESS FOR
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO**

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE 1

II. FEEDERS AT SOLAR INTERCONNECTION CAPACITY LIMIT..... 2

PNM Exhibit EH-1	Resume
PNM Exhibit EH-2	Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions
PNM Exhibit EH-3	Engineering Plans for State Pen Feeder 12
Affidavit	

**DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF ERFAN HAKIMIAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 25-00___-UT**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

Q. Please state your name, position and business address.

A. My name is Erfan Hakimian, I am the Director of Transmission / Distribution Planning and Contracts for Public Service Company of New Mexico (“PNM” or “Company”). My business address is 2401 Aztec Road NE, Albuquerque, NM 87107. I am testifying on behalf of PNM.

Q. Please summarize your educational background and professional qualifications.

A. My educational background and professional experience are summarized in PNM Exhibit EH-1.

Q. Please describe your responsibilities as Director of Transmission / Distribution Planning and Contracts.

A. As Director of Transmission / Distribution Planning and Contracts, I am responsible for overseeing the evaluation of the existing transmission and distribution system planning functions, analyzing system deficiencies, and creating plans for the capital expansion of these systems. I am also responsible for the distribution energy engineering department which oversees the interconnection of generator interconnections to the PNM system under 17.9.568 NMAC (“Rule 568”).

**DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF ERFAN HAKIMIAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 25-00___-UT**

1 **Q. Please state the purpose of your direct testimony.**

2 **A.** The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the feeders on the PNM distribution
3 system that have reached hosting capacity limits and to provide engineering plans
4 to increase the hosting capacity on these feeders. I will also discuss how PNM has
5 conducted its hosting capacity analysis up to today and the solutions that PNM has
6 studied for feeders that might soon reach hosting capacity limits.

7

8 **II. FEEDERS AT SOLAR INTERCONNECTION CAPACITY LIMIT**

9 **Q. How many feeders does PNM have on which it believes solar interconnection**
10 **capacity limits have been reached?**

11 **A.** PNM currently has one feeder at its hosting capacity limit, which is State Pen feeder
12 12. Previously, on August 23, 2024, PNM provided the Commission with a study
13 “Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions,” performed by 1898 & Co.,
14 in which there were 18 feeders identified that were at or near hosting capacity
15 limits.¹ That study is included here, for the Commission’s convenience, as PNM
16 Exhibit EH-2. The study includes timelines and represents a complete itemized
17 report with engineering plan for each feeder. Of those 18 feeders, two feeders were
18 at hosting capacity limit. These two feeders were State Pen feeder 12 and Los
19 Morros feeder 12. Upgrades were performed and completed on November 25,
20 2024, on Los Morros feeder 12 to increase the hosting capacity on that feeder and

¹ Case No. 23-00072-UT, *PNM’s Amended Motion for Extension of Variances from 17.9.568 NMAC*, at Attachment A (Aug. 23, 2024).

**DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF ERFAN HAKIMIAN
NMPRC CASE NO. 25-00___-UT**

1 the feeder was opened for regular screening. A report was submitted to the
2 Commission on April 28, 2025, which included an update for those two feeders.²
3 PNM Exhibit EH-3 shows the engineering plans for State Pen Feeder 12.

4

5 **Q. Do you have estimated capital costs associated with upgrading the feeder**
6 **identified at capacity?**

7 **A.** Yes. In the 1898 & Co. study, a variation of traditional feeder upgrades, Battery
8 Energy Storage Systems (“BESS”), dedicated feeders or a combination of solutions
9 were analyzed. A cost benefit analysis (“CBA”) was performed for each feeder.

10

11 The estimated capital cost is \$2.2 million to make the upgrades to the conductors
12 and wires and increase the hosting capacity on State Pen feeder 12. Estimated
13 capital costs for all feeders that are nearing hosting capacity limits are included in
14 PNM Exhibit EH-2.

15

16 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

17 **A.** Yes.

GCG # 533851

² Case No. 23-00072-UT, PNM’s Report on Variance Request No. 1 pursuant to Commission Order (Apr. 28, 2025).

Erfan Hakimian's Resume

PNM Exhibit EH-1

Is contained in the following page.

Erfan Hakimian
Educational and Professional Summary

Name: Erfan Hakimian

Address: Public Service Company of New Mexico
2401 Aztec Rd NE
MS Z220
Albuquerque, NM 87107

Position: Director, Transmission and Distribution Planning and Contracts

Education: Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering, University of New Mexico, 2013
Master of Business Administration, Grand Canyon University, 2018

Employment: Employed by PNM since 2013:
Positions held with the Company include:
Director, Transmission and Distribution Planning and Contracts
Manager, Strategic Asset Management Department
Engineer III, Technical Maintenance Management Department
Senior Key Account Manager, Key Accounts Team
Engineer I, Distribution Engineering

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions

PNM Exhibit EH-2

Is contained in the following 225 pages.



RULE 568 HOSTING CAPACITY IMPROVEMENT SOLUTIONS - FINAL

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO

PROJECT NO. 171847

REVISION 0

August 23, 2024



Disclaimer

1898 & Co.® is a part of Burns & McDonnell that performs or provides business, technology, and consulting services. 1898 & Co. does not provide legal, accounting, or tax advice. The reader is responsible for obtaining independent advice concerning these matters. That advice should be considered by reader, as it may affect the content, opinions, advice, or guidance given by 1898 & Co. Further, 1898 & Co. has no obligation and has made no undertaking to update these materials after the date hereof, notwithstanding that such information may become outdated or inaccurate. These materials serve only as the focus for consideration or discussion; they are incomplete without the accompanying oral commentary or explanation and may not be relied on as a stand-alone document.

The information, analysis, and opinions contained in this material are based on publicly available sources, secondary market research, and financial or operational information, or otherwise information provided by or through 1898 & Co. clients whom have represented to 1898 & Co. they have received appropriate permissions to provide to 1898 & Co., and as directed by such clients, that 1898 & Co. is to rely on such client-provided information as current, accurate, and complete. 1898 & Co. has not conducted complete or exhaustive research, or independently verified any such information utilized herein, and makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, that such information is current, accurate, or complete. Projected data and conclusions contained herein are based (unless sourced otherwise) on the information described above and are the opinions of 1898 & Co. which should not be construed as definitive forecasts and are not guaranteed. Present and future conditions may vary greatly from those utilized or assumed by 1898 & Co.

1898 & Co. has no control over weather; cost and availability of labor, material, and equipment; labor productivity; energy or commodity pricing; demand or usage; population demographics; market conditions; changes in technology, and other economic or political factors affecting such estimates, analyses, and recommendations. To the fullest extent permitted by law, 1898 & Co. shall have no liability whatsoever to any reader or any other third party, and any third party hereby waives and releases any rights and claims it may have at any time against 1898 & Co. and any Burns & McDonnell affiliated company, with regard to this material, including but not limited to the accuracy or completeness thereof.

Any entity in possession of, or that reads or otherwise utilizes information herein is assumed to have executed or otherwise be responsible and obligated to comply with the contents of any Confidentiality Agreement and shall hold and protect its contents, information, forecasts, and opinions contained herein in confidence and not share with others without prior written authorization.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

CONTENTS

1.0	Executive Summary	1
1.1	Pending Customer PV Interconnection Analysis	1
1.2	Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Analysis	2
1.3	Financial Analysis of Solutions	2
1.4	Portfolio of Recommended Capital Project Solutions	5
2.0	Inputs and Assumptions	7
2.1	PV Saturated Feeders	7
2.2	Pending Customer PV Interconnections	8
2.2.1	Pending Customers PV Interconnections in 2024	8
2.3	Hosting Capacity Planning Criteria and Considerations	9
2.3.1	Distribution Feeder DER Inverter Limit	9
2.3.2	DER Integration Plan - Anticipated Capital Improvements	9
2.3.3	System Improvements Standard Equipment	10
2.3.4	Battery Energy Storage Systems	10
2.4	Copperleaf - Value Models	11
2.4.1	Capital Project Cost	11
2.4.2	New Distributed PV Generation Distribution Feeder Benefit	11
2.4.3	New Distributed PV Generation System Benefit	14
2.4.4	Increase in Load Serving Capacity	14
2.4.5	Evening Hours BESS Capacity/Capacity Over Risk Hours	15
2.4.6	BESS Energy Supplied to the System	15
2.4.7	Energy Arbitrage	15
2.4.8	Avoided Curtailment (Contractual Take or Pay)	16
2.4.9	Public Perception for Improving Hosting Capacity	17
3.0	Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Evaluation Approach	18
3.1	Hosting Capacity Analysis and Capital Project Solutions	18
3.2	Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Cost Estimate	20
3.2.1	BESS Construction Cost Estimate	20
3.2.2	Substation Upgrades to Enable Dedicated Feeder Construction Cost Estimate	21
3.3	Financial Analysis	21
4.0	Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Analysis	22
4.1	Base Case Hosting Capacity Analysis	22
4.1.1	Feeders with Max Thermal Loading Violations	23
4.1.2	Feeders with Max Voltage Near Planning Criteria	23
4.2	Pending Customer PV Interconnections Review	24
4.2.1	Feeders Where Pending PV Projects can Interconnect	24
4.2.2	Feeders Where Pending PV Projects Cannot Interconnect	25
4.3	Feeder Upgrades	25
4.3.1	Conductor Upgrades to Improve Feeder Rating	26

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

4.3.2	Protection Upgrades	26
4.4	Feeder Upgrades and Charging BESS at 2 MVA / 6 MVA	26
4.4.1	BESS Charging at 2 MVA / 6 MVA without Feeder Upgrades	27
4.4.2	Distribution Feeder Inverter Capacity Limit	28
4.4.3	Protection Upgrades with BESS	28
4.5	Build a Dedicated Feeder to Existing Large-Scale PV Sites	29
4.5.1	Substation Upgrades	29
4.5.2	Additional Load Serving Benefit	30
4.6	Hosting Capacity Improvement Observations	30
5.0	Financial Analysis	32
5.1	Feeder Upgrades	33
5.2	Feeder Upgrades and BESS Charging at 2 MVA	34
5.2.1	BESS Charging at 2 MVA without Feeder Upgrades	34
5.3	Feeder Upgrades with BESS Charging at 6 MVA	36
5.3.1	BESS Charging at 6 MVA without Feeder Upgrades	36
5.4	Dedicated Feeder Buildout	38
5.5	Capital Project Portfolios	38
6.0	Conclusion	41
6.1	Pending Customer PV Interconnection Analysis	41
6.2	Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Analysis	42
6.3	Portfolio of Recommended Capital Project Solutions	43
7.0	Appendix - Feeder Analysis	45

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Evaluated	1
Figure 1-2: Hosting Capacity Increase per Solution Results	2
Figure 2-1: PV Output % 24 Hour Profile	13
Figure 2-2: New Distributed PV Generation Benefit Over Time	14
Figure 2-3: Avoided Curtailment Benefit Over Time	17
Figure 3-1: Hosting Capacity Analysis and Capital Projects Approach	19
Figure 4-1: Maximum Synergi Hosting Capacity Results	30
Figure 6-1: Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Evaluated	41
Figure 6-2: Hosting Capacity Increase per Solution Results	42

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

TABLES

Table 1-1: Hosting Capacity Benefit Cost Portfolio	4
Table 1-2: Holistic System Benefit Cost Portfolio	4
Table 1-3: Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Portfolio	6
Table 2-1: PNM Feeders at PV Saturation	7
Table 2-2: Pending Customer PV Interconnections as of January 1, 2024	8
Table 2-3: 2024 Pending Customer PV Interconnections Between 1/1/2024 and 8/19/2024	9
Table 2-4: System Improvement Upgrades	10
Table 2-5: BESS Operation Scenarios	11
Table 2-6: Daylight Time Periods for PV Studies	12
Table 4-1: Feeders at Solar Saturation	22
Table 4-2: Synergi Base Case Hosting Capacity Results	23
Table 4-3: Synergi Power Flow Analysis with Pending PV Interconnections Modeled	24
Table 4-4: Feeder Upgrades Summary Results	25
Table 4-5: BESS Charging Hosting Capacity Results with Feeder Upgrades	27
Table 4-6: Hosting Capacity Results with BESS Charging and no Feeder Upgrades	28
Table 4-7: Dedicated Feeder Buildout Results	29
Table 4-8: Maximum Synergi Hosting Capacity Results Summary	31
Table 5-1: Feeder Upgrades Financial Analysis Results	33
Table 5-2: Feeder Upgrades and BESS Charging at 2 MVA Financial Analysis Results	34
Table 5-3: BESS Charging at 2 MVA without Feeder Upgrades Financial Analysis Results	35
Table 5-4: Feeder Upgrades with BESS Charging at 6 MVA Financial Analysis Results	36
Table 5-5: BESS Charging at 6 MVA without Feeder Upgrades Financial Analysis Results	37
Table 5-6: Dedicated Feeder Buildout Financial Analysis	38
Table 5-7: Hosting Capacity Benefit Cost Portfolio	40
Table 5-8: Holistic Financial Benefit Cost Portfolio	40
Table 6-1: Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Portfolio	44

1.0 Executive Summary

Photovoltaic (PV) penetration is growing within the Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM or the Company) system. High PV penetration can present benefits but can also result in challenges for operating and maintaining the distribution system. In response to rule 568 PNM was granted partial variance in NMPRC Order 23-00072-UT-2023-06-14 to conduct studies to evaluate **PNM’s technical concerns regarding Variance requests 1, 2, and 3.** As ordered, PNM worked with the Department of Energy (DOE) and the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) to design the pilot study. The purpose of the pilot study was to better understand the actual limits on distribution feeders for hosting new PV generation given the levels of PV penetration PNM is experiencing and identify potential solutions to increase the hosting capacity on the PV saturated feeders. That report¹ was submitted to the NMPRC on May 23, 2024.

In this report, after understanding the limitations of distribution feeders, multiple hosting capacity improvement solutions were evaluated for 18 **of PNM’s PV** saturated feeders. The types of hosting capacity improvement solutions that were evaluated are shown in Figure 1-1. A financial analysis was then performed to understand the value of each solution and ultimately recommend a portfolio of hosting capacity improvement projects for these PV saturated feeders.

Figure 1-1: Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Evaluated



1.1 Pending Customer PV Interconnection Analysis

As of January 1, 2024, 13 of the 18 PV saturated feeders had pending customer PV interconnections. Based on the results of the first hosting capacity study report, it was determined that there was remaining hosting capacity on many of the PV saturated feeders. For 11 of the 13 feeders evaluated, all pending PV customers modeled can successfully interconnect without any system improvements. With the proposed hosting capacity improvement solutions constructed, all pending customer PV, through August 19, 2024, can successfully interconnect.

Going forward, all applications on PV saturated feeders will follow the rule 568 screening process. Since these feeders have reverse power flow at minimum load timeframes, they will fail the 100%

¹Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Analysis Report
https://edocket.prc.nm.gov/AspSoft/HandlerDocument.ashx?document_id=1233550

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

of minimum load screen and require supplemental review. Under supplemental review, each application will be studied to see if there is remaining hosting capacity to safely interconnect.

1.2 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Analysis

All capital project solutions investigated for the 18 PV saturated feeders resulted in an increase in hosting capacity. However, the increase in hosting capacity varied across the feeder and was influenced by several factors. The dedicated feeder buildout scenario most often provided the greatest increase in hosting capacity while feeder upgrades generally provided a marginal increase in hosting capacity. For some of the feeders, upgrades were not applicable because the feeder is presently built to the maximum PNM standard ratings and no increase in hosting capacity is shown for that scenario. Figure 1-2 shows the Synergi analysis hosting capacity increase for each capital project alternative relative to the base case model results.

Figure 1-2: Hosting Capacity Increase per Solution Results



Note: An absent vertical bar indicates that hosting capacity increase was not applicable for the given scenario.

1.3 Financial Analysis of Solutions

Two perspectives were used to evaluate all hosting capacity improvement solutions on PV saturated feeders. These perspectives differ by valuing hosting capacity improvement alone, versus taking into consideration the overall system benefits provided by the solution, including both transmission and distribution system benefits.

Hosting Capacity Benefit Cost - solutions were scored based only on the increase in hosting capacity relative to the cost. This perspective does not consider the overall system benefits provided by each solution.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Table 1-1 presents the portfolio of capital project solutions that scored the highest using the hosting capacity benefit cost perspective. Feeder upgrades or dedicated feeder buildout were the types of solutions that scored the highest using the hosting capacity benefit cost perspective.

Holistic System Benefit Cost - solutions were scored based on the value provided by the new PV generation enabled by hosting capacity and by overall system benefits. The complete value of BESS to the PNM system was more holistically considered from this perspective as battery storage can improve distribution feeder hosting capacity as well as provide transmission system benefits. See Section 2.4 for further explanation of the value models used for this analysis.

Table 1-2 presents the portfolio of hosting capacity improvement solutions that scored the highest using a holistic system benefit cost perspective. Considering this holistic value perspective, the 6 MVA BESS solution scored highest for most feeders. However, feeder upgrades in addition to the BESS were not recommended in all instances due to high costs.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Table 1-1: Hosting Capacity Benefit Cost Portfolio

Feeder	Capital Project Category	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)
A10083	Dedicated Feeder	4,426	\$4,511,562	0.98
ARIB11	Feeder Upgrades	1,090	\$156,470	6.97
COLL12	Feeder Upgrades	1,020	\$151,149	6.75
DEMW11	Dedicated Feeder*	8,072	\$8,420,781	0.96
ELCE11	Dedicated Feeder*	8,091	\$15,970,100	0.51
GOLD13	Dedicated Feeder	10,743	\$1,600,027	6.71
HOND12	Feeder Upgrades	2,390	\$2,435,763	0.98
JARA12	Dedicated Feeder	8,742	\$5,881,256	1.49
LOHO12	Dedicated Feeder*	9,758	\$4,134,726	2.36
LOHO13	Dedicated Feeder*	9,898	\$4,956,000	2.00
LOHO14	Dedicated Feeder*	9,760	\$4,043,470	2.41
LSMO12	Feeder Upgrades	1,469	\$885,270	1.66
LSMO21	Feeder Upgrades	1,944	\$878,801	2.21
PROG13	Dedicated Feeder*	7,646	\$19,546,830	0.39
SCEN12	Feeder Upgrades	1,262	\$1,967,632	0.64
SOCO12	Feeder Upgrades	1,943	\$183,615	10.58
STPE12	Dedicated Feeder*	8,367	\$11,316,078	0.74
TOME12	Feeder Upgrades	2,620	\$82,117	31.91
Total	-	99,241	\$87,121,647	-

*A new substation transformer/upgraded substation transformer is required for the dedicated feeder buildout solution. Five (5) total new substation transformers would be required if this portfolio were constructed.

Table 1-2: Holistic System Benefit Cost Portfolio

Feeder	Capital Project Category	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Holistic Financial Benefit Cost Ratio Score
A10083	6 MVA BESS	5,637	\$14,350,000	1.37
ARIB11	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	5,841	\$14,506,470	1.37
COLL12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	7,187	\$14,501,149	1.38
DEMW11	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	4,794	\$14,892,473	1.34
ELCE11	6 MVA BESS	2,531	\$14,350,000	1.31
GOLD13	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	5,699	\$14,350,000	1.39
HOND12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	8,116	\$16,785,763	1.37
JARA12	6 MVA BESS	3,897	\$14,350,000	1.39
LOHO12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	6,050	\$14,506,470	1.37
LOHO13	6 MVA BESS	5,079	\$14,350,000	1.39
LOHO14	6 MVA BESS	5,512	\$14,350,000	1.39
LSMO12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	6,980	\$15,385,271	1.30
LSMO21	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	6,802	\$15,228,801	1.27
PROG13	6 MVA BESS	2,425	\$14,350,000	1.62
SCEN12	Feeder Upgrades	1,262	\$1,967,632	1.84
SOCO12	Feeder Upgrades	1,943	\$183,615	20.07
STPE12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	6,207	\$18,664,629	1.10
TOME12	Feeder Upgrades	2,620	\$82,117	39.92
Total	-	88,582	\$227,154,390	-

Note: 15-6 MVA BESS systems are included in this portfolio focusing on the holistic financial benefit cost analysis. This investment would result in 90 MVA of BESS capacity and 360 MWH of energy storage.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

1.4 Portfolio of Recommended Capital Project Solutions

Table 1-3 provides the recommended portfolio of capital project solutions to improve hosting capacity on the PV saturated feeders while also providing the greatest value to PNM customers. Engineering judgement, in combination with the two-perspective financial analysis contained in the tables above, was used to determine this proposed solution portfolio.

In selecting this portfolio of solutions, the BESS solutions were considered valuable because of the hosting capacity increase on the local distribution feeder, but also because of the ability to avoid investments in other parts of the system. PNM foresees battery storage as a need moving forward in the clean energy transition. By building BESS on these distribution feeders, less battery storage must be constructed on the transmission system. While the dedicated feeder buildout solution typically resulted in the greatest hosting capacity increase for distribution feeders, these investments provide no benefit to other parts of the overall PNM system which can also become constrained as PV levels rise. The transmission system can be constrained during times of low load and high PV generation. Even after performing dedicated feeder buildouts, additional transmission system upgrades could be required in certain areas which would significantly impact the cost of **upgrades to improve PNM's** overall system hosting capacity. BESS solutions located in proximity to PV generation can reduce PV generation power flow on the transmission system and improve transmission congestion in lieu of transmission upgrades.

PNM plans to prioritize the feeder upgrades in the near-term to unlock incremental hosting capacity quickly and enable more customers to connect their new PV systems successfully. The proposed 6 MVA BESS systems can be constructed as PV penetration levels rise locally to improve feeder hosting capacity or BESS systems can be constructed to obtain the overall system benefits captured in the holistic system benefit analysis. Timelines are provided for the individual projects in Table 1-3 below. Where feeder upgrades and a 6 MVA BESS are proposed, the first timeline is for the feeder upgrades only. The second timeline is for the BESS construction. The timeline to construct the overall portfolio will be greater than three years as it will be subject to budget allocations.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Table 1-3: Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Portfolio

Feeder	Capital Project Solution Category	Increase in Hosting Capacity kVA	Capital Project Cost	Construction Timeline
A10083	6 MVA BESS	5,637	\$14,350,000	3 Years
ARIB11	Dedicated Feeder	9,960	\$8,245,205	3 Years
COLL12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	7,187	\$14,501,149	1 Year / 3 Years
DEMW11**	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	4,794	\$14,892,473	1 Year / 3 Years
ELCE11	6 MVA BESS	2,531	\$14,350,000	3 Years
GOLD13**	Dedicated Feeder	10,743	\$1,600,027	1 Year
HOND12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	8,116	\$16,785,763	1 Year / 3 Years
JARA12	6 MVA BESS	3,897	\$14,350,000	3 Years
LOHO12	Dedicated Feeder	9,758	\$4,134,726	3 Years
LOHO13	Dedicated Feeder	9,898	\$4,956,000	3 Years
LOHO14	Dedicated Feeder	9,760	\$4,043,470	3 Years
LSMO12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	6,980	\$15,385,271	1 Year / 3 Years
LSMO21	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	6,802	\$15,228,801	1 Year / 3 Years
PROG13	6 MVA BESS	2,425	\$14,350,000	3 Years
SCEN12	Feeder Upgrades	1,262	\$1,967,632	1 Year
SOCO12*	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	5,291	\$-	Installed
STPE12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	8,367	\$18,664,629	2 Years / 3 Years
TOME12*	6 MVA BESS	6,460	\$-	Installed
-	-	119,868	\$177,805,146	-

*SOCO12 & TOME12 were selected for the Phase I BESS installations and are installed. Phase I selection was based on different criteria. The feeder upgrades only solution scored highest for these feeders because it provided a least cost alternative to provide an increase in hosting capacity. The 6 MVA BESS that is installed provides more hosting capacity for future customer interconnections.

**For DEMW11 & GOLD13, the SGIA has not been constructed. The proposed capital project solution would not be constructed unless the SGIA moves forward with construction, or if pending customers are not able to connect to the PNM system without system improvements built.

2.0 Inputs and Assumptions

Several distribution feeders within the PNM system already have large amounts of interconnected PV generation. High PV penetration can present challenges for operating and maintaining the distribution system. The purpose of this evaluation was to understand the cost of various hosting capacity improvement solutions and the value of these projects. **As in PNM’s previous report**, Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Study Analysis Study - Final, dated May 22, 2024 and filed with the NMPRC on May 23, 2024, Synergi Electric is the modeling tool PNM uses for its Distribution System Planning Analysis. This same tool was used for the evaluation of Hosting Capacity solutions in this study. As stated in the previous report, several inputs and assumptions are required to build and prepare distribution feeder models for analysis. These assumptions are described in **Section 2 of PNM’s** previous report. Only new assumptions required for this evaluation are discussed in this report.

2.1 PV Saturated Feeders

For this report, PNM evaluated 18 distribution feeders classified to be at PV Saturation, where generation capacity exceeds 90% of the feeder rating. Presently, when a feeder is classified to be at PV Saturation, new interconnection requests are placed on hold until further study can be performed, and if necessary, system upgrades constructed. Table 2-1 shows the 18 distribution feeders with the associated region identified.

Table 2-1: PNM Feeders at PV Saturation

Feeder	Region
A10083	Alamogordo
ARIB11	Santa Fe
COLL12	Albuquerque
DEMW11	Deming
ELCE11	Albuquerque
GOLD13	Deming
HOND12	Deming
JARA12	Albuquerque
LOHO12	Albuquerque
LOHO13	Albuquerque
LOHO14	Albuquerque
LSMO12	Albuquerque
LSMO21	Albuquerque
PROG13	Albuquerque
SCEN12	Albuquerque
SOCO12	Albuquerque
STPE12	Santa Fe
TOME12	Albuquerque

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

2.2 Pending Customer PV Interconnections

Presently, customers requesting to interconnect to feeders at PV saturation have been placed on hold until further study could be performed. Pending PV interconnections, as of January 1st, 2024, are summarized in Table 2-2. Prior to evaluating solutions to increase hosting capacity, these pending PV interconnections were modeled, and power flow analysis performed, to determine if these customers could successfully interconnect to the PNM system without causing any negative system impacts. Section 4.2 shows the analysis performed to identify which pending customer PV interconnections can be successfully connected to the PNM system without any feeder modifications.

Table 2-2: Pending Customer PV Interconnections as of January 1, 2024

Feeder	Number of Pending Interconnections	Pending Interconnection Capacity kVA (AC)
A10083	4	30
COLL12	2	11
ELCE11	19	107
HOND12	22	125
JARA12	3	18
LOHO12	2	13
LSMO12	17	72
LSMO21	3	22
PROG13	58	330
SCEN12	35	225
SOCO12	55	371
STPE12	23	1,137
TOME12	29	174
Total	270	2,635

2.2.1 Pending Customers PV Interconnections in 2024

Since January 1, 2024, seven additional customers have applied to interconnect new PV systems on these PV saturated feeders. These customers were again placed on hold since the evaluation documented in this report was already underway. Table 2-3 shows the pending customer PV interconnections as of August 19, 2024. Once the hosting capacity solution was determined for these PV saturated feeders, and applied to the planning model, the pending customer PV interconnections were modeled to confirm successful interconnection.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Table 2-3: 2024 Pending Customer PV Interconnections Between 1/1/2024 and 8/19/2024

Feeder	Number of additional Pending Interconnections	Pending Interconnection Capacity kVA (AC)
ARIB11	1	6
COLL12	1	5
HOND12	3	25
SCEN12	1	6
SOCO12	1	9
Total	7	51

2.3 Hosting Capacity Planning Criteria and Considerations

Thermal loading and voltage are the main criteria that influence hosting capacity analysis within the Synergi software platform. PNM standard planning criteria were applied to this hosting capacity analysis and are listed in detail in Section 2 of **PNM's** first hosting capacity report. Various system improvements were evaluated for each feeder to improve hosting capacity limits. For select feeders, battery energy storage was also evaluated to understand the impact to hosting capacity where large-scale solar facilities are presently operating.

2.3.1 Distribution Feeder DER Inverter Limit

PNM published a DER integration plan² that outlined their approach to increasing hosting capacity on distribution feeders. This report also discusses a PV inverter penetration limit of 150% of the feeder rating. For distribution feeders to achieve this level of PV penetration, system upgrades and BESS are generally required to regulate power flow on the feeder and avoid thermal or voltage violations. This PV inverter penetration limit was incorporated into this evaluation so that hosting capacity was not overstated for distribution feeders after various types of system improvements were applied.

2.3.2 DER Integration Plan - Anticipated Capital Improvements

The previous report **recommends changes to PNM's initial DER Integration Plan**. In Sections 1.2.2 and 9.5, the report recommends that at 60% PV penetration, feeder upgrades should be performed to bring a distribution feeder to the current PNM standard rating. Next the report stated that at 80% PV penetration, BESS systems should be constructed to continue increasing the hosting capacity of feeders as PV penetration increases. If this updated DER integration plan had been in place while PV penetration levels rose on these PV saturated feeders, hosting capacity improvement projects could have been constructed as needed to avoid delaying new customer PV interconnections. However, because these feeders are already beyond 90% PV penetration, a

² https://edocket.prc.nm.gov/AspSoft/HandlerDocument.ashx?document_id=1226661

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

detailed evaluation of each feeder was required. Going forward, the updated DER integration plan will provide criteria for upgrades on feeders that are not presently at PV saturation as the total generation rises.

2.3.3 System Improvements Standard Equipment

Table 2-4 shows the standard equipment sizes and configurations that are commonly used for planning studies at PNM. Equipment and conductor upgrades were investigated within this evaluation to understand if certain upgrades could improve **a distribution feeder’s hosting capacity limits**.

Table 2-4: System Improvement Upgrades

System Improvement	Standard Size
Lateral Conductor Upgrade	#2 ACSR or 2/0 ACSR
Main Line Conductor Upgrade	397 AAC @ 570
Lateral Cable Upgrade	1/0 AL
Main Line Cable Upgrade	750 CU or 750 AL
New Capacitor Bank	1,200 kVAR Switched
New Voltage Regulator	501 kVA
New Switch Overhead	SCADA Control Pole Top Switch
New Underground Switch	Various SCADA Controlled PME Configurations

2.3.4 Battery Energy Storage Systems

BESSs are another solution for improving hosting capacity on a distribution feeder when operated and optimized by PNM for this benefit. Battery storage can consume excess PV generation on a distribution feeder and can increase the minimum daylight gross load. Battery storage may be an option for improving hosting capacity for feeders that are at solar saturation where feeder upgrades have maximized the hosting capacity they can support. Table 2-5 shows the two scenarios of battery storage system specifications used for this evaluation. First, a 6 MW battery will be installed with a charge rate of 2 MW representing a control scheme where the battery can charge for an extended amount of time during daytime hours. Second, a 6 MW battery will be installed with a charge rate of 6 MW using the full capability of the equipment. It is anticipated that a BESS installation operating to the full capability may require additional investment into communications infrastructure to monitor power flow and customer service voltage more accurately on the feeder.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Table 2-5: BESS Operation Scenarios

Battery	Rating	Operational Charge Rate	Energy Storage
BESS Scenario 1	6 MW	2 MW	24 MW Hours
BESS Scenario 2	6 MW	6 MW	24 MW Hours

2.4 Copperleaf - Value Models

Copperleaf is a decision analytics tool primarily used for asset investment planning and management, particularly in asset-intensive industries such as energy, utilities, and infrastructure. It helps organizations maximize capital efficiency, manage asset risk, and achieve environmental, social, and governance (ESG) goals by providing a comprehensive platform for making data-driven investment decisions. Overall, Copperleaf's solutions are designed to help organizations navigate complex investment decisions by providing a unified platform that aligns financial, environmental, and community objectives. To obtain robust results, a utility must **thoughtfully develop various “value models” which is a way of capturing** the whole value of a potential investment. Since this is a new tool for PNM, it is anticipated that refining the inputs to Copperleaf will be an iterative process as PNM gains more experience using it.

Various models within Copperleaf were used to assess the benefits of each investment solution evaluated. The focus of this evaluation was to determine the appropriate projects to increase hosting capacity on distribution feeders and provide the greatest total benefit to customers. The following list shows the value models utilized within Copperleaf for this evaluation which are further discussed in the sections below.

- Capital Project Cost
- New Distributed PV Generation Distribution Feeder Benefit
- New Distributed PV Generation System Benefit
- Increase in Load Serving Capacity
- Evening Hours BESS Capacity/Capacity Over Risk Hours
- BESS Energy Supplied to the System
- Energy Arbitrage
- Avoided Curtailment (Contractual Take or Pay)
- Public Perception for Improving Hosting Capacity

2.4.1 Capital Project Cost

A class 5 (high level) cost estimate was performed for each alternative evaluated. The alternative cost is categorized as a negative value within Copperleaf. Quantified benefits from the other value models are summed to determine if the anticipated benefits exceed the up-front investment cost of the alternative.

2.4.2 New Distributed PV Generation Distribution Feeder Benefit

Power flow analysis performed within Synergi was used to determine the increase in hosting capacity in terms of Megawatts for the minimum daylight load hour. This increase in hosting

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

capacity represents the potential to connect more distributed PV generation to a distribution feeder. To determine the benefit to the distribution feeder, the total amount of energy produced by new customer PV generation was calculated using Equation 2-1 shown below. The hosting capacity increase was multiplied by the number of daylight hours per year, then scaled by 60% to estimate the actual production of distributed PV facilities throughout the year as daylight hours vary through each day and season.

Equation 2-1: Total Yearly Energy Produced by New Distributed PV

$$\text{New PV Yearly Energy Produced} = \text{Hosting Capacity Increase} * 3,412 \text{ Daylight Hours Per Year} * 60\%$$


Total yearly daylight hours were calculated using the daylight hour ranges shown in Table 2-6. The total number of daylight hours for a typical year is estimated to be 3,412.

Table 2-6: Daylight Time Periods for PV Studies

7 AM - 7 PM	8 AM - 5 PM	8 AM - 4 PM
April	March	January
May	September	February
June	October	November
July		December
August		

Solar irradiance profiles were reviewed for the Albuquerque area to estimate the amount of energy that is supplied by a typical PV system during a summer day. Based on an assumption of fixed axis PV, the estimated output profile is shown in Figure 2-1. Summing up the energy supplied by a typical PV system, approximately 60% of the rated energy production was recorded during 12 hours of daylight. To estimate the energy supplied by new distributed PV systems, a 60% scaling was used based on this example.

Figure 2-1: PV Output % 24 Hour Profile



New distributed PV generation can benefit a feeder by reducing peak demand and result in cost savings from avoidance/deferral of distribution feeder projects. One source showed that the value of new PV generation on a distribution feeder was \$5/MWH³. Since this value is not specific to PNM, and so as not to overstate the value, this \$5/MWH benefit for new PV generation was reduced to \$4/MWH. For distribution feeders that are not heavily loaded, new customer owned PV generation does not provide PNM with any cost avoidance/deferral because no projects are necessary to increase load serving capacity. The new distributed PV generation distribution feeder benefit was set to \$0 in instances where the distribution feeder is not heavily loaded.


Once new hosting capacity is enabled on distribution feeders, it will take time for PNM customers to construct new PV generators. The monetary benefit within Copperleaf was scaled overtime so that in the first year of analysis only 10% of this benefit would be realized per year. However, by the end of the 10-year outlook, it was assumed that 100% of the benefit of new distributed PV generation would be realized. Figure 2-2 shows the ramp of anticipated benefit for new distributed PV generation.

³See [The Value of Distributed Solar: Evidence from a Field Experiment - https://resources.environment.yale.edu/gillingham/ValueofDistributedSolar.pdf](https://resources.environment.yale.edu/gillingham/ValueofDistributedSolar.pdf)

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Figure 2-2: New Distributed PV Generation Benefit Over Time



2.4.3 New Distributed PV Generation System Benefit

New distributed PV generation also provides benefit to the overall PNM electric system by customers constructing their own generation systems, which in some cases, allows excess energy to flow onto the PNM system to serve other load. This system benefit is meant to capture the avoided cost of PNM building new generation. System benefits were documented separately from the distribution feeder benefits but follow the same process to equate increased hosting capacity with yearly energy produced by new distributed PV generation - see Equation 2-1 above. Customer owned PV generation does not provide a significant benefit to the PNM system because it may not be located in the best area, and PV generation production, without storage, has limited coincidence with the peak demand times of the PNM electric system. However, a value of \$1/MWh was used to attribute some value to the PNM system that results from new distributed PV generation. This minimal value represents the benefit to the PNM system for PV generation alone, if this generation is paired with energy storage, it can be shifted into the higher value evening **hours where generation capacity is needed. This is further discussed in the “Evening Hours BESS Capacity/Capacity Over Risk Hours” value model.**

Once new hosting capacity is enabled on distribution feeders, it will take time for PNM customers to construct new PV generators. The monetary benefit within Copperleaf was scaled overtime so that in the first year of analysis only 10% of this benefit would be realized per year. However, by the end of the 10-year outlook, it was assumed that 100% of the benefit of new distributed PV generation would be realized. See Figure 2-2 for a representation of the ramp of anticipated benefit for new distributed PV generation.

2.4.4 Increase in Load Serving Capacity

Several of the solutions to increase hosting capacity in this evaluation included substation and feeder upgrades. For these scenarios, system improvements would enable PNM to serve more

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

customer load in addition to customer PV generation. However, for most of the feeders evaluated, the existing PNM system does not have a load serving capacity difficulty. If there is no load serving capacity challenge for a feeder \$0 dollar of benefit was attributed to load serving capacity increases. Some feeders in the evaluation do have limited ability to serve new load. If the feeder is heavily loaded, or located near areas of economic development, some value should be attributed to the project. At the time of this evaluation, PNM does not have a monetary value determined for increased load serving capacity. In future iterations, PNM desires to include this benefit into the financial analysis of future projects.

2.4.5 Evening Hours BESS Capacity/Capacity Over Risk Hours

PNM must manage the power system to ensure that sufficient power is available to customers throughout each day. PNM must also plan for adequate generation to meet its system peak, which traditionally has occurred in the early afternoon. However, due to large amounts of PV generation on its system, PNM has seen a shift in its peak to the evening hours. PV generation can produce large amounts of power coincident with solar irradiance. The result is that PNM receives a large amount of PV generation during the middle of the day, but as the sun sets in the evening, customer power demand can remain high, and PNM must use other generation sources to continue serving load. Solutions that increased hosting capacity using a battery system will allow PNM to charge this resource during the daylight hours from excess PV generation and then deliver the energy during the evening hours when additional peaking capacity is needed to continue serving customers. Installing BESS on the PNM system will provide value by shifting excess renewable PV generation from the peak daylight hours to the evening hours.

If BESS is installed on distribution feeders, PNM would be able to avoid some investments to these types of resources on the transmission system. The avoided cost of \$130/kW/Year was used to quantify the benefit of BESS for providing peaking capacity to the PNM system and is based on recent RFP efforts. For a 6,000 kW BESS, the yearly monetary benefit was calculated to be \$780,000 ($\$130 * 6000 \text{ kW}$) for providing this generation capacity service during evening hours. For hosting capacity increase solutions that did not involve constructing a BESS, \$0 of value was recorded for BESS capacity.

2.4.6 BESS Energy Supplied to the System

A BESS provides a resource for energy storage to the PNM system. Energy storage for recent BESS projects has been valued at \$50/MWh. These distribution BESS applications are anticipated to operate with one full cycle per day for 365 days per year. For a 6 MW battery with 24 MWh of storage, the yearly value for energy supplied to the system was estimated to be \$438,000 ($\$50 * 365 \text{ days} * 24 \text{ MWh}$). For hosting capacity increase solutions that did not involve constructing a BESS, \$0 of value was recorded for BESS energy supplied to the system.

2.4.7 Energy Arbitrage

Energy arbitrage is a strategy that involves purchasing energy at a low price and selling back into the market at a higher price. Solutions that increase hosting capacity on distribution feeders using a BESS also provide the opportunity to participate in energy arbitrage. As the needs of the

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

distribution feeder change throughout each day/season, PNM can use a BESS to charge from excess PV generation or grid power to capture the benefit of storing energy when prices are low and then selling to the market as energy prices increase. The monetary value assumed for energy arbitrage in this evaluation was equal to \$41,000 per MW per year of BESS capacity⁴. For a 6 MW BESS, the yearly monetary benefit was estimated to be \$250,000 (\$41,000 * 6 MW). For hosting capacity solutions that did not involve constructing a BESS, \$0 of value was recorded for energy arbitrage.

2.4.8 Avoided Curtailment (Contractual Take or Pay)


PNM purchases power from many large wind/solar generators interconnected to the transmission system. Contracts with these power producers require that PNM take all power generated or pay the producer to curtail. During times of low customer demand but high output from renewable sources, PNM must pay the producers to curtail or sell the power at discounted rates to nearby utilities. Installing BESS on the PNM electric system will allow PNM to charge the batteries during times of high renewable energy production, but low customer demand. Charging these batteries will help PNM to avoid curtailing renewable energy sources while enabling a dispatchable resource on a distribution feeder. PNM has estimated \$10,000 yearly benefit from a 6 MW 4-hour BESS for limiting curtailment of renewable energy production on the transmission system.

Over time, it is anticipated that PNM customers will interconnect more PV generation to distribution feeders where batteries are constructed. As PV generation continues to increase on these feeders and consumes remaining hosting capacity, the ability of these batteries on the distribution system to mitigate curtailment of these large transmission based renewable energy resources will be reduced. For this evaluation, it was assumed that all hosting capacity would be exhausted on a distribution feeder within the next 10 years and there would be no curtailment avoidance benefit beyond that time frame without further BESS investments. Figure 2-3 shows the ramp of anticipated benefit for avoided curtailment. For capital project solutions that did not involve constructing a BESS, \$0 of value was recorded for avoided curtailment.

⁴ See pages 9-10 direct testimony of Lucas McIntosh

<https://www.txnenergy.com/~media/Files/P/PNM-Resources/rates-and-filings/PNM%20CCN%20Application%20for%2012%20MW%20Battery%20Storage/2023-05-03-PNM%20Direct%20Testimony%20of%20Lucas%20McIntosh.pdf>

Figure 2-3: Avoided Curtailment Benefit Over Time



2.4.9 Public Perception for Improving Hosting Capacity
PNM customers desire to interconnect new PV generators to feeders at PV saturation. Each hosting capacity improvement solution represents action by PNM to enable the interconnection of customer PV. These solutions also represent **PNM’s response to supporting the clean energy** transition. A qualitative value model was used to represent the benefit to capital projects that correct the issue of PNM customers being unable to interconnect new PV generation to feeders at PV saturation. A more significant consequence value was attributed to distribution feeders with a higher number of pending customer PV interconnections. For feeders with minimal/no pending customer PV interconnections, a reduced consequence value was applied. Among all feeders analyzed, a 30% consistent probability of an event was used to scale the public perception value.

3.0 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Evaluation Approach

Synergi's incremental Hosting capacity analysis and power flow simulations were used to understand the capability of existing distribution feeders to host new PV generation. This analysis was performed and documented within the first hosting capacity study report. The existing capability was established, then pending PV interconnections as of January 1, 2024, were modeled to determine if customer interconnections that were on hold due to high PV penetration levels could successfully interconnect to the PNM system. Once pending PV interconnections were modeled, various solutions to increase hosting capacity were evaluated. A Class 5 cost estimate was performed for each hosting capacity improvement solution evaluated. With the hosting capacity improvement identified, and the cost estimate documented, a financial analysis was performed to determine the recommended capital project portfolio for these 18 feeders.


3.1 Hosting Capacity Analysis and Capital Project Solutions

PNM has 18 feeders at PV saturation that were analyzed for this evaluation. Minimum daylight load was the basis for this analysis to understand the limits of the system when there is the least amount of customer load on the feeder to consume PV generation. There are five specific scenarios that were evaluated for each distribution feeder: base case hosting capacity with queued customer solar (if applicable), hosting capacity with Feeder Upgrades, hosting capacity with system improvements and a 6 MW battery operating at 2 MW or 6 MW, and a dedicated feeder built to serve the existing large-scale solar facility. The scenarios are outlined below in Figure 3-1.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Figure 3-1: Hosting Capacity Analysis and Capital Projects Approach



The process is delineated as follows and explains the major steps of the analysis:

Step 1 - Base Case Hosting Capacity Analysis

- 1. Document the current system capability.
- 2. Identify any existing planning criteria violations.

Step 2 - Pending PV Interconnections Review

- 1. If applicable, model pending customer PV interconnections as of January 1, 2024, and perform power flow simulation.
- 2. Review power flow results to determine if any new planning criteria violations occurred with pending customer PV interconnections modeled.
- 3. If no planning criteria violations are observed, pending customer PV interconnections should be approved for interconnection.
- 4. Perform hosting capacity analysis to determine the remaining hosting capacity after interconnecting pending PV projects.
- 5. All pending PV interconnections remain in the model for the following steps.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Step 3 - Feeder Upgrades

1. Construct capital projects in the feeder model to increase hosting capacity such as conductor upgrades, protective device upgrades, new equipment, etc.
2. Perform hosting capacity analysis and document the new system capability with the capital projects in place.

Step 4 - Feeder Upgrades and Charging BESS at 2 MVA

1. Construct a BESS co-located with the existing large PV system set to charge at 2 MVA.
2. Perform hosting capacity analysis and document the new system capability with the Feeder Upgrades in place and BESS charging at 2 MVA.

Step 5 - Feeder Upgrades and Charging BESS at 6 MVA

1. Construct a BESS co-located with the existing large PV system set to charge at 6 MVA.
2. Perform hosting capacity analysis and document the new system capability with the Feeder Upgrades in place and BESS charging at 6 MVA.

Step 6 - Build a Dedicated Feeder to Existing Large-Scale PV Site

1. Identify the substation upgrades and conductor buildout required to construct a dedicated feeder to the existing large PV site.
2. Move the existing large PV system to a new dedicated feeder.
3. No upgrades performed for the existing feeder that serves PNM customers.
4. Perform hosting capacity analysis for the existing feeder that serves PNM customers and document the new system capability with the large PV system moved to a dedicated feeder.

Step 7 - Review Pending Customer PV Interconnections in 2024

1. If applicable, pending customer PV interconnections as of August 19, 2024, new PV projects were modeled within the base case model.
2. Review power flow results to determine if any new planning criteria violations occurred with pending customer PV interconnections modeled within the base case model.
3. If no planning criteria violations are observed, pending customer PV interconnections should be approved for interconnection.

3.2 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Cost Estimate

Capital projects identified during the power flow analysis were estimated using a class 5 (high-level) estimate approach. Detailed engineering and design will refine the cost estimate later. However, for larger capital projects like BESS installations and substation upgrades, recent cost data was utilized and applied in this evaluation.

3.2.1 BESS Construction Cost Estimate

PNM recently constructed two battery systems located on distribution feeders sized at 6 MW with 24 MWhs of storage. The installation cost of each system was \$14.3M. These recent actual costs were utilized as an estimate for future BESS systems. To enable more functionality for the

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

battery, it is anticipated that PNM will need to install additional communications and relaying infrastructure so that power flow and voltage on the feeder can be monitored more accurately. For scenarios where 6 MW charging was evaluated, an additional cost of \$50,000 for this communications infrastructure was included.

3.2.2 Substation Upgrades to Enable Dedicated Feeder Construction Cost Estimate
Many of the feeders evaluated are presently served by fully built-out substations. Traditionally, PNM builds substation transformers with an associated switchgear that contains four feeder breaker compartments. If all existing substation feeder breaker compartments are utilized, building out a dedicated feeder will require significant substation upgrades, and in many cases require a new substation transformer and switchgear. Recent cost estimates from the Community Solar Program were used to estimate these substation upgrades necessary to build out a new dedicated feeder to a large PV site. The cost for a new substation transformer and switchgear was estimated to be \$8M based on recent engineering designs.

3.3 Financial Analysis

Two perspectives were used to evaluate all solutions to improve hosting capacity on PV saturated feeders. These perspectives differ by valuing hosting capacity improvement alone, versus taking into consideration the overall system benefits, including both transmission and distribution system benefits.

Hosting Capacity Benefit Cost - solutions were scored based only on the increase in hosting capacity relative to the cost. This perspective does not consider the overall system benefits provided by each solution.

Holistic Financial Benefit Cost - solutions were scored based on the value provided from new PV generation enabled by hosting capacity and by overall system benefits. The complete value of BESS to the PNM system was more holistically considered from this perspective. See Section 2.4 for further explanation of the value models used for this financial benefit cost analysis.

4.0 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Analysis

Table 4-1 shows the 18 feeders that were identified at/near solar saturation for this evaluation. The feeder rating utilization is calculated by comparing the total approved PV capacity, using an installed generation report and pending SGIA interconnections, against the feeder rating. Several feeders have approved PV capacity beyond the feeder rating identified by a feeder utilization greater than 100% and are colored red. Various system improvements were evaluated in this section to improve the hosting capacity of these feeders.

Table 4-1: Feeders at Solar Saturation

Feeder	Region	Feeder Rating kVA	Total Approved PV Capacity kVA	Feeder Rating Utilization %
A10083	Alamogordo	11,663	10,705*	92%
ARIB11	Santa Fe	9,331	10,115	108%
COLL12	Albuquerque	11231	9294	83%
DEMW11	Deming	9,370	8,304	89%
ELCE11	Albuquerque	9,288	9,295	100%
GOLD13	Deming	12,429	11,333	91%
HOND12	Deming	10,039	9,154	91%
JARA12	Albuquerque	11,231	10,068	90%
LOHO12	Albuquerque	9,288	10,249	110%
LOHO13	Albuquerque	9,288	9,036	97%
LOHO14	Albuquerque	9,288	10,006	108%
LSMO12	Albuquerque	11,231	12,223	109%
LSMO21	Albuquerque	11,231	10,000	89%
PROG13	Albuquerque	9,936	9,741	98%
SCEN12	Albuquerque	9,936	10,834	109%
SOCO12	Albuquerque	9,288	10,737	116%
STPE12	Santa Fe	8,208	10,372	126%
TOME12	Albuquerque	9,288	10,575	114%

*The total approved PV capacity for A10083 includes a recently studied Community Solar Program project sized at 3,500 kVA.

4.1 Base Case Hosting Capacity Analysis

Initial base case models were created for 18 feeders at solar saturation using the process outlined in Section 2 of **PNM's first Hosting Capacity** report. Table 4-2 shows the Synergi calculated results observed for each feeder based on the existing PNM system. Synergi Minimum Daylight Load (MDL) is defined as the lowest kW reading by the feeder meter during daylight hours. Synergi maximum hosting capacity represents the amount of additional PV generation that could be interconnected if placed at an optimal location, which is most often near the substation where equipment ratings

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

are greatest. Maximum thermal and voltage observations are documented as well. For these feeders at PV saturation, many are experiencing maximum voltage and/or maximum conductor loading near the planning criteria limits and are colored yellow. For LSMO12, STPE12, and TOME12 thermal loading violations were observed in the base case model (colored red) and indicate there is no remaining hosting capacity for these feeders. System improvements must be performed for these three feeders before any new PV generation can be successfully interconnected.

Table 4-2: Synergi Base Case Hosting Capacity Results

Feeder	Feeder Rating kVA	Synergi Existing Gen kVA	Synergi MDL kW	Synergi MDL kVA	Synergi MDGL kVA	Synergi Max Voltage	Synergi Max Load %	Synergi Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA
A10083	11,663	9,973	-7,959	8,043	1,478	125.0	95.5	3,675
ARIB11	9,331	10,326	-8,188	8,188	2,121	123.4	89.0	1,099
COLL12	9,072	9,150	-8,297	8,702	372	125.5	96.0	781
DEMW11	10,278	8,304	-8,062	8,070	83	125.3	91.8	1,308
ELCE11	9,288	9,257	-6,954	7,668	1,300	124.4	97.0	2,019
GOLD13	12,429	11,272	-10,292	10,315	730	125.7	81.1	2,139
HOND12	10,039	9,153	-8,561	9,565	267	125.0	86.0	1,352
JARA12	11,231	10,063	-7,944	8,843	848	124.4	96.6	3,269
LOHO12	9,288	10,004	-8,260	8,263	1,660	124.9	86.0	1,060
LOHO13	11,231	12,073	-10,962	11,157	528	123.7	98.0	267
LOHO14	11,231	9,999	-9,616	9,684	529	124.6	86.0	1,616
LSMO12	9,288	10,216	-9,682	9,753	431	125.4	106.0	Thermal Violation
LSMO21	9,288	9,032	-7,944	8,001	848	123.4	97.0	1,272
PROG13	9,936	9,610	-6,367	6,373	1,909	125.3	97.0	3,255
SCEN12	9,936	10,728	-8,421	9,641	1,075	122.7	97.0	1,297
SOCO12	9,288	10,708	-7,707	8,484	2,347	123.0	97.0	1,224
STPE12	8,208	10,066	-7,839	8,703	1,770	124.3	112.0	Thermal Violation
TOME12	9,288	10,698	-8,442	8,624	1,800	123.2	110.0	Thermal Violation

Note: Negative MDL kW indicates reverse power flow on the feeder.

4.1.1 Feeders with Max Thermal Loading Violations

Feeders LSMO12, STEP12 and TOME12 all showed thermal criteria violations when performing the base case power flow analysis. System improvements are required to mitigate this planning criteria violation and risk of equipment failure that can result from use above normal ratings. Due to the thermal violations observed, zero hosting capacity remains for these feeders. Once system improvements are constructed to resolve these thermal violations, some hosting capacity will be enabled on each distribution feeder.

4.1.2 Feeders with Max Voltage Near Planning Criteria

Seven feeders within this evaluation experienced maximum voltage near the planning criteria of 126 V and are colored yellow in Table 4-2. High PV penetration can result in voltage rise on distribution feeders. Location of generation on the feeder, conductor sizes, and other factors will

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

influence the amount of voltage rise that can result from PV generation. Mitigation efforts can be performed to regulate voltage by installing new equipment, adjusting the settings of PV inverters, and using BESS to control power flow. Although none of the feeders experienced high voltage violations in the base case hosting capacity scenario, high voltage violations are something that must be monitored for distribution feeders as PV penetration increases.

4.2 Pending Customer PV Interconnections Review

Several of the feeders had pending customer PV interconnections prior to this study. See Table 2-2 in Section 2.2 for the number of pending customer PV interconnections and the total capacity. If remaining hosting capacity was observed for a distribution feeder in PNM’s first Hosting Capacity study, all pending interconnections shown in Table 2-2 were modeled, and power flow analysis performed to determine if all planning criteria were still maintained. Table 4-3 shows the summary power flow results and remaining hosting capacity after modeling the pending PV interconnections shown in Table 2-2. Feeders without pending customer PV interconnections are not shown in the table below.

Table 4-3: Synergi Power Flow Analysis with Pending PV Interconnections Modeled

Feeder	Feeder Rating kVA	Synergi Existing Gen kVA	Pending PV kVA	Synergi Maximum Voltage V	Synergi Maximum Load %	Synergi Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Pending PV Customers Can Interconnect?
A10083	11,663	9,973	30	125.0	95.5	3,703	N/A
COLL12	9,072	9,161	11	125.6	96.1	781	Yes
ELCE11	9,288	9,257	107	124.6	96.7	2,019	Yes
HOND12	10,039	9,153	125	125	86	1,352	Yes
JARA12	11,231	10,081	18	124.4	96.6	3,269	Yes
LOHO12	9,288	10,017	13	124.9	86	1,060	Yes
LSMO12	9,288	10,288	72	125.4	106	Thermal Violation	No
LSMO21	9,288	9,040	22	123.4	96.6	1,272	Yes
PROG13	9,936	9,931	330	125.4	96.3	3,255	Yes
SCEN12	9,936	10,948	225	122.8	98.9	1,297	Yes
SOCO12	9,288	11,063	371	123.5	98.2	1,224	Yes
STPE12	8,208	11,175	1,137	124.7	124.6	Thermal Violation	No
TOME12	9,288	10,852	174	123.2	111.6	Thermal Violation	Yes*

*A 6 MVA BESS was recently installed on TOME12. With this BESS in place, the existing thermal violation has been mitigated, and all pending PV projects can successfully interconnect.

4.2.1 Feeders Where Pending PV Projects can Interconnect

If no planning criteria violations were observed after modeling pending PV interconnections, these customers can be allowed to interconnect to the PNM system. All pending PV customers modeled can successfully interconnect without any system improvements on 11 of the 13 feeders evaluated. This would result in 230 new projects interconnecting and an increase of 1,391 kVA in PV capacity. For these 11 feeders, some hosting capacity remains after modeling pending

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

customer PV interconnections and indicates that there is potential to successfully interconnect more PV to these feeders without experiencing planning criteria violations.

4.2.2 Feeders Where Pending PV Projects Cannot Interconnect

Feeders LSMO12 and STPE12 showed thermal criteria violations when performing the base case power flow analysis. If pending PV interconnections were allowed on these feeders, planning criteria violations would be exacerbated. Pending customer PV interconnections on these feeders must not be approved until system improvements can be constructed to mitigate existing planning criteria violations and increase the available hosting capacity for these feeders.

4.3 Feeder Upgrades

For many of these feeders at PV saturation, traditional conductor and equipment upgrades could be performed to bring the feeder up to the current PNM standard rating. Table 4-4 shows the summary of results and indicates the amount/type of system improvements evaluated for each feeder. Each feeder was evaluated individually to determine the appropriate set of system improvements to increase hosting capacity. The Synergi maximum hosting capacity value represents the amount of remaining hosting capacity assuming all pending PV customers are interconnected to the respective feeder if applicable. All feeders show remaining hosting capacity with feeder upgrades applied. Some feeders are already constructed to the maximum PNM standard ratings. The feeder upgrade scenario was not applicable to these feeders.

Table 4-4: Feeder Upgrades Summary Results

Feeder	Feeder Rating kVA	Feeder Cable Upgrade Mi	Main Line Conductor Upgrade Mi	Protective Device Upgrade Units	New Equipment Units	Synergi Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA
A10083	11,663	-	-	-	-	3,675
ARIB11	11,231	0	0	1	0	2,100*
COLL12	11,231	0.1	0	0	0	1,800*
DEMW11	12,429	0.03	0.63	1	0	1,280**
ELCE11	11,231	0.03	0	1	0	4,178
GOLD13	12,429	-	-	-	-	2,139
HOND12	12,429	0.08	3.2	1	0	2,390
JARA12	11,231	-	-	-	-	3,269
LOHO12	11,231	0	0	1	0	2,960
LOHO13	11,231	-	-	-	-	267
LOHO14	11,231	-	-	-	-	1,616
LSMO12	11,231	0	1.17	1	0	1,469
LSMO21	11,231	0	1.17	0	0	3,216
PROG13	11,231	0.16	5.26	0	0	4,506
SCEN12	11,231	0	1.61	0	0	2,559
SOCO12	11,231	0.03	.09	0	0	3,167
STPE12	11,231	0.42	5.24	0	0	2,520
TOME12	11,231	0.03	0	0	0	2,620

*Ariba Substation and College Substation transformers each have high amounts of PV penetration. The substation transformer limits the maximum hosting capacity after feeder upgrades are performed.

** Deming West Substation transformer is more limiting to hosting capacity than the feeder rating. After feeder upgrades are performed, losses decrease on the feeder but power flow through the transformer increases, so the hosting capacity decreased by a small amount.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

4.3.1 Conductor Upgrades to Improve Feeder Rating

The maximum standard PNM feeder construction utilizes 750 CU cable for underground construction, rated for 520 Amps, and 397 AAC conductor for overhead construction, rated for 570 Amps. A distribution feeder that is constructed with these conductors/cables typically will have a normal rating of 11.2 MVA and an emergency rating of 13 MVA with a nominal system voltage of 12.47 kV_{LL}. PNM does operate in some regions at a nominal system voltage of 13.8 kV_{LL}. This higher nominal voltage results in a normal rating of 12.5 MVA and an emergency rating of 14.3 MVA. These emergency ratings are a result of the system design based on 600 Amp rated equipment. Emergency capacity is reserved for contingency switching and power restoration.

The Alamogordo South, Gold, Jarales, and Lost Horizon Substation feeders contained in this feeder set could not be upgraded to improve the feeder rating and feeder upgrades were not applicable. Lost Horizon, Gold, and Jarales feeders are newer and were constructed to the present maximum standards. Alamogordo Feeder A10083 was purchased from another utility provider that utilized conductor rated higher than the maximum PNM standard. As PNM continues to expand their system, they are working to construct new feeders to the maximum PNM standard, where possible, to mitigate the cost of future upgrades to increase the capacity of the feeder.

4.3.2 Protection Upgrades

As PV generation increases on a distribution feeder, system protection schemes are impacted. Desensitization of protection schemes can occur as new PV generation sources are distributed throughout the feeder. Reclosers and other sectionalizing devices are used to protect distribution feeders from faults and limit the impact to customers. Additional protective devices were included in the feeder upgrade analysis to mitigate potential desensitization concerns anticipated on distribution feeders as PV penetration increases.

4.4 Feeder Upgrades and Charging BESS at 2 MVA / 6 MVA

Feeder upgrades can bring all distribution feeders at PV saturation to current PNM construction standards and maximum feeder rating. By increasing the feeder rating, hosting capacity was observed to increase. However, once the maximum feeder rating is achieved, no other feeder upgrades can be performed to increase the maximum hosting capacity. Charging a BESS from PV generation is one solution to further increase hosting capacity on a distribution feeder. Charging the BESS from PV generation can regulate the power flow on the feeder during times when there is minimal customer demand, but large amounts of solar generation. Using a BESS to manage power flow on the distribution feeder can help to mitigate potential thermal and voltage violations that can result from PV generation.

Two different BESS charge rates were evaluated in this evaluation. The 2 MVA charge rate represents a simple control scheme where the 24 MWh BESS charges at a low rate for an extended amount of time. This lower charge rate will ensure that the BESS can charge for up to 12 hours during the day. The 6 MVA charge rate represents a more dynamic control scheme where the BESS charges at its full capability during peak solar production to enable more PV generation on the distribution feeder. However, if the BESS charges at 6 MVA it can only sustain this charge rate

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

continuously for up to 4 hours a day. The energy component of BESS solutions is something that PNM will need to continue monitoring. The BESS charge rate may need to vary throughout the day to manage power flow on the distribution feeder while not filling the BESS too quickly to maintain enough storage to last through the solar day. Table 4-5 shows the summary results for all feeders at PV saturation evaluated.

Table 4-5: BESS Charging Hosting Capacity Results with Feeder Upgrades

Feeder	Feeder Rating kVA	New Protective Device Units	Synergi Maximum Hosting Capacity with 2 MVA Charging kVA	Synergi Maximum Hosting Capacity with 6 MVA Charging kVA
A10083	11,231	0	5,501	9,312
ARIB11	11,231	1	5,010	6,940
COLL12	11,231	0	4,698	7,968
DEMW11	12,429	0	3,208	6,102
ELCE11	11,231	0	5,787	7,844
GOLD13	12,429	0	4,037	7,838
HOND12	12,429	0	5,603	9,468
JARA12	11,231	0	4,846	7,166
LOHO12	11,231	0	4,853	7,110
LOHO13	11,231	0	2,157	5,346
LOHO14	11,231	0	3,512	5,512
LSMO12	11,231	1	3,373	6,980
LSMO21	11,231	0	5,161	8,074
PROG13	11,231	0	6,120	7,391
SCEN12	11,231	0	4,179	6,453
SOCO12	11,231	0	5,011	6,515
STPE12	11,231	0	4,289	6,207
TOME12	11,231	0	4,299	6,460

4.4.1 BESS Charging at 2 MVA / 6 MVA without Feeder Upgrades

For distribution feeders where significant amounts of feeder upgrades were identified, an additional scenario was analyzed to determine if a BESS alone would provide increased hosting capacity for the feeder while limiting the amount of capital investment. Only a small subset of the distribution feeders studied were evaluated without feeder upgrades applied. For this subset of feeders, the existing large-scale PV facility was located further away from the substation.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Table 4-6: Hosting Capacity Results with BESS Charging and no Feeder Upgrades

Feeder	Feeder Rating kVA	New Protective Device Units	Synergi Maximum Hosting Capacity with 2 MVA Charging kVA	Synergi Maximum Hosting Capacity with 6 MVA Charging kVA
ELCE11	9,288	0	3,630	4,550
PROG13	9,936	0	4,850	5,680
SCEN12	8,208	0	2,910	4,480
STPE12	9,288	0	1,280	1,600

4.4.2 Distribution Feeder Inverter Capacity Limit

Presently, PNM’s DER integration plan indicates a PV penetration limit of 150% of the feeder rating. The intent of this planning criteria is to provide another milestone for distribution feeders where further study and evaluation would be required to understand the implications of allowing PV penetration higher than 150% of the feeder rating. **PNM’s concern is that** the distribution system could become more difficult to operate and maintain power quality to customers as local generation levels exceed local consumption by a significant amount.

For a distribution feeder that has been upgraded to the standard PNM rating of 11.2 MVA, a PV penetration limit of 150% of the feeder rating would allow for up to 16.8 MVA of interconnected PV capacity. The hosting capacity results presented in the table above were limited, in some instances, to align with this planning criteria.

4.4.3 Protection Upgrades with BESS

For these scenarios, some additional protective devices were identified that will be necessary when installing BESS on certain distribution feeders. Adding BESS resources to a distribution feeder results in the ability to better regulate feeder power flow and increase hosting capacity. However, BESS is also a source of generation when discharging and can complicate protection schemes if both existing PV and the BESS feed into a fault. Reclosers and other sectionalizing devices can be used to protect distribution feeders from faults and limit the impact to customers.

4.5 Build a Dedicated Feeder to Existing Large-Scale PV Sites

Many utilities around the country construct dedicated distribution feeders to large-scale PV facilities. This practice has resulted in higher upfront interconnection costs but has simplified the distribution system operation and planning efforts. Historically, PNM has interconnected large-scale PV facilities to shared distribution feeders. This practice of interconnecting large-scale PV facilities to shared feeders has resulted in the challenges to interconnect new customer PV generation to the PNM system. A dedicated feeder scenario was evaluated to identify the hosting capacity benefit to PNM customers by moving these large-scale PV sites to a new dedicated feeder. Table 4-7 shows the summary results of this dedicated feeder buildout scenario.

Table 4-7: Dedicated Feeder Buildout Results

Existing Feeder	Existing Feeder Rating kVA	Substation Upgrade Required?	Feeder Cable Buildout Mi	Main Line Conductor Mi	Synergi Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA (For Existing Feeder)
A10083	11,663	No	0.13	5.3	8,101
ARIB11	9,331	Yes	0.05	0.15	11,061
COLL12	9,072	Yes	0.25	7.1	9,063
DEMW11	10,278	Yes	0.03	0.5	9,380
ELCE11	9,288	Yes	0.02	8.6	10,110
GOLD13	12,429	No	0.07	1.8	12,882
HOND12	10,039	Yes	0.08	3.0	9,994
JARA12	11,231	No	0.04	7.6	12,011
LOHO12	9,288	Yes	0.05	1.8	10,818
LOHO13	11,231	Yes	0.19	2.7	10,165
LOHO14	11,231	Yes	0.03	1.7	11,376
LSMO12	9,288	Yes	0.06	1.2	9,296
LSMO21	9,288	Yes	0.09	0.7	10,196
PROG13	9,936	Yes	3	6.9	10,901
SCEN12	9,936	Yes	1.7	4.3	9,906
SOCO12	9,288	Yes	0.03	2.2	10,350
STPE12	8,208	Yes	0.43	3.4	8,367
TOME12	9,288	Yes	0.3	4.9	9,590

4.5.1 Substation Upgrades

To build out a new dedicated feeder to the existing large-scale PV facilities, upstream infrastructure must be in place. At the Jarales and Gold substations, there was a vacant position in the substation switchgear ready for a new feeder buildout. In this situation, the capital improvements to build out the new dedicated feeder were significantly lower. For 15 of the feeders studied, substation upgrades were required to enable the buildout of a new distribution feeder. Each substation is unique, and the capital work required to build out a new distribution

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

feeder varied. Some of the necessary upgrades were to replace a substation switchgear, upgrade the existing substation transformer to the new PNM standard size, or even construct a new substation because the existing substation has no room for expansion/upgrade. Substation upgrades can require long-lead equipment, significant engineering and design work, land acquisition, and significant cost.


4.5.2 Additional Load Serving Benefit

For 15 of the feeders evaluated, a substation upgrade was required to enable the buildout of a new distribution feeder to serve an existing large-scale PV facility. While upgrading a substation transformer or constructing a new substation transformer is a significant capital project, it also provides additional opportunity to serve load. Substation transformers are shared between 2-4 distribution feeders. If a new substation, or expansion of an existing substation is required to build out a new dedicated feeder to serve the existing large-scale PV, additional feeders could be constructed to serve economic development or traditional customer load. However, it is important to note that while these PV saturated feeders are limited in the ability to host new PV generation, all feeders in this evaluation have some remaining load serving capacity. Typically, the areas of **PNM's system that are experiencing significant economic development interest are not where feeders are at PV saturation.**

4.6 Hosting Capacity Improvement Observations

Table 4-8 shows the maximum hosting capacity observed for feeders at PV saturation for each scenario evaluated. Limited hosting capacity was observed for each of these saturated feeders in the base case evaluation. System improvements were shown to increase the maximum hosting capacity by increasing feeder ratings and/or installing a BESS that charges from excess PV generation. Building a dedicated feeder to the existing large-scale PV facilities generally unlocked the most hosting capacity for existing distribution feeders. Figure 4-1 visually shows the maximum hosting capacity results.

Figure 4-1: Maximum Synergi Hosting Capacity Results



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Table 4-8: Maximum Synergi Hosting Capacity Results Summary

Feeder	Base Case Synergi Max Hosting Capacity kVA	Feeder Upgrades Synergi Max Hosting Capacity kVA	2 MVA BESS Charging Synergi Max Hosting Capacity kVA	6 MVA BESS Charging Synergi Max Hosting Capacity kVA	Dedicated Feeder Buildout Synergi Max Hosting Capacity kVA
A10083	3,703	3,680	5,500	9,312	8,102
ARIB11	1,099	2,100*	5,010	6,941	11,061
COLL12	781	1,800*	4,700	7,970	9,063
DEW11	1,308	1,280**	3,210	6,100	9,380
ELCE11	2,019	4,180	5,790	7,840	10,110
GOLD13	2,139	2,139	4,040	7,840	12,882
HOND12	1,352	3,740	5,600	9,470	9,994
JARA12	3,269	3,269	4,850	7,170	12,011
LOHO12	1,060	2,960	4,850	7,110	10,818
LOHO13	267	267	2,160	5,350	10,165
LOHO14	1,616	1,616	3,510	7,130	11,376
LSMO12	Thermal Violation	1,470	3,370	6,980	9,296
LSMO21	1,272	3,220	5,160	8,070	10,196
PROG13	3,255	4,510	6,120	7,390	10,901
SCEN12	1,297	2,560	4,180	6,450	9,906
SOCO12	1,224	3,170	5,010	6,520	10,350
STPE12	Thermal Violation	2,520	4,290	6,210	8,367
TOME12	Thermal Violation	2,620	4,300	6,460	9,590

*Ariba Substation and College Substation transformers each have high amounts of PV penetration. The substation transformer limits the maximum hosting capacity after feeder upgrades are performed.

**Deming West Substation transformer is more limiting to hosting capacity than the feeder rating. After feeder upgrades are performed, losses decrease on the feeder but power flow through the transformer increases, so the hosting capacity decreased by a small amount.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

5.0 Financial Analysis

Two perspectives were used to evaluate all hosting capacity improvement solutions on PV saturated feeders. These perspectives differ by the value attributed to hosting capacity improvement and other associated system benefits related to the evaluated solutions.

Hosting Capacity Benefit Cost - solutions were scored based only on the increase in hosting capacity relative to the cost. This perspective does not consider the system benefits provided by each solution. Feeder upgrades or dedicated feeder buildout were the solutions that consistently scored the highest using the hosting capacity benefit cost perspective. Column 6 in the following tables shows the scoring from this benefit cost perspective.

Holistic System Benefit Cost - solutions were scored based on the value provided by the new PV generation enabled by hosting capacity and by overall system benefits. The complete value of BESS to the PNM system was more holistically considered from this perspective. See Section 2.4 for further explanation of the value models used for this analysis. The holistic value provided by the BESS was captured with this perspective and resulted in a 6 MVA BESS solution scoring highest for most feeders. However, feeder upgrades were not recommended in all instances due to high costs. Column 7 in the following tables shows the scoring from the holistic system benefit cost perspective.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

5.1 Feeder Upgrades

Feeder Upgrades primarily targeted conductor and cable upgrades to bring each distribution feeder to the maximum PNM standard rating. The capital project costs varied greatly as the number of conductor and equipment upgrades was also influenced by the configuration of each distribution feeder. Table 5-1 shows the financial analysis results for the feeder upgrade solution. Feeder upgrades were not applicable to all feeders at PV saturation. If the feeder is already constructed to the maximum PNM ratings, no hosting capacity increase or benefit cost scoring was provided.

Table 5-1: Feeder Upgrades Financial Analysis Results

Feeder	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
A10083	3,675	3,675	N/A	-	-	-
ARIB11	1,099	2,100	1,090	\$156,470	6.97	1.16
COLL12	781	1,800	1,020	\$151,149	6.75	1.2
DEMW11	1,308	1,280	-28*	\$542,472	-	-
ELCE11	2,019	4,178	2,159	\$6,247,100	0.35	0.16
GOLD13	2,139	2,139	N/A	-	-	-
HOND12	1,352	3,740	2,390	\$2,435,763	0.98	1.34
JARA12	3,269	3,269	N/A	-	-	-
LOHO12	1,060	2,960	1,900	\$156,470	12.14	1.26
LOHO13	267	267	N/A	-	-	-
LOHO14	1,616	1,616	N/A	-	-	-
LSMO12	Thermal Violation	1,469	1,469	\$885,270	1.66	0.21
LSMO21	1,272	3,216	1,944	\$878,801	2.21	0.23
PROG13	3,255	4,506	1,251	\$5,930,712	0.21	0.61
SCEN12	1,297	2,559	1,262	\$1,967,632	0.64	1.84
SOCO12	1,224	3,167	1,943	\$183,615	10.58	20.07
STPE12	Thermal Violation	2,520	2,520	\$4,314,628	0.58	0.26
TOME12	Thermal Violation	2,620	2,620	\$82,117	31.91	39.92

* Deming West Substation transformer is more limiting to hosting capacity than the feeder rating. After feeder upgrades are performed, losses decrease on the feeder but power flow through the transformer increases, so the hosting capacity decreased by a small amount.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

5.2 Feeder Upgrades and BESS Charging at 2 MVA

The cost of this capital project alternative was significant due to the investment in the battery system. First, all feeders were evaluated with the BESS and applicable feeder upgrades to provide the greatest increase in hosting capacity. For some of the feeders, upgrades were significant and drove up the total project cost. In these instances, 1898 & Co. also evaluated constructing only the BESS and not the feeder upgrades. Table 5-2 shows the financial analysis results for the feeder upgrades and 2 MVA BESS charging solution.

Table 5-2: Feeder Upgrades and BESS Charging at 2 MVA Financial Analysis Results

Feeder	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
A10083*	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIB11	1,099	5,010	3,911	\$14,456,470	0.27	0.74
COLL12	781	4,698	3,917	\$14,451,149	0.27	0.74
DEMW11	1,308	3,208	1,900	\$14,842,473	0.13	0.72
ELCE11	2,019	5,787	3,768	\$20,547,079	0.18	0.54
GOLD13*	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOND12	1,352	5,603	4,251	\$16,735,763	0.25	0.82
JARA12*	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOHO12	1,060	4,853	3,793	\$14,456,470	0.26	0.74
LOHO13*	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOHO14*	-	-	-	-	-	-
LSMO12	Thermal Violation	3,373	3,373	\$15,335,281	0.22	0.7
LSMO21	1,272	5,161	3,889	\$15,178,801	0.26	0.68
PROG13	3,255	6,120	2,865	\$20,230,712	0.14	0.69
SCEN12	1,297	4,179	2,882	\$16,267,632	0.18	0.86
SOCO12	1,224	5,011	3,787	\$14,633,616	0.26	0.96
STPE12	Thermal Violation	4,289	4,289	\$18,614,629	0.23	0.62
TOME12	Thermal Violation	4,299	4,299	\$14,382,117	0.30	0.96

*This feeder is already built to the maximum PNM standard rating. Results for the 2 MVA BESS only solution are shown in the next table.

5.2.1 BESS Charging at 2 MVA without Feeder Upgrades

On four feeders, significant upgrades were required to increase the feeder rating. These feeders were also evaluated if only the BESS was constructed, and no other improvements performed. The benefit cost ratio scores did improve with the lower overall project cost. Five of the feeders evaluated are already built to the maximum PNM standard ratings. For these five feeders, analysis was performed with only the BESS upgrades. Table 5-3 shows the financial analysis results for the 2 MVA BESS charging solution without feeder upgrades.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Table 5-3: BESS Charging at 2 MVA without Feeder Upgrades Financial Analysis Results

Feeder	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic Financial Benefit Cost Ratio Score
A10083	3,675	5,501	1,826	\$14,300,000	0.13	0.73
ELCE11	2,019	3,630	1,611	\$14,300,000	0.11	0.78
GOLD13	2,139	4,037	1,898	\$14,300,000	0.13	0.75
JARA12	3,269	4,846	1,577	\$14,300,000	0.11	0.74
LOHO13	267	2,157	1,890	\$14,300,000	0.13	0.75
LOHO14	1,616	3,512	1,896	\$14,300,000	0.13	0.75
PROG13	3,255	4,850	1,595	\$14,300,000	0.11	0.97
SCEN12	1,297	2,910	1,613	\$14,300,000	0.11	0.97
STPE12	Thermal Violation	1,280	1,280	\$14,300,000	0.09	0.78

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

5.3 Feeder Upgrades with BESS Charging at 6 MVA

The cost of this capital project alternative was significant due to the investment in the battery system. First, all feeders were evaluated with the BESS and Feeder Upgrades. For some of the feeders, feeder upgrades were significant and drove up the total project cost. In these instances, 1898 & Co. also evaluated the benefit cost ratio of constructing only the BESS and not the feeder upgrades. Table 5-4 shows the financial analysis results for the feeder upgrades and 6 MVA BESS charging solution.

Table 5-4: Feeder Upgrades with BESS Charging at 6 MVA Financial Analysis Results

Feeder	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
A10083*	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIB11	1,099	6,940	5,841	\$14,506,470	0.40	1.37
COLL12	781	7,968	7,187	\$14,501,149	0.50	1.38
DEM11	1,308	6,102	4,794	\$14,892,473	0.32	1.34
ELCE11	2,019	7,844	5,825	\$20,597,079	0.28	0.98
GOLD13*	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOND12	1,352	9,468	8,116	\$16,785,763	0.48	1.37
JARA12*	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOHO12	1,060	7,110	6,050	\$14,506,470	0.42	1.37
LOHO13*	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOHO14*	-	-	-	-	-	-
LSMO12	Thermal Violation	6,980	6,980	\$15,385,271	0.45	1.3
LSMO21	1,272	8,074	6,802	\$15,228,801	0.45	1.27
PROG13	3,255	7,391	4,136	\$20,280,712	0.20	1.15
SCEN12	1,297	6,453	5,156	\$16,317,632	0.32	1.43
SOCO12	1,224	6,515	5,291	\$14,683,616	0.36	1.59
STPE12	Thermal Violation	6,207	6,207	\$18,664,629	0.33	1.10
TOME12	Thermal Violation	6,460	6,460	\$14,432,117	0.45	1.59

*This feeder is already built to the maximum PNM standard rating. Results for the 6 MVA BESS only solution are shown in the next table.

5.3.1 BESS Charging at 6 MVA without Feeder Upgrades

For the four feeders, significant feeder upgrades were required to increase the feeder rating. These feeders were also evaluated if only the BESS was constructed and no other feeder upgrades. The benefit cost ratio scores did improve with the lower overall project cost. Table 5-5 shows the financial analysis results for the 6 MVA BESS charging solution without feeder upgrades.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Table 5-5: BESS Charging at 6 MVA without Feeder Upgrades Financial Analysis Results

Feeder	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
A10083	3,675	9,312	5,637	\$14,350,000	0.39	1.37
ELCE11	2,019	4,550	2,531	\$14,350,000	0.18	1.31
GOLD13	2,139	7,838	5,699	\$14,350,000	0.40	1.39
JARA12	3,269	7,166	3,897	\$14,350,000	0.27	1.39
LOHO13	267	5,346	5,079	\$14,350,000	0.35	1.39
LOHO14	1,616	7,128	5,512	\$14,350,000	0.38	1.39
PROG13	3,255	5,680	2,425	\$14,350,000	0.17	1.62
SCEN12	1,297	4,480	3,183	\$14,350,000	0.22	1.61
STPE12	Thermal Violation	1,600	1,600	\$14,350,000	0.11	1.08

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

5.4 Dedicated Feeder Buildout

Most feeders evaluated must include a substation transformer upgrade or new substation transformer to successfully build out a new dedicated feeder and improve hosting capacity in the area. The capital project costs were impacted by the amount of conductor buildout required which varied based on the distance of existing solar facilities from the substation. Also, some cost sharing of substation upgrades can be experienced among the Lost Horizon Substation feeders and the Los Morros Substation feeders. Table 5-6 shows the financial analysis results for the dedicated feeder buildout solution.

Table 5-6: Dedicated Feeder Buildout Financial Analysis

Existing Feeder	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic Financial Benefit Cost Ratio Score
A10083	3,675	8,101	4,426	\$4,511,562	0.98	0.12
ARIB11	1,099	11,060	9,960	\$8,245,205	1.21	0.04
COLL12	781	9,063	8,282	\$13,487,292	0.61	0.02
DEMW11	1,308	9,380	8,072	\$8,420,781	0.96	0.04
ELCE11	2,019	10,110	8,091	\$15,970,100	0.51	0.07
GOLD13	2,139	12,882	10,743	\$1,600,027	6.71	0.23
HOND12	1,352	9,994	8,642	\$10,392,223	0.83	0.33
JARA12	3,269	12,011	8,742	\$5,881,256	1.49	0.06
LOHO12	1,060	10,818	9,758	\$4,134,726	2.36	0.08
LOHO13	267	10,165	9,898	\$4,956,000	2.00	0.07
LOHO14	1,616	11,376	9,760	\$4,043,470	2.41	0.09
LSMO12	Thermal Violation	9,296	9,296	\$5,011,594	1.85	0.07
LSMO21	1,272	10,196	8,924	\$4,702,933	1.90	0.09
PROG13	3,255	10,901	7,646	\$19,546,830	0.39	0.2
SCEN12	1,297	9,906	8,609	\$13,940,195	0.62	0.29
SOCO12	1,224	10,350	9,126	\$9,499,505	0.96	0.43
STPE12	Thermal Violation	8,367	8,367	\$11,316,078	0.74	0.15
TOME12	Thermal Violation	9,590	9,590	\$11,772,572	0.81	0.33

5.5 Capital Project Portfolios

Two separate portfolios were determined based on the two benefit cost perspectives that were scored for this evaluation. Table 5-7 represents the highest scoring solutions portfolio based on the hosting capacity improvement relative to cost perspective. The dedicated feeder buildout or feeder upgrade solutions scored highest from this perspective as these were solutions that provided the greatest increase to hosting capacity for a lower cost. This portfolio would result in a total investment of six new substation transformers to enable the buildout of eight distributed feeders. Feeder upgrades that scored highest typically required small investments, but only

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

resulted in marginal increases in hosting capacity. Additional upgrades would be required in the future to further increase hosting capacity beyond the marginal feeder upgrade increase.

Table 5-8 represents the highest scoring solutions portfolio based on the holistic financial benefit cost perspective. This holistic financial analysis considered the other benefits provided by a BESS installation in addition to increasing hosting capacity. This holistic perspective resulted in the 6 MVA BESS solution scoring highest for each feeder in most cases. However, feeder upgrades were not desirable for some feeders because of the high cost. For additional feeders, feeder upgrades are not applicable because they are presently constructed to the maximum standard. Feeder upgrades only scored the highest for three of the feeders evaluated. Feeder upgrades provide a marginal increase to hosting capacity which will allow customers to interconnect new PV in the near term, but as PV penetration continues to increase, additional solutions will be required. A 6 MVA BESS could be added to SCEN12, SOCO12, and TOME12 to enable more customer PV generation as PV penetration levels necessitate. This portfolio represents 15-6 MVA BESS installations within the PNM distribution system totaling 90 MVA of capacity and 360 MWH of energy storage.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Table 5-7: Hosting Capacity Benefit Cost Portfolio

Feeder	Capital Project Category	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)
A10083	Dedicated Feeder	4,426	\$4,511,562	0.98
ARIB11	Feeder Upgrades	1,090	\$156,470	6.97
COLL12	Feeder Upgrades	1,020	\$151,149	6.75
DEMW11	Dedicated Feeder*	8,072	\$8,420,781	0.96
ELCE11	Dedicated Feeder*	8,091	\$15,970,100	0.51
GOLD13	Dedicated Feeder	10,743	\$1,600,027	6.71
HOND12	Feeder Upgrades	2,390	\$2,435,763	0.98
JARA12	Dedicated Feeder	8,742	\$5,881,256	1.49
LOHO12	Dedicated Feeder*	9,758	\$4,134,726	2.36
LOHO13	Dedicated Feeder*	9,898	\$4,956,000	2.00
LOHO14	Dedicated Feeder*	9,760	\$4,043,470	2.41
LSMO12	Feeder Upgrades	1,469	\$885,270	1.66
LSMO21	Feeder Upgrades	1,944	\$878,801	2.21
PROG13	Dedicated Feeder*	7,646	\$19,546,830	0.39
SCEN12	Feeder Upgrades	1,262	\$1,967,632	0.64
SOCO12	Feeder Upgrades	1,943	\$183,615	10.58
STPE12	Dedicated Feeder*	8,367	\$11,316,078	0.74
TOME12	Feeder Upgrades	2,620	\$82,117	31.91
Total	-	99,241	\$87,121,647	-

*A new substation transformer/upgraded substation transformer is required for the dedicated feeder buildout solution. Five (5) total new substation transformers would be required if this portfolio were constructed.

Table 5-8: Holistic Financial Benefit Cost Portfolio

Feeder	Capital Project Category	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Holistic Financial Benefit Cost Ratio Score
A10083	6 MVA BESS	5,637	\$14,350,000	1.37
ARIB11	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	5,841	\$14,506,470	1.37
COLL12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	7,187	\$14,501,149	1.38
DEMW11	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	4,794	\$14,892,473	1.34
ELCE11	6 MVA BESS	2,531	\$14,350,000	1.31
GOLD13	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	5,699	\$14,350,000	1.39
HOND12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	8,116	\$16,785,763	1.37
JARA12	6 MVA BESS	3,897	\$14,350,000	1.39
LOHO12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	6,050	\$14,506,470	1.37
LOHO13	6 MVA BESS	5,079	\$14,350,000	1.39
LOHO14	6 MVA BESS	5,512	\$14,350,000	1.39
LSMO12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	6,980	\$15,385,271	1.30
LSMO21	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	6,802	\$15,228,801	1.27
PROG13	6 MVA BESS	2,425	\$14,350,000	1.62
SCEN12	Feeder Upgrades	1,262	\$1,967,632	1.84
SOCO12	Feeder Upgrades	1,943	\$183,615	20.07
STPE12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	6,207	\$18,664,629	1.10
TOME12	Feeder Upgrades	2,620	\$82,117	39.92
Total	-	88,582	\$227,154,390	-

Note: 15-6 MVA BESS systems are included in this portfolio focusing on the holistic financial benefit cost analysis. This investment would result in 90 MVA of BESS capacity and 360 MWH of energy storage.

6.0 Conclusion

Photovoltaic (PV) penetration is growing within the Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM or the Company) system. High PV penetration can present benefits but can also result in challenges for operating and maintaining the distribution system. In response to rule 568 PNM was granted partial variance in NMPRC Order 23-00072-UT-2023-06-14 to conduct studies to evaluate **PNM’s technical concerns regarding Variance requests 1, 2, and 3. As ordered, PNM worked with** the Department of Energy (DOE) and the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) to design the pilot study. The purpose of this pilot study was to better understand the actual limits on distribution feeders for hosting new PV generation given the levels of PV penetration PNM is experiencing. That report⁵ was submitted to the NMPRC on May 23, 2024.

In this report, after understanding the limitations of distribution feeders, multiple hosting capacity improvement solutions were evaluated for 18 **of PNM’s PV** saturated feeders. The types of hosting capacity improvement solutions that were evaluated are shown in Figure 6-1. A financial analysis was then performed to understand the value of each solution and ultimately recommend a portfolio of hosting capacity improvement projects for these PV saturated feeders.

Figure 6-1: Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Evaluated



6.1 Pending Customer PV Interconnection Analysis

As of January 1, 2024, 13 of the 18 PV saturated feeders had pending customer PV interconnections. Based on the results of the first hosting capacity study report, it was determined that there was remaining hosting capacity on many of the PV saturated feeders. 1898 & Co. modeled the pending PV projects to determine if the distribution feeder could successfully host all pending customer PV projects as of January 1, 2024. For 11 of the 13 feeders evaluated, the pending PV customers modeled can successfully interconnect without any system improvements. This will result in 230 new PV interconnections and an increase of 1,391 kVA in customer-owned PV capacity. Existing thermal violations were observed on LSMO12 and STPE12 which must be mitigated through system improvements before pending PV interconnections can successfully interconnect. The hosting capacity improvement solutions proposed in this report for

⁵ Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Analysis Report
https://edocket.prc.nm.gov/AspSoft/HandlerDocument.ashx?document_id=1233550

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

these two feeders will allow the pending PV customers to interconnect successfully to LSMO12 and STPE12.


As of August 19, 2024, there are 7 pending customer PV interconnections resulting in 51 kVA of capacity that have been placed on hold since January 1, 2024. All these additional pending customer PV interconnections have been modeled on PV saturated feeders with the proposed hosting capacity improvement solutions in place. With hosting capacity improvement solutions in place, all pending customer PV projects through August 19, 2024, can successfully interconnect.

Going forward, all applications on PV saturated feeders will follow the rule 568 screening process. Since these feeders have reverse power flow at minimum load timeframes, they will fail the 100% of minimum load screen and require supplemental review. Under supplemental review, each application will be studied to see if there is remaining hosting capacity to safely interconnect the project. If it is determined that improvements are required, but that these improvements are within the recommended solutions provided in this report, the customers can be placed on hold until the recommended solutions for the PV saturated feeders are implemented.

6.2 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Analysis

All capital project solutions investigated for the 18 PV saturated feeders resulted in an increase in hosting capacity. However, the increase in hosting capacity varied across the feeder and was influenced by several factors. The dedicated feeder buildout scenario most often provided the greatest increase in hosting capacity while feeder upgrades generally provided a marginal increase in hosting capacity. For some of the feeders, upgrades were not applicable because the feeder is presently built to the maximum PNM standard ratings and no increase in hosting capacity is shown for that scenario. Figure 6-2 shows the Synergi analysis hosting capacity increase for each capital project alternative relative to the base case model results.

Figure 6-2: Hosting Capacity Increase per Solution Results



Note: An absent vertical bar indicates that hosting capacity increase was not applicable for the given scenario.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

6.3 Portfolio of Recommended Capital Project Solutions

Table 6-1 provides the recommended portfolio of capital project solutions to improve hosting capacity on the PV saturated feeders while also providing the greatest value to PNM customers. Engineering judgement, in combination with the two-perspective financial analysis, was used to determine this proposed solution portfolio.

In selecting this portfolio of solutions, the BESS solutions were considered valuable because of the hosting capacity increase on the local distribution feeder, but also because of the ability to avoid investments in other parts of the system. PNM foresees battery storage as a need moving forward in the clean energy transition. By building BESS on these distribution feeders, less battery storage must be constructed on the transmission system. While the dedicated feeder buildout solution typically resulted in the greatest hosting capacity increase for distribution feeders, these investments provide no benefit to other parts of the overall PNM system which can also become constrained as PV levels rise. The transmission system can be constrained during times of low load and high PV generation. Even after performing dedicated feeder buildouts, additional transmission system upgrades could be required in certain areas which would significantly impact the cost of **upgrades to improve PNM's overall system hosting capacity**. BESS solutions located in proximity to PV generation can reduce PV generation power flow on the transmission system and improve transmission congestion in lieu of transmission upgrades.

PNM plans to prioritize the feeder upgrades in the near-term to unlock incremental hosting capacity quickly and enable more customers to connect their new PV systems successfully. The proposed 6 MVA BESS systems can be constructed as PV penetration levels rise locally to improve feeder hosting capacity or BESS systems can be constructed to obtain the overall system benefits captured in the holistic system benefit analysis. Timelines are provided for the individual projects in Table 6-1 below. Where feeder upgrades and a 6 MVA BESS are proposed, the first timeline is for the feeder upgrades only. The second timeline is for the BESS construction. The timeline to construct the overall portfolio will be greater than three years as it will be subject to budget allocations.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

Table 6-1: Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions Portfolio

Feeder	Capital Project Solution Category	Increase in Hosting Capacity kVA	Capital Project Cost	Construction Timeline
A10083	6 MVA BESS	5,637	\$14,350,000	3 Years
ARIB11	Dedicated Feeder	9,960	\$8,245,205	3 Years
COLL12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	7,187	\$14,501,149	1 Year / 3 Years
DEMW11**	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	4,794	\$14,892,473	1 Year / 3 Years
ELCE11	6 MVA BESS	2,531	\$14,350,000	3 Years
GOLD13**	Dedicated Feeder	10,743	\$1,600,027	1 Year
HOND12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	8,116	\$16,785,763	1 Year / 3 Years
JARA12	6 MVA BESS	3,897	\$14,350,000	3 Years
LOHO12	Dedicated Feeder	9,758	\$4,134,726	3 Years
LOHO13	Dedicated Feeder	9,898	\$4,956,000	3 Years
LOHO14	Dedicated Feeder	9,760	\$4,043,470	3 Years
LSMO12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	6,980	\$15,385,271	1 Year / 3 Years
LSMO21	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	6,802	\$15,228,801	1 Year / 3 Years
PROG13	6 MVA BESS	2,425	\$14,350,000	3 Years
SCEN12	Feeder Upgrades	1,262	\$1,967,632	1 Year
SOCO12*	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	5,291	\$-	Installed
STPE12	Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS	8,367	\$18,664,629	2 Years / 3 Years
TOME12*	6 MVA BESS	6,460	\$-	Installed
-	-	119,868	\$177,805,146	-

*SOCO12 & TOME12 were selected for the Phase I BESS installations and are installed. Phase I selection was based on different criteria. The feeder upgrades only solution scored highest for these feeders because it provided a least cost alternative to provide an increase in hosting capacity. The 6 MVA BESS that is installed provides more hosting capacity for future customer interconnections.

**For DEMW11 & GOLD13, the SGIA has not been constructed. The proposed capital project solution would not be constructed unless the SGIA moves forward with construction, or if pending customers are not able to connect to the PNM system without system improvements built.

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

7.0 Appendix - Feeder Analysis

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

A10083 Analysis

1898 

Confidential Information

1

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL


A10083 - Feeder Overview

Feeder Data

- Feeder Rating: 11,663 kVA
- Existing Generation: 9,973 kVA
- Minimum Daylight Load: -7,959 kW
- Minimum Daylight Gross Load: 1,282 kW / 736 kVAR
- Substation LTC Setpoint: 125 V
- Primary Voltage: 12.47 kV

Protection & Equipment Pickup/Ratings

Device	Name	Pick Up Rating (A)
Breaker	A10083 Breaker	600
Recloser	R1229A	140
Recloser	R12327A	200
Recloser	R127A	140
Recloser	R1285A	140
Recloser	RC1097A	300
Recloser	SN004A	600



Base Case Load Flow Analysis (Minimum daylight Load)

kW	kVAR	kVA	PF %	Phase A Amps	Phase B Amps	Phase C Amps	Min Voltage	Max Voltage	Max Conductor Loading % Normal Rating
-7,959	1,159	8,043	-99	358	360	354	122.4	125.0	96


1898^{CS} CO.

Confidential Information

2

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898^{co}

Confidential Information

3

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



4

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{CO}

Confidential Information

5

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 CO.

Confidential Information

6

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{INC} _{CO.}

Confidential Information

7

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



Confidential Information

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

A10083 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrade* with 2 MVA BESS	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 MVA BESS Only	3,675	5,501	1,826	\$14,300,000	0.13	0.73
Feeder Upgrades* with 6 MVA BESS	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 MVA BESS Only	3,675	9,312	5,637	\$14,350,000	0.39	1.37
Dedicated Feeder	3,675	8,101	4,426	\$4,511,562	0.98	0.12

*This scenario was not applicable for this analysis.

The 6 MVA BESS only solution is proposed for Alamogordo Feeder 83. The 6 MVA BESS scored the highest holistic benefit cost ratio. This feeder has an existing large-scale PV facility and a new proposed Community Solar Program project. Installing the BESS will help to regulate power flow on the feeder for both projects. No feeder upgrades are applicable as this feeder is built to the maximum PNM standard.



Confidential Information

9

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

ARIB11 Analysis

1898^{co.}

Confidential Information

10


1898^{co.}

55

Public Service Company of New Mexico

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



Confidential Information

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



12

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



13

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

14

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



15

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



Confidential Information

16

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

ARIB11 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	1,099	2,100	1,090	\$156,470	-6.97	1.16
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	1,099	5,010	3,911	\$14,456,470	0.27	0.74
2 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	1,099	6,940	5,841	\$14,506,470	0.40	1.37
6 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dedicated Feeder	1,099	11,060	9,960	\$8,245,205	1.21	0.04

*This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

The dedicated feeder buildout solution is proposed for Ariba Feeder 11. Building a dedicated feeder will require an upgrade to the substation transformer to the PNM standard 33.7 MVA size. These substation upgrades will enable the dedicated feeder to provide the greatest increase to hosting capacity in the area as the Ariba Substation transformer is at high PV saturation as well as Ariba Feeder 11.


1898^{inc.}

Confidential Information

17

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



Confidential Information

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

COLL12 Analysis

1898^{co.}

Confidential Information

19


1898^{co.}

64

Public Service Company of New Mexico

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



20

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{CO}

Confidential Information

21

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898

Confidential Information

22

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898

Can't Get the Information?

23

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

24

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{INC} _{CO}

Confidential Information

25

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



Can/Gen/Inf/Information

26

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

COLL12 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	781	1,800	1,020	\$151,149	6.75	1.20
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	781	4,698	3,917	\$14,451,149	0.27	0.74
2 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	781	7,968	7,187	\$14,501,149	0.50	1.38
6 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dedicated Feeder	781	9,063	8,282	\$13,487,292	0.61	0.02

*This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

The Feeder Upgrades and 6 MVA BESS solution is proposed for College Feeder 12. Feeder upgrades should be performed first for this feeder and as PV penetration increases or as the system requires more energy storage, a 6 MVA BESS can be constructed to continue increasing hosting capacity on College Feeder 12.


1898^{co}

Confidential Information

27

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



1898  ^{CO}

Confidential Information

28


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{SC} CO.

Can't Get the Information?

30

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



31

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

32

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{CO}

Confidential Information

33

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{CO}

Confidential Information

34

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



1898 ^{CO}

Confidential Information

35

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

DEM11 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	1,308	1,280	-28*	\$542,472	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	1,308	3,208	1,900	\$14,842,473	0.13	0.72
2 MVA BESS Only**	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	1,308	6,102	4,794	\$14,892,473	0.32	1.34
6 MVA BESS Only**	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dedicated Feeder	1,308	9,380	8,072	\$8,420,780	0.96	0.04

*Deming West Substation transformer is more limiting to hosting capacity than the feeder rating. Feeder upgrades would not remove the substation transformer constraint. After feeder upgrades are performed, losses would decrease on the feeder and the maximum hosting capacity decreased by a small amount.

**This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

The Feeder Upgrades and 6 MVA BESS solution is proposed for Deming West Feeder 11. For DEM11 the SGIA has not been constructed. The proposed capital project solution would not be constructed unless the SGIA moves forward with construction, or if pending customers are not able to connect to the PNM system without system improvements built.



Confidential Information

36

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

ELCE11 Analysis

1898^{co.}

Confidential Information

37


1898^{co.}

82

Public Service Company of New Mexico

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898^{co}

Confidential Information

38

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898^{co.}

Confidential Information

39

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



40

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



Confidential Information

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



42

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{inc.}

Confidential Information

43

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{CO}

Confidential Information

44

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

45

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

ELCE11 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	2,019	4,178	2,159	\$6,247,100	0.35	0.16
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	2,019	5,787	3,768	\$20,547,079	0.18	0.54
2 MVA BESS	2,019	3,630	1,611	\$14,300,000	0.11	0.78
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	2,019	7,844	5,825	\$20,597,079	0.28	0.98
6 MVA BESS	2,019	4,550	2,531	\$14,350,000	0.18	1.31
Dedicated Feeder	2,019	10,110	8,091	\$15,970,100	0.51	0.07

The 6 MVA BESS only solution is proposed for El Cerro Feeder 11. Installing the BESS only will reduce the overall project cost if feeder upgrades were included. The existing large-scale PV is located at the far end of the feeder and contributed to a significant feeder upgrade cost.

1898^{co}

Confidential Information

47

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

GOLD13 Analysis

1898^{co.}

Confidential Information

48


1898^{co.}

93

Public Service Company of New Mexico

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898

Confidential Information

49

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

GOLD13 - Feeder Upgrades

Hosting Capacity	
Base HC	2.14 MW
Max HC	2.14 MW
HC Increase	0 MW

Reverse Flow Limits
Max HC

System Improvement	
	Cost
No traditional system upgrades are applicable. The feeder is built to the maximum PNM conductor and equipment standards.	
TOTAL	\$0

Existing Solar Facility

Legend

- Battery
- Conductor Upgrade
- New Conductor
- R Feeder Relay
- F New Feeder
- New Recloser
- Existing Recloser
- S New Substation
- ☀ Solar Facility
- Substation


Financial Analysis	
Hosting Capacity Increase (MW)	0
Cost (\$)	0
Hosting Capacity Benefit Cost	-
Holistic System Benefit Cost	-

Confidential Information

51

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

52

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

53

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



Confidential Information

54

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

GOLD13 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS*	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 MVA BESS Only	2,139	4,037	1,898	\$14,300,000	0.13	0.75
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS*	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 MVA BESS Only	2,139	7,838	5,699	\$14,350,000	0.40	1.39
Dedicated Feeder	2,139	12,882	10,743	\$1,600,027	6.71	0.23

*This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

The Dedicated Feeder Buildout solution is proposed for Gold Feeder 13. For GOLD13 the SGIA has not been constructed. The proposed capital project solution would not be constructed unless the SGIA moves forward with construction, or if pending customers are not able to connect to the PNM system without system improvements built.



Confidential Information

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

HOND12 Analysis

1898 

Confidential Information

56


1898 

101

Public Service Company of New Mexico

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



57

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



58

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 CO Can't Get the Information?

59

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

60

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 CO

Confidential Information

61

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

62

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



Confidential Information

63

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

HOND12 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	1,352	3,740	2,390	\$2,435,763	-0.98	1.34
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	1,352	5,603	4,251	\$16,735,763	0.25	0.82
2 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	1,352	9,468	8,116	\$16,785,763	0.48	1.37
6 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dedicated Feeder	1,352	9,994	8,642	\$10,392,223	0.83	0.33

*This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

The Feeder Upgrades and 6 MVA BESS solution is proposed for Hondale Feeder 12. Feeder upgrades should be performed first for this feeder and as PV penetration increases or as the system requires more energy storage, a 6 MVA BESS can be constructed to continue increasing hosting capacity on Hondale Feeder 12.




Confidential Information

64

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



1898

Confidential Information

65

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

JARA12 Analysis

1898^{co.}

Confidential Information

66


1898^{co.}

111

Public Service Company of New Mexico


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898  CO.

Confidential Information

68

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 CO

69

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{inc.} _{co.}

Confidential Information

70

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



71

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

72

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



1898 ^{INC} _{CO}

Confidential Information

73

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

JARA12 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS*	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 MVA BESS Only	3,269	4,846	1,577	\$14,300,000	0.11	0.74
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS*	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 MVA BESS Only	3,269	7,166	3,897	\$14,350,000	0.27	1.39
Dedicated Feeder	3,269	12,011	8,742	\$5,881,256	1.49	0.06

*This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

The 6 MVA BESS only solution is proposed for Jarales Feeder 12. The 6 MVA BESS scored the highest holistic benefit cost ratio. No feeder upgrades are applicable as this feeder is built to the maximum PNM standard.

1898^{co}

Confidential Information

74

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

LOHO12 Analysis

1898 

Confidential Information

75


1898 

120

Public Service Company of New Mexico

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



76

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

77

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



78

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{inc} _{co}

Confidential Information

79

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{co.}

Confidential Information

80

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 CO

Confidential Information

81

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



82

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

LOHO12 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	1,060	2,960	1,900	\$156,470	12.14	1.26
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	1,060	4,853	3,793	\$14,456,470	0.26	0.74
2 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	1,060	7,110	6,050	\$14,506,470	0.42	1.37
6 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dedicated Feeder	1,060	10,818	9,758	\$4,134,726	2.36	0.08

*This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

The Dedicated Feeder Buildout solution is proposed for Lost Horizon Feeder 12. There are three existing large-scale PV facilities served by Lost Horizon feeders. A new substation transformer and switchgear is required to build out this dedicated feeder, but the substation cost can be shared across three feeders.

1898^{co.}

Confidential Information

83

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

LOHO13 Analysis

1898 

Confidential Information

84


1898 

129

Public Service Company of New Mexico

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Can't Get the Information?

85


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{INC} _{CO}

Confidential Information

88

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 CO

Confidential Information

89

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



90

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

LOHO13 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS*	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 MVA BESS Only	267	2,157	1,890	\$14,300,000	0.13	0.75
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS*	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 MVA BESS Only	267	5,346	5,079	\$14,350,000	0.35	1.39
Dedicated Feeder	267	10,165	9,898	\$4,956,000	2.00	0.07

*This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

The Dedicated Feeder Buildout solution is proposed for Lost Horizon Feeder 13. There are three existing large-scale PV facilities served by Lost Horizon feeders. A new substation transformer and switchgear is required to build out this dedicated feeder, but the substation cost can be shared across three feeders.

1898^{co.}

Confidential Information

91

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

LOHO14 Analysis

1898 

Confidential Information

92


1898 

137

Public Service Company of New Mexico

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



93

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



94

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



95

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Can/GenStar (Info)/Map

96

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Can't Get the Information?

97

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

LOHO14 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS*	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 MVA BESS Only	1,616	3,512	1,896	\$14,300,000	0.13	0.75
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS*	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 MVA BESS Only	1,616	7,128	5,512	\$14,350,000	0.38	1.39
Dedicated Feeder	1,616	11,376	9,760	\$4,043,470	2.41	0.09

*This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

The Dedicated Feeder Buildout solution is proposed for Lost Horizon Feeder 14. There are three existing large-scale PV facilities served by Lost Horizon feeders. A new substation transformer and switchgear is required to build out this dedicated feeder, but the substation cost can be shared across three feeders.

1898^{co.}

Confidential Information

99

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

LSMO12 - Feeder Overview

Existing generation capacity exceeds the feeder rating.

Feeder Data

- Feeder Rating: **9,288 kVA**
- Existing Generation: **10,216 kVA**
- Minimum Daylight Load: -9,682 kW
- Minimum Daylight Gross Load: 307 kW / 302 kVAR
- Substation LTC Setpoint: 123 V
- Primary Voltage: 12.47 kV

Existing Solar Facility
 -9,990 kW
 446 kVAR
 99.8% PF

Los Morros Substation

SN1019V

Protection & Equipment Pickup/Ratings		
Device	Name	Pick Up Rating (A)
Breaker	LOMO12 Breaker	480
Recloser	SN1019V	600

LSMO12 feeder could operate beyond the normal feeder rating if all generation is operating at 100% output coincident with minimum daylight load. This represents risk to the PNM system.


Base Case Load Flow Analysis (Minimum daylight Load)									
kW	kVAR	kVA	PF %	Phase A Amps	Phase B Amps	Phase C Amps	Min Voltage	Max Voltage	Max Conductor Loading % Normal Rating
-9,682	1,177	9,753	-99	439	449	434	123.0	125.4	106.0

Confidential Information

101

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898

Confidential Information

102

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



103

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 CO

Confidential Information

104

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

105

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{CO}

Confidential Information

106

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



1898 CO

Confidential Information

107

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

LSMO12 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	Thermal Violation	1,469	1,469	\$885,270	1.66	0.21
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	Thermal Violation	3,373	3,373	\$15,335,281	0.22	0.7
2 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	Thermal Violation	6,980	6,980	\$15,385,271	0.45	1.3
6 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dedicated Feeder	Thermal Violation	9,296	9,296	\$5,011,594	1.85	0.07

*This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

The Feeder Upgrades and 6 MVA BESS solution is proposed for Los Morros Feeder 12. Feeder upgrades should be performed first for this feeder and as PV penetration increases or as the system requires more energy storage, a 6 MVA BESS can be constructed to continue increasing hosting capacity on Los Morros Feeder 12.



Confidential Information

108

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

LSMO12 - Feeder Overview with Queued Solar with Feeder Upgrades and 6 MVA BESS Solution Constructed

Feeder Data

- Feeder Rating: 11,231 kVA
- Existing Generation: 10,288 kVA
- Minimum Daylight Load: -4,019 kW
- Minimum Daylight Gross Load: 307 kW / 302 kVAR
- Substation LTC Setpoint: 123 V
- Primary Voltage: 12.47 kV
- Queued Solar Projects: 17
- Total Queued AC Inverter Nameplate: 71 kW

Protection & Equipment Pickup/Ratings		
Device	Name	Pick Up Rating (A)
Breaker	LSMO12 Breaker	480
Recloser	SN1019V	600

The feeder can serve queued PV interconnection requests without violations.

Existing Solar Facility
-9,990 kW
446 kVAR
99.8% PF

Los Morros Substation

71 kW of queued PV interconnections are on hold for LSMO12.

* Queued PV Interconnection

Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS Load Flow Analysis (Minimum daylight Load)

kW	kVAR	kVA	PF %	Phase A Amps	Phase B Amps	Phase C Amps	Min Voltage	Max Voltage	Max Conductor Loading % Normal Rating
-4,019	449	4,044	-99	184	184	180	123.0	123.9	86.2

1898

Confidential Information

109

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL


LSMO21 - Feeder Overview

Feeder Data

- Feeder Rating: 9,288 kVA
- Existing Generation: 9,032 kVA
- Minimum Daylight Load: -7,944 kW
- Minimum Daylight Gross Load: 848 kW / -3 kVAR
- Substation LTC Setpoint: 122 V
- Primary Voltage: 12.47 kV

Protection & Equipment Pickup/Ratings

Device	Name	Pick Up Rating (A)
Breaker	LOMO21 Breaker	600
Recloser	RC1049V	460
Recloser	SN002V	450



Base Case Load Flow Analysis (Minimum daylight Load)

kW	kVAR	kVA	PF %	Phase A Amps	Phase B Amps	Phase C Amps	Min Voltage	Max Voltage	Max Conductor Loading % Normal Rating
-7,994	333	8,001	-100	364	368	361	122.0	123.4	97.0

1898

Can't Get the Information?

111

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

LSMO21 - Feeder Overview with Queued Solar

Feeder Data


- Feeder Rating: 9,288 kVA
- Existing Generation: 9,040 kVA
- Minimum Daylight Load: -8,001 kW
- Minimum Daylight Gross Load: 848 kW / -3 kVAR
- Substation LTC Setpoint: 122 V
- Primary Voltage: 12.47 kV
- Queued Solar Projects: 1
- Total Queued AC Inverter Nameplate: 8 kW

Protection & Equipment Pickup/Ratings

Device	Name	Pick Up Rating (A)
Breaker	LOMO21 Breaker	600
Recloser	RC1049V	460
Recloser	SN002V	450



The feeder can serve queued PV interconnection requests without violations.



Base Case Load Flow Analysis (Minimum daylight Load)

kW	kVAR	kVA	PF %	Phase A Amps	Phase B Amps	Phase C Amps	Min Voltage	Max Voltage	Max Conductor Loading % Normal Rating
-8,001	333	8,008	-100	365	368	361	122.0	123.4	96.6


1898

Confidential Information

112

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Can/GenStar Information

113


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

115

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

116

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

LSMO21 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	1,272	3,216	1,944	\$878,801	2.21	0.23
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	1,272	5,161	3,889	\$15,178,801	0.26	0.68
2 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	1,272	8,074	6,802	\$15,228,801	0.45	1.27
6 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dedicated Feeder	1,272	10,196	8,924	\$4,702,933	1.90	0.09

*This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

The Feeder Upgrades and 6 MVA BESS solution is proposed for Los Morros Feeder 21. Feeder upgrades should be performed first for this feeder and as PV penetration increases or as the system requires more energy storage, a 6 MVA BESS can be constructed to continue increasing hosting capacity on Los Morros Feeder 21.


1898SM
CO.

Confidential Information

118


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898

Confidential Information

121

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



122


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



124

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

125

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

126


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

PROG13 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	3,255	4,506	1,251	\$5,930,712	0.21	0.61
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	3,255	6,120	2,865	\$20,230,712	0.14	0.69
2 MVA BESS Only	3,255	4,850	1,595	\$14,300,000	0.11	0.97
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	3,255	7,391	4,136	\$20,280,712	0.20	1.15
6 MVA BESS Only	3,255	5,680	2,425	\$14,350,000	0.17	1.62
Dedicated Feeder	3,255	10,901	7,646	\$19,546,830	0.39	0.2

The 6 MVA BESS only solution is proposed for Progress Feeder 13. Installing the BESS only will reduce the overall project cost if feeder upgrades were included. The existing large-scale PV is located at the far end of the feeder and contributed to a significant feeder upgrade cost.


1898^{co}

Confidential Information

129


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

131

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{CO}

Confidential Information

132


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

134

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Can't Get the Information?

135

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



136

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Can't Get the Information?

137

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



138

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



139

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

SCEN12 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	1,297	2,559	1,262	\$1,967,632	-0.64	1.84
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	1,297	4,179	2,882	\$16,267,632	0.18	0.86
2 MVA BESS Only	1,297	2,910	1,613	\$14,300,000	0.11	0.97
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	1,297	6,453	5,156	\$16,317,632	0.32	1.43
6 MVA BESS Only	1,297	4,480	3,183	\$14,350,000	0.22	1.61
Dedicated Feeder	1,297	9,906	8,609	\$13,940,195	0.62	0.29

The Feeder Upgrades only solution is proposed for Scenic Feeder 12. Focusing on feeder upgrades first will provide an increase to hosting capacity while limiting the overall project cost. If rising PV penetration on Scenic Feeder 12 requires additional hosting capacity, a 6 MVA BESS could be constructed on this feeder after constructing feeder upgrades.




Confidential Information

140

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



1898 ^{CO}

Confidential Information

141

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

SOC012 - Feeder Overview


Feeder Data

- Feeder Rating - **9,288 kVA**
- Existing Generation - **10,708 kVA**
- Minimum Daylight Load: -7,707 kW
- Minimum Daylight Gross Load- 2,143 kW / 957 kVAR
- Substation LTC Setpoint - 122 V
- Primary Voltage - 12.47 kV

Protection & Equipment Pickup/Ratings

Device	Name	Pick Up Rating (A)
Breaker	SOC012 Breaker	600
Recloser	RC1128	290
Recloser	SN1017	625

Existing generation capacity exceeds the feeder rating.



Base Case Load Flow Analysis (Minimum daylight Load)

kW	kVAR	kVA	PF %	Phase A Amps	Phase B Amps	Phase C Amps	Min Voltage	Max Voltage	Max Conductor Loading % Normal Rating
-7,707	3,546	8,484	-91	381	392	387	121.6	123.0	97.0

1898

Confidential Information

143

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

SOC012 - Feeder Overview with Queued Solar as of 1-1-14

Feeder Data

- Feeder Rating - **9,288 kVA**
- Existing Generation - **11,063 kVA**
- Minimum Daylight Load: -8,067 kW
- Minimum Daylight Gross Load- 2,143 kW / 957 kVAR
- Substation LTC Setpoint - 122 V
- Primary Voltage - 12.47 kV
- Queued Solar Projects: **55**
- Total Queued AC Inverter Nameplate: **364 kW**

Protection & Equipment Pickup/Ratings		
Device	Name	Pick Up Rating (A)
Breaker	SOC012 Breaker	600
Recloser	RC1128	290
Recloser	SN1017	625

✓

The feeder can serve queued PV interconnection requests without violations.

Existing Solar Facility
 -9,504 kW
 3,112 kVAR
 95% PF

Existing generation capacity exceeds the feeder rating.

364 kW of queued PV interconnections are on hold for SOC012 as of 1-1-24

* Queued PV interconnection

Base Case Load Flow Analysis (Minimum daylight Load)									
kW	kVAR	kVA	PF %	Phase A Amps	Phase B Amps	Phase C Amps	Min Voltage	Max Voltage	Max Conductor Loading % Normal Rating
-8,067	3,561	8,818	-91	401	404	400	121.8	123.5	98.2


1898 CO.

Confidential Information

144

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

145

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



146

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



147


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



Confidential Information

149

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

SOCO12 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	1,224	3,167	1,943	\$183,615	10.58	20.07
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	1,224	5,011	3,787	\$14,633,616	0.26	0.96
2 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	1,224	6,515	5,291	\$14,683,616	0.36	1.59
6 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dedicated Feeder	1,224	10,350	9,126	\$9,499,505	0.96	0.43

*This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

A 6 MVA BESS has been constructed and is installed on South Coors Feeder 12. When this BESS is in operation it will provide an increase to hosting capacity on this feeder.


1898^{co}

Confidential Information

150

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



151


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



153


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 ^{inc.}

Confidential Information

156

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



157

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

158

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 CO

Confidential Information

159

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 co.

Confidential Information

160

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



Confidential Information

161

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

STPE12 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	Thermal Violation	2,520	2,520	\$4,314,628	0.58	0.26
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	Thermal Violation	4,289	4,289	\$18,614,629	0.23	0.62
2 MVA BESS Only	Thermal Violation	1,280	1,280	\$14,300,000	0.09	0.78
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	Thermal Violation	6,207	6,207	\$18,664,629	0.33	1.10
6 MVA BESS Only	Thermal Violation	1,600	1,600	\$14,350,000	0.11	1.08
Dedicated Feeder	Thermal Violation	9,590	9,590	\$11,772,572	0.81	0.33

The Feeder Upgrades and 6 MVA BESS solution is proposed for State Pen Feeder 12. Feeder upgrades should be performed first for this feeder and as PV penetration increases or as the system requires more energy storage, a 6 MVA BESS can be constructed to continue increasing hosting capacity on State Pen Feeder 12.


1898SM_{CO}

Confidential Information

162

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

TOME12 Analysis

1898 

Confidential Information

164


1898 

209

Public Service Company of New Mexico

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

165

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



166


August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

168

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




Confidential Information

169

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL




1898 CO.
 Confidential Information

170

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



171

August 23, 2024

Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL

TOME12 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	Thermal Violation	2,620	2,620	\$82,117	31.91	39.92
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	Thermal Violation	4,299	4,299	\$14,382,117	0.30	0.96
2 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	Thermal Violation	6,460	6,460	\$14,432,117	0.45	1.59
6 MVA BESS Only*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dedicated Feeder	Thermal Violation	9,590	9,590	\$11,772,572	0.81	0.33

*This scenario was not applicable to this analysis.

A 6 MVA BESS has been constructed and is installed on Tome Feeder 12. When this BESS is in operation it will provide an increase to hosting capacity on this feeder.


1898^{co}

Confidential Information

172

August 23, 2024


Rule 568 Hosting Capacity Improvement Solutions - FINAL



173



1898andco.com



Engineering Plans for State Pen Feeder 12

PNM Exhibit EH-3

Is contained in the following 12 pages.

STPE12 Analysis

1898_{CO}

Confidential Information


152

STPE12 - Feeder Overview

Feeder Data

- Feeder Rating - **8,208 kVA**
- Existing Generation - **10,066 kVA**
- Daylight Minimum Load: -7,839 kW
- Daylight Minimum Gross Load: 1,390 kW / 1,096 kVAR
- Substation LTC Setpoint - 122 V
- Primary Voltage - 12.47 kV

Protection & Equipment Pickup/Ratings		
Device	Name	Pick Up Rating (A)
Breaker	STPE Breaker	600
Recloser	R135F	190
Recloser	R74F	300
Recloser	R106F	200
Recloser	R737F	200
Recloser	R738F	300
Recloser	SN1018F	500
Recloser	SN1013F	600



Base Case Load Flow Analysis (Minimum daylight Load)									
kW	kVAR	kVA	PF %	Phase A Amps	Phase B Amps	Phase C Amps	Min Voltage	Max Voltage	Max Conductor Loading % Normal Rating
-7,839	3,780	8,703	-90	387	376	426	119.9	124.3	112.0




Confidential Information

STPE12 - Feeder Overview with Queued Solar

Feeder Data

- Feeder Rating - **8,208 kVA**
- Existing Generation - **11,175 kVA**
- Daylight Minimum Load: -8,903 kW
- Daylight Minimum Gross Load: 1,390 kW / 1,096 kVAR
- Substation LTC Setpoint - 122 V
- Primary Voltage - 12.47 kV
- Queued Solar Projects: **23**
- Total Queued AC Inverter Nameplate: **1,134 kW**

X The feeder **CANNOT** serve queued PV interconnection requests without violations.



Base Case Load Flow Analysis (Minimum daylight Load)

kW	kVAR	kVA	PF %	Phase A Amps	Phase B Amps	Phase C Amps	Min Voltage	Max Voltage	Max Conductor Loading % Normal Rating
-8,903	3,941	9,736	-91	433	424	473	120.6	124.7	124.6




Confidential Information

STPE12 - Maximum Hosting Capacity Base Case w/ Queued PV


Base Case Load Flow Analysis (Minimum daylight Load)									
kW	kVAR	kVA	PF %	Phase A Amps	Phase B Amps	Phase C Amps	Min Voltage	Max Voltage	Max Conductor Loading % Normal Rating
-8,903	3,941	9,736	-91	433	424	473	120.6	124.7	124.6

Feeder Observations


- There is **ZERO** hosting capacity available in this feeder in the base case scenario.
- A **124.6%** thermal overload is observed at the feeder getaway if generation is at full output and is coincident with minimum daylight load. The getaway is 750AL DblCkt which has a rating of 380A or 8,208 kVA.




Confidential Information




Confidential Information




Confidential Information




Confidential Information



Confidential Information



Confidential Information



Confidential Information

STPE12 - Hosting Capacity Analysis Summary

Hosting Capacity Improvement Solution	Base Case Hosting Capacity kVA	New Maximum Hosting Capacity kVA	Net Hosting Capacity Increase kVA	Capital Project Cost	Net Hosting Capacity Increase (kVA) over Cost (\$K)	Holistic System Benefit Cost Ratio Score
Feeder Upgrades	Thermal Violation	2,520	2,520	\$4,314,628	-0.58	0.26
Feeder Upgrades with 2 MVA BESS	Thermal Violation	4,289	4,289	\$18,614,629	0.23	0.62
2 MVA BESS Only	Thermal Violation	1,280	1,280	\$14,300,000	0.09	0.78
Feeder Upgrades with 6 MVA BESS	Thermal Violation	6,207	6,207	\$18,664,629	0.33	1.10
6 MVA BESS Only	Thermal Violation	1,600	1,600	\$14,350,000	0.11	1.08
Dedicated Feeder	Thermal Violation	9,590	9,590	\$11,772,572	0.81	0.33

The Feeder Upgrades and 6 MVA BESS solution is proposed for State Pen Feeder 12. Feeder upgrades should be performed first for this feeder and as PV penetration increases or as the system requires more energy storage, a 6 MVA BESS can be constructed to continue increasing hosting capacity on State Pen Feeder 12.




Confidential Information

STPE12 - Feeder Overview with Queued Solar with Conductor Upgrades and 6 MVA BESS Solution Constructed

Feeder Data

- Feeder Rating - 11,231 kVA
- Existing Generation - 11,175 kVA
- Daylight Minimum Load: -3,401 kW
- Daylight Minimum Gross Load: 1,390 kW / 1,096 kVAR
- Substation LTC Setpoint - 122 V
- Primary Voltage - 12.47 kV
- Queued Solar Projects: **23**
- Total Queued AC Inverter Nameplate: **1,134 kW**

 The feeder can serve queued PV interconnection requests without violations.



Feeder Upgrades & 6 MVA BESS Load Flow Analysis (Minimum daylight Load)

kW	kVAR	kVA	PF %	Phase A Amps	Phase B Amps	Phase C Amps	Min Voltage	Max Voltage	Max Conductor Loading % Normal Rating
-3,401	2,745	4,370	-78	189	184	226	119.2	122.0	86.9



Confidential Information

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

**IN THE MATTER OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF)
NEW MEXICO’S FIRST ANNUAL GRID)
MODERNIZATION REVIEW FILING PURSUANT)
TO THE COMMISSION’S FINAL ORDER) Case No. 25-0004-UT
)
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO,)
)
Applicant.)
_____)**

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

ERFAN HAKIMIAN, Director, Transmission/Distribution Planning & Contracts,
for Public Service Company of New Mexico, upon being duly sworn according to law, under
oath, deposes and states: I have read the foregoing **Direct Testimony of Erfan Hakimian,** and it
is true and accurate based on my own personal knowledge and belief.

Dated this 19th day of June, 2025.

Erfan
Hakimian  Digitally signed by Erfan
Hakimian
Date: 2025.06.19
13:28:55 -06'00'