

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW)
MEXICO FOR REVISION OF ITS RETAIL)
ELECTRIC RATES PURSUANT TO ADVICE)
NOTICE NO. 595)
)
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW)
MEXICO,)
)
Applicant)
_____)

Case No. 22-00270-UT

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JASON A. PETERS

December 5, 2022

NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT
INDEX TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JASON A. PETERS
WITNESS FOR
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| I. | INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE | 1 |
| II. | ACCOUNTING BOOKS AND RECORDS | 3 |
| III. | CAPITAL LOADS | 5 |
| IV. | ALLOCATED COSTS FROM SHARED SERVICES AND PNMR | 9 |
| V. | LEAD-LAG STUDY | 10 |
| VI. | PENSION AND OTHER POST RETIREMENT BENEFITS | 14 |
| VII. | ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS | 21 |
| VIII. | LOSS ON REACQUIRED DEBT | 27 |
| IX. | COVID-19 REGULATORY ASSET AND LIABILITY | 29 |
| X. | MERGER-RELATED COSTS | 33 |

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| PNM EXHIBIT JAP-1 | Statement of Qualifications |
| PNM EXHIBIT JAP-2 | Listing of Cost Types and Locations |
| PNM EXHIBIT JAP-3 | Capital Loads |
| PNM EXHIBIT JAP-4 | 2023 Cost Allocation Manual-Allocators |
| PNM EXHIBIT JAP-5 | 2022 SJGS Decommissioning Study Costs |

AFFIRMATION

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Jason A. Peters. I am the Director, General Accounting for PNMR Services Company (“Shared Services”). I am testifying on behalf of Public Service Company of New Mexico (“PNM” or “Company”). My business address is 414 Silver Ave. SW, Albuquerque, NM 87102.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the accounting treatment of certain matters in this case. The specific matters discussed in my testimony are necessary to provide background and support for PNM’s cost of service proposed in this case by PNM witness Sanders. In the sections that follow, I:

- Provide background and support for PNM’s accounting books and records;
- Provide background and support for the Company’s capital loads, including Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (“AFUDC”), used to support projected capital budgets;
- Provide background and support for allocated costs from Shared Services and PNM Resources, Inc. (“PNMR”) to PNM as set forth in the Cost Allocation Manual (“CAM”);
- Present the Company’s Lead-Lag study;

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

- 1 • Provide background and support for the Company’s pension and other post-
2 retirement benefits;
- 3 • Provide background and support for the accounting for asset retirement
4 obligations;
- 5 • Describe and provide support for the cost-benefit analysis to support
6 inclusion of the Loss on Reacquired Debt;
- 7 • Describe and provide support for the Company’s COVID-19 regulatory asset
8 and liability; and
- 9 • Describe the Company’s accounting for merger-related costs.

10

11 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS DIRECTOR OF**
12 **GENERAL ACCOUNTING.**

13 **A.** As Director, General Accounting, I am responsible for oversight of the corporate
14 accounting, division accounting, general ledger administration, accounts payable
15 and payroll functions for PNMR and all its regulated subsidiaries, including PNM
16 and Texas New Mexico Power Company. My statement of qualifications is
17 contained in PNM Exhibit JAP-1.

18

19 **Q. PLEASE LIST THE RULE 530 SCHEDULES YOU ARE SPONSORING.**

20 **A.** I am sponsoring Rule 530 Schedules Q-3, Q-4, Q-5, and Q-6.

21

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

II. ACCOUNTING BOOKS AND RECORDS

1
2
3 **Q. HOW WERE PNM'S BOOKS AND RECORDS UTILIZED IN THE**
4 **PREPARATION OF THIS RATE CASE?**

5 **A.** All unadjusted Base Period¹ data used in the filed schedules, workpapers and
6 electronic models are from the Company's books and records. The unadjusted Base
7 Period data include PNM's production, transmission, distribution, and
8 administrative and general operations. PNM also incurs costs from Shared Services
9 that provide administrative and other support services to PNM. Similarly, as
10 explained below, certain costs at the PNMR level are allocated to PNM and
11 included in the cost of service. Rule 530 17.9.530.13(Q)(6) NMAC requires that
12 PNM submit an opinion of an independent certified public accountant stating that
13 an independent examination of the per book amounts and accounting adjustments
14 in PNM's books and records has been made for the Base Period and that the results
15 are in all material respects in compliance with the Uniform System of Accounts
16 prescribed by the Commission. The accounting firm of KPMG LLP performs
17 external auditing services for PNM and was engaged to perform the independent
18 review in this rate case and provided the opinion as required by Commission Rule
19 530 Schedule Q-6.

20
21 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW PNM DEVELOPS AND MAINTAINS ITS**
22 **ACCOUNTING BOOKS AND RECORDS.**

¹ The Base Period is the 12-month period ending June 30, 2022.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **A.** The Company develops and maintains its accounting books and records in
2 compliance with the Uniform System of Accounts (“USOA”) prescribed for public
3 utilities by Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”) and as prescribed by
4 the Commission in 17.3.510.10.A NMAC and in accordance with generally
5 accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”). The Company’s financial statements are
6 subject to quarterly reviews and annual audits by the Company’s external auditor,
7 KPMG LLP.

8
9 Administratively, the Company maintains its accounting books and records in
10 various integrated computer software programs including PeopleSoft (general
11 ledger, accounts payable, payroll), PowerPlan (asset management), Banner (retail
12 billing), work order management systems and various other applications.

13
14 **Q.** **WHAT ARE THE KEY COMPONENTS OF THE COMPANY’S**
15 **ACCOUNTING STRUCTURE?**

16 **A.** The key components of the Company’s accounting structure include FERC
17 account, cost type, and location. The Company’s FERC account is a six-digit
18 numerical value based on the USOA. For example, FERC account 101000 is
19 electric plant in-service and is based on USOA account 101.

20
21 Cost types identify specific types of costs incurred consistent with the term
22 “elements of cost” as defined in 17.1.3 NMAC (the “Future Test Year Rule” or

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 “FTY Rule”). These include cost types such as: labor, materials and outside
2 services. Location is used to identify costs by physical locations associated with
3 PNM facilities, or by a general area of the Company to allow recording of expenses
4 that are not identifiable by a specific location. As outlined in the CAM discussed
5 later in my testimony, PNM utility common locations and Shared Services locations
6 are used to record transactions to perform certain allocations. Please see PNM
7 Exhibit JAP-2 for the list of cost types and locations used by the Company.

8

9

III. CAPITAL LOADS

10

11 **Q. WHAT IS A CAPITAL LOAD?**

12 **A.** A capital load, normally referred to as a “load” or a “load factor”, is the percentage
13 of additional costs to be applied to base construction costs to reflect indirect costs
14 incurred in support of the construction project.

15

16 **Q. WHAT IS THE REASON THAT LOADS ARE APPLIED TO CAPITAL
17 PROJECTS?**

18 **A.** Direct costs are charged to each project during the construction phase of a capital
19 project. In addition to these direct costs, the Company incurs costs in support of
20 these construction activities that are administratively burdensome to direct charge
21 to individual projects. These support costs are assigned to construction projects
22 based on a load factor that is applied to direct costs. PNM utilizes capital load
23 factors for payroll, material, engineering and supervision (“E&S”), capitalized

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 fleet, and administrative and general (“A&G”). It is not cost effective or practical
2 to charge support costs to each individual capital project; therefore, PNM utilizes
3 capital loads or load factors to properly assign these costs to construction projects.
4 In addition, the Company applies AFUDC and capitalized interest loads to capital
5 projects using calculated rates as discussed later in my testimony.

6

7 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CAPITAL LOAD FACTORS THAT HAVE BEEN**
8 **APPLIED TO PNM’S CAPITAL SPENDING IN THIS CASE.**

9 **A.** Generally, capital load factors are calculated using actual and budget data in the
10 year before they are used (e.g., 2022 load factors are calculated in 2021). Please see
11 PNM Exhibit JAP-3 for a list of these capital load factors for 2021 and 2022 and
12 projected for 2023. PNM utilized the 2023 capital load factors for 2024, because
13 PNM does not anticipate significant changes to these load factors.

14

15 A description of these loads and how the amounts are determined is provided
16 below.

17 • Payroll loads consist of payroll taxes (“PRT”), injuries and damages insurance
18 (“I&D”), and pension and benefit costs (“P&B”) costs. Payroll loads are applied
19 to all labor costs included in construction projects. The purpose of payroll loads
20 is to recognize the additional overhead expense to capital labor for these
21 expenses. PRT consists of Federal Insurance Contributions Act (“FICA”),
22 Federal Unemployment Tax (“FUTA”) and State Unemployment Tax

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 (“SUTA”) expenses. I&D consists of insurance premiums and claims expenses.
2 P&B consists of premiums for benefit costs. The allocation of these costs to
3 capital projects is based on labor dollars charged to the project.

- 4 • Material loads consist of minor material, stores (items kept in inventory), non-
5 stores (items ordered from the supplier’s warehouse), and purchasing costs that
6 are applied to material in Company warehouses. These loads allocate the cost
7 of inventoried and non-inventoried warehouse items, including expenses
8 incurred in warehouse operations and purchasing activities. The allocation of
9 these costs to capital projects occurs through the application of these loads to
10 warehouse issuances and returns. Purchasing loads are applied to all purchase
11 transactions, including purchases of outside services.

- 12 • E&S load includes the portion of the wages and expenses of engineers,
13 supervisors and others applicable to construction work. E&S load is applied to
14 all costs included in capital projects.

- 15 • Capitalized fleet load is the allocation of costs associated with the use of fleet
16 vehicles on construction jobs. The allocation of these costs to capital projects is
17 based on labor dollars charged to the project depending on whether fleet
18 vehicles are used.

- 19 • A&G load is a predetermined overhead rate that is used to allocate the expenses
20 of administrative and general costs that cannot be readily assigned to particular
21 operations and maintenance (“O&M”), construction, or special accounts. The
22 A&G load rate is determined through periodic studies that survey Shared

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 Services functions to determine the amount of time used to support capital
2 projects. The rate is applied to all costs included in capital projects.

3

4 **Q. WHAT IS AFUDC AND CAPITALIZED INTEREST?**

5 **A.** AFUDC, or capitalized interest at Shared Services, reflects the cost of borrowed
6 funds used for construction purposes and a reasonable rate of return on other funds
7 used for construction. In other words, it represents capitalized interest cost and a
8 reasonable return on capital expenditures during the construction period before
9 plant is placed in service. PNM records AFUDC on its jurisdictional construction
10 and nuclear fuel in process assets in accordance with FERC Order No. 561. Shared
11 Services records capitalized interest on its construction projects and major
12 computer software projects.

13

14 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW AFUDC AND CAPITALIZED INTEREST**
15 **RATES WERE CALCULATED DURING THE BASE PERIOD.**

16 **A.** AFUDC rates are calculated using the AFUDC rate formula provided under FERC
17 Order No. 561, which provides that rates are calculated using average balances of
18 construction expenditures and short-term debt during the year and long-term debt
19 and equity balances and rates at the end of the prior year. Capitalized interest rates
20 at Shared Services are calculated using debt balances and exclude equity balances
21 in accordance with GAAP. Please see PNM Exhibit KTS-11 for the Company's
22 AFUDC rate calculation for the base period.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

IV. ALLOCATED COSTS FROM SHARED SERVICES AND PNMR

Q. WHAT COSTS ARE ALLOCATED FROM SHARED SERVICES OR FROM PNMR TO PNM?

A. Costs incurred by Shared Services are allocated based on a Cost Allocation Manual or CAM filed with the NMPRC. The CAM identifies the method of allocating Shared Services costs for charging affiliates. The cost assignment methods are based on selected cost drivers that meet the following five criteria: (1) cost causative; (2) measurable; (3) objective; (4) stable or predictable; and (5) consistently applicable. The CAM provides a complete description of the services provided by Shared Services. Certain assets that are held at either PNMR or Shared Services, including the headquarters building and computer software and hardware, are allocated to PNM based on the CAM. Please refer to the testimony of PNM witness Sanders for a discussion of how allocated costs are included in this case.

Q. IS THE CAM, AS PERIODICALLY REVISED, FILED WITH THE NMPRC?

A. Yes. The CAM allocators are updated at least annually and PNM files each revised CAM with the NMPRC pursuant to certain compliance requirements established in NMPRC Case No. 03-00017-UT. The 2022 CAM was filed with the NMPRC on December 21, 2021, in NMPRC Case No. 03-00017-UT and became effective January 1, 2022.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **Q. DID PNM USE THE 2022 CAM FOR THE TEST PERIOD² IN THIS CASE?**

2 **A.** No. Please refer to PNM Exhibit JAP-4 for PNM’s 2023 CAM allocation rates. As
3 was done in Case No. 15-00261-UT (“2015 Rate Case”) and Case No. 16-00276-
4 UT (“2016 Rate Case”), PNM used the updated 2023 CAM allocation rates given
5 that it will file the 2023 CAM with the Commission in December 2022 pursuant to
6 the filing requirement established in NMPRC Case No. 03-00017-UT. PNM
7 utilized the 2023 CAM allocation rates for 2024, because PNM does not anticipate
8 significant changes to these allocation rates.

9

10

V. LEAD-LAG STUDY

11

12 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT “LEAD-LAG” MEANS IN THE CONTEXT OF**
13 **UTILITY REGULATION AND ACCOUNTING.**

14 **A.** A lead-lag study is a method used to measure the amount of cash working capital
15 required to finance a utility’s day-to-day operations. The study seeks to measure
16 and quantify the differences in timing between the receipt of revenues from
17 customers and the time the service is rendered (lag) and the period the utility has
18 from the time it incurs an expense until cash is actually disbursed in payment for
19 the expense (lead). The differences between these periods are expressed in days.

20 The areas covered in the study include:

- 21 • Revenue Lag
- 22 ○ Meter reading

² The Test Period is the 12-month period ending December 31, 2024.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

- 1 ○ Billing
- 2 ○ Collection
- 3 • Expense Lead
- 4 ○ Fuel expense
- 5 ○ Payroll
- 6 ○ Taxes other than income
- 7 ○ Allocated charges
- 8 ○ Income taxes
- 9 ○ Other O&M

10

11 **Q. WHAT ROLE DOES THE LEAD-LAG STUDY PLAY WITH RESPECT TO**
12 **PNM’S CASH WORKING CAPITAL?**

13 **A.** The resulting revenue lag days and expense lead days are used to calculate the cash
14 working capital allowance included in rate base. The calculation of the cash
15 working capital amount is included in Rule 530 Schedule E-1 and the testimony of
16 PNM witness Sanders. The resulting cash working capital balance developed
17 through the lead-lag study discussed below is reasonable and is included in the Base
18 Period and Test Period revenue requirements.

19

20 **Q. WAS A LEAD-LAG STUDY CONDUCTED TO ESTABLISH THE LEAD-**
21 **LAG DAYS FOR PNM’S CASH WORKING CAPITAL CALCULATION?**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **A.** Yes. In 2022, the Company engaged Pricewaterhouse Coopers (“PwC”) to conduct
2 a lead-lag study based on data from the period of July 1, 2021, through June 30,
3 2022. The resulting lead-lag days were used to calculate the cash working capital
4 allowance included in the revenue requirements. The study was performed
5 consistent with the methodology employed in the Company’s previous rate cases,
6 including the 2016 Rate Case.

7

8 **Q. HOW IS THE EXPENSE LEAD DETERMINED?**

9 **A.** The expense lead is the average number of days from the time of service to the date
10 the Company remits payment for the service to the vendor. The expense lead for
11 each invoice is the difference between the number of days it takes for the
12 Company’s payment to the vendor to clear the bank and the mid-point date of each
13 invoice’s service period. The lead-lag study analyzed the following primary
14 categories of expense:

- 15 • fuel expense,
- 16 • payroll,
- 17 • taxes other than income,
- 18 • allocated charges,
- 19 • income taxes, and
- 20 • other O&M expenses.

21

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **Q. HOW IS REVENUE LAG DETERMINED?**

2 **A.** The revenue lag is the average time period (calculated in days) between the period
3 in which service is rendered to the customer and the date on which payment is
4 received from the customer. The revenue lag is determined by calculating the meter
5 reading lag, billing lag, and collection lag.

6
7 Meter reading lag represents the time from when the customer receives service to
8 the day that the meter is read. Actual meter reading lag is calculated as the midpoint
9 of the service period.

10
11 Billing lag is the period from the meter reading date until the date the customer is
12 billed. Because the Company has three different methods of billing its electric sales
13 (traditional system billing, summary billing, and manual billing), billing lag was
14 calculated separately for each method, and the weighted average was utilized in
15 calculating the final revenue lag days.

16
17 Collection lag is the period from the date which the customer is billed until the date
18 the payment is received. The collection lag was calculated using the turnover
19 approach, which is calculated by dividing the daily revenue requirement by revenue
20 category into the average monthly accounts receivable balance by revenue
21 category.

22

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **VI. PENSION AND OTHER POST RETIREMENT BENEFITS**

2
3 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY HAVE PENSION PLANS?**

4 **A.** Yes, the Company has two pension plans, a qualified plan and a non-qualified
5 retirement plan (“NQRP”), as defined by the Employee Retirement Income
6 Security Act. The qualified plan is the PNM Resources, Inc. Employee’s
7 Retirement Plan (“Qualified Plan”). The NQRP is the PNM Resources, Inc. Non-
8 Qualified Retirement Plan, which includes the Accelerated Management
9 Performance Plan, the Service Bonus Plan, and the Supplemental Executive
10 Retirement Plan. Please see the testimony of PNM witness Gagne for a description
11 of the accounting treatment for PNM’s pension plans.

12
13 **Q. IS PNM SEEKING TO INCLUDE ANY AMOUNTS IN ITS RATE BASE**
14 **ASSOCIATED WITH PENSION ASSETS AND LIABILITIES?**

15 **A.** Yes. PNM has included an asset in rate base for PNM’s share of the Qualified Plan
16 (the “Prepaid Pension Asset”). In addition, PNM is including a rate base reduction
17 for PNM’s share of the NQRP. Reducing rate base by the liability balance of the
18 NQRP was approved in NMPRC Case No. 07-00077-UT (“2007 Rate Case”) to be
19 consistent with the inclusion of the Prepaid Pension Asset in rate base. Please refer
20 to PNM Exhibit KTS-4, WP ORB-6 for the calculation.

21

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PREPAID PENSION ASSET.**

2 **A.** The Prepaid Pension Asset is the result of contributions made by PNM to the
3 pension trust in excess of amounts that were expensed and recovered from
4 customers in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 715-30.
5 More specifically, the Prepaid Pension Asset included in rate base takes into
6 account the total pension expense through the end of the Test Period, and
7 contributions that have been or will be funded to the pension plan through that date.
8 By including the Prepaid Pension Asset in rate base, PNM is proposing to earn a
9 return on the cash that shareholders have contributed in excess of the amount
10 expensed and recovered from customers. This approach is consistent with past
11 NMPRC cases, including the 2015 Rate Case and the 2016 Rate Case. Please refer
12 to PNM Exhibit KTS-4, WP ORB-8 for the calculation of the Prepaid Pension
13 Asset.

14
15 **Q. HAVE CUSTOMERS BENEFITED FROM THE EXISTENCE OF THE
16 PREPAID PENSION ASSET?**

17 **A.** Yes. The Prepaid Pension Asset results in lower pension expense being charged to
18 customers. Specifically, the Prepaid Pension Asset is the result of excess
19 contributions made by the Company over amounts expensed in accordance with
20 accounting guidance and recovered in rates. The excess contributions were made
21 using shareholder capital. These contributions have not yet been reflected as
22 pension expense and, therefore, have not yet been recovered from customers.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 However, since this shareholder capital is now included as assets in the pension
2 plan and is generating a return, this translates into lower pension expense charged
3 to customers. In other words, because the Prepaid Pension Asset has not been
4 reflected in rates as a pension expense, it serves to benefit customers via reduced
5 pension expense. Therefore, it is appropriate to include the Prepaid Pension Asset
6 in rate base, consistent with past treatment approved by the Commission in previous
7 cases, including the 2007 Rate Case, Case No. 08-00273-UT (“2008 Rate Case”),
8 Case No. 10-00086-UT (“2010 Rate Case”), the 2015 Rate Case and the 2016 Rate
9 Case.

10

11 **Q. HOW WAS THE AMOUNT WHICH PNM IS SEEKING TO RECOVER**
12 **FOR THE PREPAID PENSION ASSET DERIVED AND CALCULATED?**

13 **A.** PNM prepared a cost-benefit analysis consistent with the methodology approved in
14 the final order in the 2015 Rate Case and the 2016 Rate Case, which is reflected in
15 PNM Exhibit KTS-4, WP ORB-10. This analysis demonstrates that revenue
16 requirements, including a full return on the Prepaid Pension Asset included in rate
17 base, are slightly higher than the expense that would have been included in PNM’s
18 revenue requirement calculation absent the additional shareholder funding.
19 Therefore, PNM is proposing to only include the amount of Prepaid Pension Asset
20 in rate base up to the breakeven point in revenue requirements for the expense
21 without the contributions compared to the revenue requirements associated with the
22 inclusion of Prepaid Pension Asset in rate base. This results in a reduction of \$17.7

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 million to the amount that would otherwise be requested for the Prepaid Pension
2 Asset in this proceeding. Including the amount up to the breakeven point allows the
3 Company to earn a fair return on the shareholder funded contributions to the trust,
4 which reduces the pension expense, while ensuring that customers do not pay more
5 than they otherwise would have had the Company not made the contributions.
6 Please refer to the testimony of PNM witness Gagne for discussion of contributions
7 to the Company's pension plans.

8

9 **Q. HAVE THE COMPANY'S CONTRIBUTIONS UNDERLYING THE**
10 **PREPAID PENSION ASSET BEEN FULLY RECOVERED FROM**
11 **CUSTOMERS BY THE AMOUNT OF PENSION EXPENSE IN RATES?**

12 **A.** No. Please see PNM Exhibit KTS-4, WP ORB-9 for an analysis demonstrating that
13 the Company's contributions have exceeded expenses recovered from customers
14 over the life of the Prepaid Pension Asset. This analysis clearly demonstrates that
15 shareholder contributions far exceed the amounts recovered in rates, and the
16 Prepaid Pension Asset appropriately reflects contributions in excess of amounts
17 recovered from customers.

18

19 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ANALYSIS PERFORMED IN PNM EXHIBIT**
20 **KTS-4, WP ORB-9.**

21 **A.** This analysis compares cash contributions made by the Company (Column D) to
22 pension expense included in rates (Column E) since 1987, the year the Company

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 began recognizing pension costs in the manner they are recognized today. The
2 difference between these two amounts results in excess shareholder cash
3 contributions made to the plan in each year (Column F). The accumulation of the
4 excess shareholder cash contributions since 1987 represents the total amount of
5 Prepaid Pension Asset that could be included in rate base.

6

7 **Q. HOW IS PNM EXHIBIT KTS-4, WP ORB-9 DIFFERENT FROM PNM**
8 **EXHIBIT KTS-4, WP ORB-10?**

9 **A.** PNM Exhibit KTS-4, WP ORB-10 compares the Test Period revenue requirements
10 including the Prepaid Pension Asset of \$134.7 million to what the Test Period
11 revenue requirements would have been if not for the excess contributions, which
12 would have resulted in higher pension expense. The Prepaid Pension Asset of
13 \$134.7 million was calculated by comparing Company contributions to actual
14 pension expense reflected on the financial statements of the Company. This exhibit
15 demonstrates that customers benefit from the Prepaid Pension Asset through lower
16 pension expense.

17

18 As described above, PNM Exhibit KTS-4, WP ORB-9 calculates the Prepaid
19 Pension Asset by comparing Company contributions to amounts of pension
20 expense included in rates and collected from customers. In preparing PNM Exhibit
21 KTS-4, WP ORB-9, the Company started with the information included in the 2016

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 Rate Case and added contributions and expense included in rates through the end
2 of the Test Period.

3

4 **Q. WHAT IS THE RESULT OF THE ANALYSIS PERFORMED IN PNM**
5 **EXHIBIT KTS-4, WP ORB-9?**

6 **A.** PNM Exhibit KTS-4, WP ORB-9 shows that the amounts collected from customers
7 have not exceeded the amounts funded to the pension plan. This analysis
8 demonstrates that the amount included in the Test Period rate base, \$134.7 million,
9 is less than the cumulative amount of excess shareholder cash contributions over
10 the life of the Prepaid Pension Asset, \$151.2 million.

11

12 **Q. IS PNM SEEKING RECOVERY OF EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH**
13 **RETIREE MEDICAL AND PENSION EXPENSES?**

14 **A.** Yes.

15

16 **Q. WHAT IS THE BASIS FOR THESE EXPENSES?**

17 **A.** As discussed by PNM witness Gagne, PNM's pension, retiree medical, and NQRP
18 expense is based on actuarial calculations prepared by PNM's actuary, Willis
19 Towers Watson, in accordance with ASC 715-30 and ASC 715-60. ASC 715-60 is
20 the applicable GAAP for Post-Employment Benefits Other than Pensions ("PBOP"),
21 which includes PNM's retiree medical plan.

22

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **Q. ARE THERE SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR HOW PBOP COSTS**
2 **NEED TO BE TREATED IN THIS CASE?**

3 **A.** Yes. In Case No. 2529, the Commission addressed the funding requirements for the
4 annual test period allowance for PBOP costs. In that case, the Commission
5 determined that for any utility adopting full accrual accounting for PBOP costs in
6 accordance with GAAP accounting requirements for PBOP costs in its cost of
7 service, the utility must fund such amounts through an external trust. In addition, a
8 utility must report the status of its PBOP program and the initiatives taken under
9 the program to reduce or control costs since its last rate case and provide the effects
10 of these cost saving initiatives on the overall cost of the PBOP plan, the annual cost
11 benefits, and the impacts on current revenue requirements. Please see the testimony
12 of PNM witness Pino for discussion of the cost saving initiatives. In compliance
13 with the Final Order in Case No. 2529, all PBOP accrual amounts booked and
14 deemed recovered in rates since the Commission's Order in Case No. 2529 have
15 been funded through an external trust.

16

17 **Q. IS THERE A NET BENEFIT TO CUSTOMERS FROM THE FUNDING**
18 **MECHANISM FOR PBOP?**

19 **A.** Yes. As discussed in the testimony of PNM witness Gagne, the net periodic benefit
20 cost/(income) for the PBOP plan is projected to be (\$1,751,534). As shown in PNM
21 Exhibit YG-4, PNM's funding of its ASC 715-60 liability has resulted in a net
22 benefit to customers by lowering this expense by approximately \$4.2 million. This

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 is reflected in PNM Exhibit YG-4. In addition, as reflected in PNM Exhibit YG-4,
2 PNM has contributed \$17.6 million more to the PBOP Trust than required under
3 Case No. 2529. Please see PNM witness Gagne’s Direct Testimony for additional
4 discussion regarding future funding contributions expected by the Company.

5

6 **Q. WHAT AMOUNT IS PNM INCLUDING IN THE REVENUE**
7 **REQUIREMENTS IN THIS CASE RELATED TO PBOP EXPENSE?**

8 **A.** The specific amount of PBOP expense included in PNM’s Test Period cost of
9 service is zero as discussed in the testimony of PNM witness Gagne.

10

11 **VII. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS**

12

13 **Q. WHAT IS AN ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION (ARO)?**

14 **A.** An ARO represents an entity’s legal obligation associated with the retirement of a
15 tangible long-lived asset.

16

17 **Q. HOW DOES PNM DETERMINE ARO LEVELS?**

18 **A.** The Company continuously evaluates its legal retirement obligations on long-lived
19 assets, which includes independent decommissioning studies on its generation
20 plants.

21

22 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE APPLICABLE ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE**
23 **AND PNM’S APPLICATION OF THE GUIDANCE REGARDING AROS.**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **A.** PNM accounts for AROs in accordance with GAAP, ASC 410-20. AROs are legal
2 obligations to retire a tangible long-lived asset in the future, based on cost estimates
3 for the retirement of the asset and the settlement of the obligation. Typically, these
4 cost estimates are provided as cash flows in current year dollars, which are
5 escalated to the settlement date(s) of the retirement obligation using an appropriate
6 escalation rate. The escalated cash flow estimates are then discounted using the
7 Company’s current credit adjusted risk free rate to determine the present value. An
8 ARO liability is recorded at the present value of the legal obligation to retire the
9 tangible long-lived asset. A corresponding asset retirement cost (“ARC”) asset is
10 capitalized by adjusting the carrying amount of the related tangible long-lived asset
11 by the same amount as the ARO liability. The ARC asset is depreciated on a
12 straight-line basis over the life of the retirement obligation.

13
14 If the facts and circumstances of an existing ARO change or the Company receives
15 a new cost estimate for its AROs, both the ARO liability and ARC asset are adjusted
16 by recording a new ARO layer in the same manner as described above. Please refer
17 to PNM Exhibit KTS-4, WP ORB-5 for a summary of PNM’s AROs.

18
19 **Q. WHAT IS ACCRETION EXPENSE AS IT RELATES TO AN ARO**
20 **LIABILITY AND HOW IS IT CALCULATED?**

21 **A.** Accretion expense is recorded to recognize the time value of money, with an offset
22 recorded as an increase to the ARO liability. Accretion expense is calculated by

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 multiplying the present value of the ARO liability by the credit adjusted risk free
2 rate originally used to discount the escalated cash flow estimates to their present
3 value. Please refer to PNM Exhibit KTS-4, WP ORB-3 and WP ORB-4, which
4 include the scheduled accretion amounts as prescribed by GAAP. PNM utilized
5 these scheduled accretion expenses to develop the linkage data and the amounts
6 included in the Test Period. Due to the complexity of these calculations, the
7 accretion amounts are not fully functional in the model. Please refer to the
8 testimony of PNM witness Sanders for a discussion on the treatment of ARO
9 balances and accretion expense in the Test Period revenue requirements.

10

11 **Q. WHAT IS SAN JUAN COUNTY ORDINANCE NO. 121?**

12 **A.** On November 9, 2021, the Board of County Commissioners of San Juan County,
13 New Mexico approved and adopted Ordinance No. 121 requiring full demolition
14 and remediation of coal-fired electric generating facilities in San Juan County upon
15 retirement of the facility.

16

17 **Q. HOW DID ORDINANCE NO. 121 IMPACT THE JOINT OWNERS’**
18 **DECOMMISSIONING PLANS RELATED TO SAN JUAN GENERATING**
19 **STATION (“SJGS”)?**

20 **A.** The SJGS is located in San Juan County, and Ordinance No. 121 requires full
21 demolition and remediation of the SJGS. Before Ordinance No. 121 was approved,
22 the joint owners of the SJGS planned for a retirement in place (“RIP”)

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 decommissioning option. The RIP option anticipated structure isolation, cleaning
2 equipment, abatement of environmental constituents, and closure and long-term
3 monitoring of the process and evaporation ponds consistent with industry standard
4 RIP decommissioning approaches for similar facilities. The full demolition
5 mandate by San Juan County includes the same closure activities identified in the
6 RIP option in addition to full demolition of structures to existing grade and full site
7 restoration.

8

9 **Q. DID PNM REMEASURE ITS SJGS PLANT DECOMMISSIONING ARO**
10 **AFTER ORDINANCE NO. 121 WAS PASSED?**

11 **A.** Yes, as the facts and circumstances surrounding the SJGS plant decommissioning
12 ARO changed, PNM remeasured its ARO in December 2021 using the full
13 decommissioning scenario in its 2019 decommissioning study, which was the most
14 current study at the time. PNM recorded a new layer to its ARO based on this
15 remeasurement.

16

17 **Q. HAS A NEW SJGS PLANT DECOMMISSIONING STUDY BEEN**
18 **PERFORMED SINCE ORDINANCE NO. 121 WAS PASSED?**

19 **A.** Yes. PNM received a new decommissioning study in the third quarter of 2022 and
20 remeasured its ARO utilizing the full decommissioning scenario in its 2022
21 decommissioning study. PNM recorded an incremental layer to its ARO based on

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 this remeasurement related to Ordinance No. 121. See PNM Exhibit JAP-5 for a
2 summary of estimated joint owners' costs to decommission the SGJS.

3

4 **Q. DID THE IMPACT OF GOING FROM A RIP TO A FULL**
5 **DECOMMISSIONING SCENARIO CHANGE THE ESTIMATED COSTS**
6 **TO DECOMMISSION THE SJGS?**

7 **A.** Yes, going from a RIP to a full decommissioning scenario increased PNM's
8 estimated costs to decommission the SJGS. The remeasurements discussed above
9 resulted in an overall increase above the RIP option to the ARC asset of \$16.1
10 million, incremental ARC asset depreciation of \$1.6 million, and incremental
11 accretion of \$1.0 million, for a total of \$18.7 million as of September 30, 2022.

12

13 **Q. IS PNM SEEKING RECOVERY OF THE INCREMENTAL COST**
14 **RELATED TO ORDINANCE NO. 121 THROUGH THE SECURITIZED**
15 **BONDS TO BE ISSUED UNDER THE ENERGY TRANSITION ACT**
16 **(“ETA”)?**

17 **A.** No. The ETA limits recovery of plant decommissioning and coal mine reclamation
18 costs through securitized financing to \$30.0 million. Including the
19 incremental costs related to Ordinance No. 121 for recovery under the securitized
20 bond issuance would cause PNM to exceed the ETA's cap for the amount of
21 decommissioning and reclamation costs that can be financed by securitized
22 bonds. The incremental amounts above those that are financed will be recovered
through base rates.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Q. DOES THE ETA ALLOW FOR A RECONCILIATION OF AMOUNTS FINANCED BY THE ENERGY TRANSITION BONDS AND THE FINAL ACTUAL ENERGY TRANSITION COSTS?

A. Yes, as discussed in PNM’s SJGS abandonment filing, NMPRC Case No. 19-00018-UT, the ETA allows for a reconciliation of amounts financed by the energy transition bonds and the final actual energy transition costs. In this case, PNM received approval to track and reconcile each component of the energy transition cost and record the difference to either a regulatory asset (if the actual final energy transition costs are greater than the estimated energy transition costs) or a regulatory liability (if the actual final energy transition costs are less than the estimated energy transition costs).

Q. IS PNM SEEKING RECOVERY OF THE INCREMENTAL COST RELATED TO ORDINANCE NO. 121 IN THIS CASE?

A. Yes, the total \$18.7 million of the decommissioning amounts described above have been deferred to a regulatory asset as approved in NMPRC Case No. 19-00018-UT. PNM has included this amount in its cost of service in this case. Please refer to the testimony of PNM witness Sanders for further discussion of how PNM proposes to recover these costs.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

VIII. LOSS ON REACQUIRED DEBT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Q. DID PNM INCLUDE IN THE TEST PERIOD REVENUE REQUIREMENTS PREMIUMS PAID TO REACQUIRE HIGH-COST DEBT?

A. Yes. Consistent with the treatment of these costs in prior Commission cases, PNM included in rate base the premiums paid in connection with the refinance of certain high-cost debt. As described below, PNM calculated the benefits to customers as a result of PNM’s actions to refinance high-cost debt.

Q. ARE THERE SPECIFIC PRIOR COMMISSION ORDERS ON THE RATE BASE TREATMENT OF THE GAIN/LOSS ON REACQUIRED DEBT?

A. Yes. In Case Nos. 1916 and 2262, and more recently in the 2015 Rate Case and the 2016 Rate Case, PNM requested and was granted similar cost of service treatment for its allocated share of the loss on reacquired debt. The inclusion of loss on reacquired debt in the determination of revenue requirements proposed in this filing is consistent with past Commission decisions.

Q. WHAT CRITERIA MUST BE MET TO INCLUDE LOSS ON REACQUIRED DEBT IN THE DETERMINATION OF REVENUE REQUIREMENTS?

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **A.** Specifically, regarding the recovery of loss on reacquired debt, the Recommended
2 Decision of the Hearing Examiner in Case No. 1916, adopted by the Commission,
3 provided as follows:

4 The Commission ... will agree to symmetrical
5 treatment for losses in the future; provided, however,
6 that the Company should only incur such losses when
7 it can establish that the benefit to current and future
8 ratepayers (in terms of lower cost of debt) is greater
9 than the cost of paying for those losses.

10 **Q.** **WHAT IS THE AMOUNT PNM IS REQUESTING TO RECOVER IN THIS**
11 **PROCEEDING FOR DEBT RETIREMENT COSTS?**

12 **A.** PNM is seeking a return on and return of the unamortized balance of \$12.0 million
13 for costs incurred to refinance high-cost debt as shown on PNM Exhibit KTS-4,
14 WP RA-2, page 1.

15

16 **Q.** **HAS PNM PERFORMED A CALCULATION SHOWING THAT THE**
17 **OVERALL COST OF CAPITAL IS LOWER WITH THESE**
18 **ANTICIPATED LONG-TERM DEBT RETIREMENTS?**

19 **A.** Yes. As shown in PNM Exhibit KTS-4, WP RA-2, page 2, the overall cost of capital
20 would have been 7.45% instead of 7.12%, as shown in Rule 530 Schedule A-5 Test,
21 had PNM not retired or refinanced its long-term debt. The change in the overall
22 cost of debt is driven by the debt retirements, as shown on PNM Exhibit KTS-4,
23 WP RA-2, page 3. Without the debt retirements, the Company's cost of debt would
24 have been 4.41% versus the 3.72% included in the cost of capital in this proceeding.

25

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **Q. DO THE SAVINGS IN TERMS OF REVENUE REQUIREMENTS**
2 **OUTWEIGH THE COST OF INCLUDING THE LOSS ON REACQUIRED**
3 **DEBT IN THE COST OF SERVICE?**

4 **A.** Yes. The calculation in PNM Exhibit KTS-4, WP RA-2, page 1 demonstrates a net
5 benefit to PNM customers of \$6,489,208 in the form of lower annual revenue
6 requirements, when comparing the revenue requirements with and without the
7 refinancing of the high-cost debt after taking into account the costs of these actions.

8

9 **IX. COVID-19 REGULATORY ASSET AND LIABILITY**

10

11 **Q. DID PNM INCLUDE IN THE TEST PERIOD REVENUE**
12 **REQUIREMENTS A REGULATORY ASSET AND LIABILITY RELATED**
13 **TO COVID-19 COSTS AND SAVINGS?**

14 **A.** Yes. PNM included a regulatory asset of \$1.8 million for COVID-19 related bad
15 debt expense and a regulatory liability of \$0.9 million for savings identified as the
16 result of the COVID-19 pandemic as authorized in NMPRC Case No. 20-00069-
17 UT.

18

19 **Q. HOW DID PNM CALCULATE THE \$1.8 MILLION COVID-19**
20 **REGULATORY ASSET INCLUDED IN THE TEST PERIOD REVENUE**
21 **REQUIREMENT?**

22 **A.** PNM compared the amount of bad debt expense due to actual account write offs of
23 \$9.7 million to the bad debt amounts that PNM currently recovers in rates of \$7.9

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 million during the period beginning March 1, 2020 through June 30, 2022. PNM
2 recorded the \$1.8 million difference to the COVID-19 regulatory asset.

3

4 **Q. DOES PNM ANTICIPATE ADDITIONAL BAD DEBT EXPENSE**
5 **RELATED TO THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC?**

6 **A.** Yes, as of June 30, 2022, PNM has recorded a COVID-19 regulatory asset of \$6.3
7 million. This amount consists of \$1.8 million of actual bad debt write-offs above
8 amounts collected in rates as described above, and \$4.5 million in estimated future
9 bad debt write-offs above amounts to be collected in rates. As required by
10 Accounting Standards Update 2016-13 – Financial Instruments – Credit Losses
11 (ASC Topic 326), PNM must record a reasonable and supportable forecast of future
12 losses related to its current trade receivables balance. Actual bad debt expense will
13 not be known until all future payment plan options are exercised and PNM can
14 accurately measure the final bad debt expense related to COVID-19. PNM is not
15 seeking recovery of the estimated future write offs at this time; however, PNM will
16 seek recovery of actual future write offs above amounts collected in rates related to
17 COVID-19 in a future rate case. Please refer to the testimony of PNM witness
18 Cervantes for a more detailed discussion of the payment plans offered by PNM.

19

20 **Q. OVER WHAT PERIOD IS PNM PROPOSING TO COLLECT THE \$1.8**
21 **MILLION COVID-19 REGULATORY ASSET INCLUDED IN THE TEST**
22 **PERIOD REVENUE REQUIREMENTS?**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **A.** PNM is proposing to collect the COVID-19 regulatory asset over a two-year period.
2 This recovery period was chosen as it reflects approximately the same amount of
3 time the bad debt expense was incurred.

4

5 **Q.** **DID PNM INCLUDE A REGULATORY LIABILITY FOR OFFSETTING
6 COST SAVINGS RESULTING FROM THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC?**

7 **A.** Yes. PNM has included a regulatory liability of \$0.9 million related to cost savings
8 resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic. These cost savings were a result of
9 reduced employee travel and miscellaneous expenses at PNM as well as COVID-
10 19-related cost savings reported to PNM by the operator of the Four Corners Power
11 Plant and Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station. These savings were
12 demonstrated and realized in the initial stages of the pandemic while significant
13 lockdown/shutdown initiatives were in place that derived those savings.

14

15 **Q.** **OVER WHAT PERIOD IS PNM PROPOSING TO REFUND THE COVID-
16 19 REGULATORY LIABILITY INCLUDED IN THE TEST PERIOD
17 REVENUE REQUIREMENTS?**

18 **A.** PNM is proposing to refund the COVID-19 regulatory liability over a two-year
19 period to align with the same recovery period as the COVID-19 regulatory asset.

20

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **Q. DID PNM TRACK THE REQUIRED DATA AND FILE THE REQUIRED**
2 **PERIODIC REPORTS WITH THE COMMISSION CONCERNING**
3 **USAGE, INCREASED COSTS AND OFFSETTING SAVINGS FROM**
4 **MARCH 11, 2020 THROUGH THE DATE OF THIS FILING?**

5 **A.** Yes. PNM conducted the necessary tracking and made all periodic filings as
6 required by NMPRC Case No. 20-00069-UT concerning usage, increased costs and
7 offsetting savings from March 11, 2020, through the date of this filing.

8
9 **Q. DID PNM IDENTIFY OTHER INCREASED COSTS DUE TO THE COVID-**
10 **19 PANDEMIC THAT ARE NOT INCLUDED IN ITS REVENUE**
11 **REQUIREMENT IN THIS CASE?**

12 **A.** Yes. Through 2021, PNM continued to track increased costs due to the COVID-19
13 pandemic. PNM determined that because the pandemic had persisted for almost
14 two years, it was reasonable to assume that pandemic conditions would remain for
15 the foreseeable future. Given the extended duration of the pandemic, other costs, as
16 well as any related savings, initially identified as incremental to operations, had
17 become normal and ongoing. Incremental costs exceeded identified savings and
18 both incremental costs and savings were reflected in the unadjusted Base Period
19 that was used as the basis to develop the Test Period, as described further by PNM
20 witness Sanders. Therefore, PNM is not seeking recovery of other incremental costs
21 related to COVID-19 other than bad debt expense as a regulatory asset as discussed
22 above.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

X. MERGER-RELATED COSTS

Q. PLEASE DISCUSS FERC’S POLICY REGARDING ACCOUNTING FOR COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH MERGER TRANSACTIONS.

A. In Docket No. PL15-3-000, FERC issued a Policy Statement on May 19, 2016, 155 FERC ¶ 61,189, (“FERC Policy Statement”) to provide guidance regarding hold harmless commitments related to merger transactions. Through the FERC Policy Statement, FERC adopted policies regarding implementation of hold harmless commitments offered by applicants as ratepayer protection mechanisms to mitigate adverse effects on rates that may result from transactions subject to section 203 of the Federal Power Act.³

The FERC Policy Statement adopted, as general guidance, lists of transaction-related costs and transition costs that should be subject to any hold harmless commitment⁴ and provides additional clarifications regarding transition costs,⁵ capital costs,⁶ labor costs⁷ and the costs of transactions that are not consummated.⁸ The FERC Policy Statement also established controls and procedures for transaction-related costs subject to any hold harmless commitment.⁹

³ 155 FERC ¶ 61,189 at 1:1.
⁴ *Id* at 31:44.
⁵ *Id* at 34:49
⁶ *Id* at 36:50.
⁷ *Id* at 38:55.
⁸ *Id* at 40:58.
⁹ *Id* at 43:61-50:72.

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
JASON A. PETERS
NMPRC CASE NO. 22-00270-UT**

1 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS PNM'S ACCOUNTING FOR COSTS ASSOCIATED**
2 **WITH THE REQUESTED MERGER BETWEEN PNMR AND AVANGRID,**
3 **INC.**

4 **A.** In accordance with the FERC Policy Statement, PNM issued internal guidance
5 establishing controls and procedures for tracking transaction and transition costs
6 related to the proposed Avangrid merger. PNM tracks such costs with a specific
7 project and work order established for that specific purpose. Please see the
8 testimony of PNM witness Sanders for discussion on the procedures implemented
9 to ensure that merger-related costs were not included in the revenue requirements
10 in this case.

11

12 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

13 **A.** Yes.

GCG#530059

14

Statement of Qualifications

PNM Exhibit JAP-1

Is contained in the following 2 pages.

JASON A. PETERS
EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL SUMMARY

Name: Jason A. Peters

Address: PNM Resources, Inc.
MS 1015
414 Silver SW
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Position: Director, General Accounting

Education: Bachelor of Arts (Mathematics), Gustavus Adolphus College, 1995
Master of Accounting, University of New Mexico, 2004
Certified Public Accountant in the State of New Mexico, October 2006

Employment: Employed by PNM Resources, Inc. since 2007.
Positions held within the Company include:

Director, General Accounting
Manager, Cost of Service
Senior Manager, SEC Reporting and GAAP Analysis
Manager, Consolidations

Testimony Filed:

- In the Matter of the Application of Texas-New Mexico Power Company for Interim Update of Wholesale Transmission Rate Pursuant to Subst. R. 25.192(h), PUCT Docket No. 41176, filed January 31, 2013.
- In the Matter of Public Service Company of New Mexico's Application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity and Related Approvals for the La Luz Energy Center, NMPRC Case No. 13-00175-UT, filed May 17, 2013.
- In the Matter of the Application of Texas-New Mexico Power Company for Interim Update of Wholesale Transmission Rate Pursuant to Subst. R. 25.192(h), PUCT Docket No. 41727, filed August 1, 2013.
- In the Matter of the Application of Texas-New Mexico Power Company for Interim Update of Wholesale Transmission Rate Pursuant to Subst. R. 25.192(h), PUCT Docket No. 42181, filed January 21, 2014.

- In the Matter of the Application of Texas-New Mexico Power Company for Interim Update of Wholesale Transmission Rate Pursuant to Subst. R. 25.192(h), PUCT Docket No. 42691, filed July 18, 2014.
- In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Revision of Its Retail Electric Rates Pursuant to Advice Notice No. 507, NMPRC Case No. 14-00332-UT, filed December 11, 2014.
- In the Matter of the Application of Texas-New Mexico Power Company for Interim Update of Wholesale Transmission Rate Pursuant to Subst. R. 25.192(h), PUCT Docket No. 44340, filed January 20, 2015.
- In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico's Application for a Revision of its Retail Electric Rates Pursuant to Advice No. 513, NMPRC Case No. 15-00261-UT, filed August 27, 2015.

Listing of Cost Types and Locations

PNM Exhibit JAP-2

Is contained in the following 8 pages.

PNM Exhibit JAP-2
Listing of Cost Types and Locations

Cost Types

| COST_TYPE | DESCRIPTION |
|------------------|---|
| 110 | Straight Time-General |
| 120 | Overtime-General |
| 140 | Misc Pay Pension Eligible |
| 150 | Paid Absence |
| 151 | Vacation |
| 152 | Illness |
| 153 | Holiday |
| 15S | Paid Time Off Hours |
| 200 | Fleet Vehicle Maint-Rental - Allocation of PNM fleet costs to capital spend or O&M |
| 203 | Fleet Other Expense |
| 204 | Fleet Maintenance Expense |
| 205 | Fleet Fuel Expense |
| 206 | Fleet Lease Expense |
| 324 | Postage Expenses |
| 325 | Freight |
| 331 | Supplies and Equipment - Miscellaneous supplies and minor purchases |
| 332 | Subscriptions & Renewals |
| 345 | Consumables - Nonloading - Miscellaneous supplies, chemicals, and minor purchases not loaded |
| 350 | Material Issues-Major - Material issued to work orders that is standard stock in the warehouse |
| 359 | Non-Stock Materials - Material issued to work orders that is not regularly stocked in the warehouse |
| 370 | Outside Services |
| 374 | Outside Services Legal |
| 376 | Vegetation Management |
| 377 | Outside Services-Temp Labor |
| 390 | Joint Project Bills to PNM-Labor - Charges to PNM for plants where PNM is a participant |
| 391 | Joint Project Bills to PNM-Non-Labor - Charges to PNM for plants where PNM is a participant |
| 406 | Computer Maintenance - Costs for maintenance on computer equipment |
| 421 | Depreciation |
| 422 | Amortization |
| 425 | Commitment Fees-Transact Costs |
| 426 | Capitalized Interest |
| 427 | Interest Income or Expense |
| 428 | Computer Software - Licenses for various software packages |
| 429 | Computer Hardware - Purchase costs for computer hardware |

| COST_TYPE | DESCRIPTION |
|-----------|--|
| 430 | Line Of Credit Fees |
| 436 | Equipment-Safety |
| 450 | Insurance Premiums |
| 472 | Leases - Long Term |
| 473 | Leases - Short Term |
| 490 | Tax-General |
| 500 | Utility Payments |
| 501 | Phones |
| 522 | Damages - Payment |
| 524 | Damages-Vehicles (Non Company) |
| 525 | Damages - General |
| 530 | Employee Expense |
| 535 | Per Diem - Union Contract |
| 548 | Overtime Meals |
| 550 | Meals |
| 554 | Professional Dues |
| 555 | Reproduction and Printing - Internal |
| 560 | Dues Fees Fines - Membership dues, permit fees, and miscellaneous fees |
| 581 | Bad Debt Uncollectible |
| 600 | Incentive Compensation |
| 610 | Expenses - General |
| 611 | Advertising |
| 622 | Contributions and Donations |
| 623 | Customer Adjustments and Over-Short |
| 671 | Base Energy Expense |
| 674 | Demand Energy Expense |
| 684 | Nuclear Fuel Uranium - Project |
| 685 | Nuclear Fuel-Natural Uranium |
| 686 | Nuclear Fuel - Conversion |
| 687 | Nuclear Fuel - Enrichment |
| 688 | Nuclear Fuel - Fabrication |
| 689 | Nuclear Fuel - Miscellaneous |
| 690 | Nuclear Fuel - Non Cash |
| 696 | Nuclear Fuel - Accruals |
| 722 | Fuel Costs |
| 724 | Fuel - Fleet Equipment |
| 725 | Fuel - Burn |
| 770 | Revenue-General |
| 771 | Merchandising and Jobbing Revenue |
| 772 | Fuel Clause Adjustment |
| 773 | Base Energy Revenue |
| 774 | Demand Energy Revenue |
| 779 | Energy Efficiency Rider |
| 783 | Renewable Rate Rider |
| 800 | Asset |

| COST_TYPE | DESCRIPTION |
|-----------|--|
| 802 | Level 2 SFAS 157 |
| 803 | Level 3 SFAS 157 |
| 805 | Land and Land Rights |
| 807 | Non Refundable Contribution |
| 808 | Refundable Advances |
| 811 | Joint Trench Cr |
| 813 | Customer Built System |
| 818 | Salvage - Material Cr |
| 825 | Clearings |
| 829 | Construction Adjustment - Used for high level construction adjustments for budgeting purposes only |
| 831 | Decommission Cost-Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station |
| 832 | Lease Accrual - Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station |
| 838 | Excess Gain Amortization-Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station |
| 871 | Excess Gross Receipts |
| 872 | Excess Franchise |
| 874 | Tax-Other Than Income |
| 875 | Tax-Property-NM Non-Leased |
| 877 | Tax-Property-Arizona |
| 879 | Tax-Native American |
| 880 | Tax-Gross Receipts |
| 882 | Tax-Compensating |
| 883 | Tax-FICA |
| 884 | Tax-FUTA |
| 885 | Tax-SUTA |
| 887 | Tax-Franchise |
| 888 | Tax-NMPSC (S and I) |
| 889 | Tax-Federal Excise |
| 891 | Tax-Federal Highway Use |
| 892 | Tax-State Highway Use |
| 896 | Tax-Transaction Privilege |
| 897 | Tax-Use |
| 901 | Tax-Federal Withhold |
| 902 | Tax-State Withhold |
| 905 | Algodones Accounts Receivable-Labor |
| 906 | Algodones Accounts Receivable - Other |
| 907 | Algodones A&G Load |
| 908 | Luna AR - Labor |
| 909 | Luna AR - Other |
| 911 | Time Off Allowances |
| 913 | Payroll Taxes Load |
| 914 | Pension and Benefits Load |
| 915 | Injuries and Damages Load |
| 916 | Pension Load Non-Service Cost |
| 918 | San Juan AR-Labor - Charges to Other Participants of San Juan Generating Station |

| COST_TYPE | DESCRIPTION |
|-----------|--|
| 919 | System Operations - Labor |
| 920 | Switchyard - Labor |
| 921 | Stores / Purchasing Load |
| 922 | Minor Material Load |
| 924 | Corporate O and M |
| 925 | E&S Loads |
| 926 | A&G Loads |
| 927 | Transportation Clearing |
| 928 | AFUDC - Debt |
| 929 | AFUDC - Equity |
| 931 | System Operations - Other - Charges to Other Participants of San Juan Generating Station |
| 937 | San Juan AR - Other - Billings to Participants of San Juan Generating Station |
| 938 | Switchyard - Other - Charges to Participants for San Juan Switchyard |
| 939 | San Juan A&G Load |
| 940 | Luna A&G Load |
| 951 | NSC E&S |
| 955 | NSC CIAC |
| 961 | Luna A&G PNMR D&V |
| 970 | Company 6 Allocation |
| 976 | Eliminations 976 |
| 996 | Load/Allocation Adjustment-NSC |
| 998 | Load/Allocation Adjustment |
| 999 | Suspense Accounts |

Locations

| Area1 | Area2 | GL_LOCATION | DESCRIPTION |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|---|
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 010 | Electric Services-General |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 011 | Distribution Renewable Rider |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 012 | Distribution Renewable Base Rate |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 014 | Future 2015 Renewable |
| HAZARD_SHARING | | 032 | TSGT Hazard |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 037 | Generation Facebook |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PURCHASE_CONTRACTS | 041 | Southwest Public Service (SPS) Firm |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PURCHASE_CONTRACTS | 045 | Wind |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PURCHASE_CONTRACTS | 046 | Rio Bravo |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PURCHASE_CONTRACTS | 048 | Valencia |
| SALES_CONTRACTS | | 049 | Off System Juris Gas |
| SALES_CONTRACTS | | 052 | City Of Gallup |
| SALES_CONTRACTS | | 057 | ITS Non-Specific |
| SALES_CONTRACTS | | 058 | STS Excess Sales |
| SALES_CONTRACTS | | 059 | Forwards Non-Specific |
| SALES_CONTRACTS | | 061 | Coal Mine Decommissioning |
| BTS_UTILITYPROJECTS | | 091 | BTS Projects - PNM Electric |
| BTS_UTILITYPROJECTS | | 092 | BTS Projects - PNM Transmissio |
| BTS_UTILITYPROJECTS | | 093 | BTS Projects - PNM Generation |
| BTS_UTILITYPROJECTS | | 098 | BTS Projects - TNMP |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 100 | Albuquerque Electric Services |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 105 | PNM Grid Modernization |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 120 | Western Division |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 128 | Facebook PPA Pass Through Rev |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 129 | Facebook Over Production Creds |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 130 | Distribution Facebook |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 135 | Solar Direct |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 140 | East Mountain Division |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 141 | Elec PNM South General |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 142 | Elec Alamagordo |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 143 | Elec Ruidoso |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 144 | Elec Silver city |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 145 | Solar Direct credits |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 150 | TNMP NM Dist General |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 151 | Alamagordo Services Dist |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 152 | Ruidoso Services Dist |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 155 | Silver City Services Dist |
| TOTAL_UTILCOMM_ALLOC | | 174 | Allocation General Management - Company 1,2,34,35 |
| TOTAL_UTILCOMM_ALLOC | | 192 | PNM-TNMP Texas |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 200 | Deming Electric Services |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 220 | TNMP NM Transmission General |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 300 | Las Vegas Electric Services |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 301 | Gen PNMRD FB1 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 302 | Gen PNMRD FB2 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 303 | Gen PNMRD FB3 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 304 | Casa Mesa Wind |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 305 | Route 66 Solar |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 306 | La Joya Wind I |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 307 | Encino Solar |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 308 | Britton Solar |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 309 | Encino North solar FB |

| Area1 | Area2 | GL_LOCATION | DESCRIPTION |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|---|
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 311 | Sky Ranch solar FB |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_BATTERY | 312 | Sky Ranch solar Battery FB |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 313 | Jicarilla I Center Solar |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_BATTERY | 314 | Jicarilla I Center solar Batte |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_BATTERY | 315 | Sandia Peak Battery (Able Grid |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 316 | Atrisco Solar (Clenera) |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_BATTERY | 317 | Atrisco Solar Battery (Clenera |
| BULK_POWER_ALLOCS | | 357 | Bulk Power Building Allocation |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 370 | Gen Renewable La Joya Wind 2 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 371 | Solar Direct |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 372 | Jicarilla Solar |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_BATTERY | 373 | Jicarilla Solar Battery |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 374 | Rockmont (201LC 8me) Solar |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_BATTERY | 375 | Rockmont (201LC 8me) Battery |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 376 | San Juan I Solar |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_BATTERY | 377 | San Juan I Solar Battery |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 378 | Arroyo Solar |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_BATTERY | 379 | Arroyo Solar Battery |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 410 | Santa Fe Electric Servics |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 500 | Belen Division |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 600 | Electric System |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 615 | Transmission-Four Corners |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 620 | Ancillary Schedule 1 ST PTP incl interc |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 635 | APS Palo Verde Transmission |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 637 | EPE - Afton Transmission |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 638 | Pre-OATT Demand Allocation |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 639 | Long Term Firm PTP-Sch 7 Transmission |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 640 | Short Term Firm PTP-Sch 7 Transmission |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 642 | Short Term Non Firm PTP Transmission |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 644 | Ancillary Svcs-Sch 1 Transmission |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 645 | Bilateral Transmission Pre OATT |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 646 | EPE - Luna Transmission |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 647 | Other - Transmission |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 648 | Transmission General 2 |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 650 | Transmission General |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 658 | Palo Verde Transmission |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 661 | Western Spirit |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_TRANSMISSION | 676 | Transmission San Juan Switchyard |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PRODUCTION | 700 | Production Division |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PRODUCTION | 701 | Gas Storage |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | AFTON_STATION | 702 | Afton |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | LORDSBURG_STATION | 703 | Lordsburg |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | OTHER_PLANTS | 705 | Bulk Power Projects |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | AFTON_STATION | 707 | Afton-1 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | REEVES_POWER_STATION | 713 | Reeves Power Station |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | FOUR_CORNERS | 715 | Four Corners Power Station |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | ALGODONES_STN | 716 | Algodones |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | OTHER_PLANTS | 717 | Las Vegas Turbine |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PALO_VERDE_POWER_ST | 720 | Palo Verde-Power Station |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PALO_VERDE_POWER_ST | 721 | Palo Verde Unit 1 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PALO_VERDE_POWER_ST | 722 | Palo Verde Unit 2 |

| Area1 | Area2 | GL_LOCATION | DESCRIPTION |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|---|
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PALO_VERDE_POWER_ST | 723 | Palo Verde Unit 3 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PALO_VERDE_POWER_ST | 724 | Palo Verde Common All Units |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PALO_VERDE_POWER_ST | 725 | Palo Verde Water Reclamation Facility |
| SALES_CONTRACTS | | 726 | Ancillary Schedule 2-5 |
| SALES_CONTRACTS | | 727 | OATT 15.7 Energy Losses |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | PRODUCTION | 730 | Production Common All Power Plants |
| BULK_POWER_MARKETING | | 731 | PNM Marketing |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 735 | San Juan 4 132MW Acquisition |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 736 | San Juan 4 65 MW Acquisition |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | LUNA_POWER_STATION | 740 | Luna General |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | LUNA_POWER_STATION | 744 | Luna Common All Units |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | LUNA_POWER_STATION | 745 | 100 Percent TEP soley owned |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | LUNA_POWER_STATION | 746 | 100 Percent FMI soley owned |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | LUNA_POWER_STATION | 747 | 100 Percent PNM soley owned |
| BULK_POWER_MARKETING | | 750 | Power Operations Facility |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 751 | Gen Renewable Base Rate |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | OTHER_PLANTS | 752 | Gen La Luz Gas Plant |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 753 | Gen Renewable Rider 2 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 755 | Gen Renewable Rider 1 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 757 | Gen Renewable Geothermal |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | GENERATION_RENEWABLE | 759 | Gen Renewable Red Mesa Wind |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 760 | San Juan General |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 761 | San Juan Unit 1 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 764 | San Juan Unit 4 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 765 | San Juan Common U1 And U2 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 766 | San Juan Common All Units |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 767 | San Juan Common U3 And U4 |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 768 | Variable Fuel Allocation |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 769 | 100 Pct TEP Solely Owned |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 770 | 100 Pct PNM Solely Owned |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 771 | 100 Pct LAC Solely Owned |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 772 | 100 Pct TRI Solely Owned |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 773 | 100 Pct MSR Solely Owned |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 774 | 100 Pct COF Solely Owned |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 776 | San Juan Switchyard 65 Percent PNM - 35 Percent TEP |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 777 | 100 Percent UMP Solely Owned |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 778 | 100 Percent SCP Solely Owned |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 779 | San Juan Switchyard Miscellaneous 50 Percent PNM-50 Percent TEP |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 787 | Post 2017 Coal supply allocation |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 788 | Fuel Supply remaining participation |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 789 | Decomm-Reclaim-Pre-2017 YE |
| GENERATION_LOCATIONS | SAN_JUAN | 790 | Decomm-Reclaim-Post-2017 YE |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 899 | Bernalillo Division |
| TOTAL_PNM_ELECTRIC | PNM_ELECTRIC | 900 | Clayton Division |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | TRANSACTIONAL_ALLOCS | 911 | Corp Alloc Financial Systems |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | TRANSACTIONAL_ALLOCS | 912 | Corp Alloc Accounts Payable |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | TRANSACTIONAL_ALLOCS | 914 | Corp Alloc Number of Assets |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | DIRECT_ALLOCS | 917 | Corp Alloc 100pct PNMR DM |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | GENERAL_ALLOCS | 941 | Corp Alloc-Gen PNMR Utility |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | DIRECT_ALLOCS | 942 | Corp Alloc 100 Percent Electric |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | DIRECT_ALLOCS | 946 | Corp Alloc 100 Percent Bulk Power |

| Area1 | Area2 | GL_LOCATION | DESCRIPTION |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------|--|
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | DIRECT_ALLOCS | 947 | Corp Alloc 100 Percent Transmission |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | GENERAL_ALLOCS | 948 | Corp Alloc PNM Utility Common |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | GENERAL_ALLOCS | 951 | Corp Alloc PNM Utility with Bulk Gen |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | DIRECT_ALLOCS | 953 | Corp Alloc 100 Percent TNMP Texas |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | TRANSACTIONAL_ALLOCS | 968 | Corp Alloc-IT Infrastructure |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | TRANSACTIONAL_ALLOCS | 973 | Corp Alloc-Building-Lewisville |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | TRANSACTIONAL_ALLOCS | 974 | Corp Alloc-Building-Las Colinas-Lewisville |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | TRANSACTIONAL_ALLOCS | 977 | Corp Alloc-Downtown Buildings |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | TRANSACTIONAL_ALLOCS | 980 | Corp Alloc-Building-Abuquerque Aztec |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | TRANSACTIONAL_ALLOCS | 986 | Corp Alloc - MMS-Maximo |
| CORP_ALLOCATIONS | TRANSACTIONAL_ALLOCS | 993 | Corp Alloc Employee Count |
| UNALLOCATED | | 999 | Corporate Unallocated |

Please refer to PNM Exhibit JAP-4 for explanation of Corporate Allocations locations in the Cost Allocation Manual.

Capital Loads

PNM Exhibit JAP-3

Is contained in the following 14 pages.

**PNM EXHIBIT JAP-3
CAPITAL LOADS**

Contents

Payroll Loads.....2
Engineering and Supervision Loads.....3
Administrative & General Loads.....7
Minor Material, Stores, Non-Stores Loads.....10

Fleet Capital Loads do not have a specific rate defined. The rate for this load is calculated each month based on the home center labor charged to capital.

PNM Exhibit JAP-3**Capital Loads****Payroll Loads***Payroll Loads 2021*

| | | Electric Distribution | Electric Transmission | Bulk Power | PNM Common Utility | Corporate | TNMP Texas | PNMR DM |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| Payroll Taxes (PRT) | 913 | 7.4900% | 7.4900% | 6.9800% | 7.4900% | 7.3600% | 7.5100% | 7.3600% |
| Pension & Benefits (P&B) | 914 | 21.0700% | 21.0700% | 35.4600% | 21.0700% | 22.5400% | 20.6400% | 22.5400% |
| Injuries & Damages (I&D) | 915 | 7.5700% | 7.5700% | 3.1200% | 7.5700% | 1.5900% | 9.7200% | 1.5900% |
| Pension & Benefits (P&B NSC) | 916 | 1.0400% | 1.0400% | 0.0000% | 1.0400% | 0.0000% | -1.2100% | 0.0000% |
| Total | | 36.1300% | 36.1300% | 45.5600% | 36.1300% | 31.4900% | 37.8700% | 31.4900% |
| Total incl NSC | | 37.1700% | 37.1700% | 45.5600% | 37.1700% | 31.4900% | 36.6600% | 31.4900% |

Payroll Loads 2022

| | | Electric Distribution | Electric Transmission | Bulk Power | PNM Common Utility | Corporate | TNMP Texas | PNMR DM |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| Payroll Taxes (PRT) | 913 | 7.5700% | 7.5700% | 6.8400% | 7.5700% | 7.9800% | 7.6900% | 7.9800% |
| Pension & Benefits (P&B) | 914 | 21.5200% | 21.5200% | 34.4100% | 21.5200% | 22.4800% | 21.5700% | 22.4800% |
| Injuries & Damages (I&D) | 915 | 8.5600% | 8.5600% | 3.3500% | 8.5600% | 1.7400% | 9.9000% | 1.7400% |
| Pension & Benefits (P&B NSC) | 916 | 0.4900% | 0.4900% | 0.0000% | 0.4900% | 0.0000% | -1.2100% | 0.0000% |
| Total | | 37.6500% | 37.6500% | 44.6000% | 37.6500% | 32.2000% | 39.1600% | 32.2000% |
| Total incl NSC | | 38.1400% | 38.1400% | 44.6000% | 38.1400% | 32.2000% | 37.9500% | 32.2000% |

Payroll Loads 2023

| | | Electric Distribution | Electric Transmission | Bulk Power | PNM Common Utility | Corporate | TNMP Texas | PNMR DM |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| Payroll Taxes (PRT) | 913 | 7.5300% | 7.5300% | 7.0700% | 7.5300% | 7.9800% | 7.6100% | 7.9800% |
| Pension & Benefits (P&B) | 914 | 23.0300% | 23.0300% | 35.4100% | 23.0300% | 23.5400% | 23.0400% | 23.5400% |
| Injuries & Damages (I&D) | 915 | 9.9500% | 9.9500% | 4.7200% | 9.9500% | 2.2000% | 12.6300% | 2.2000% |
| Pension & Benefits (P&B NSC) | 916 | -0.5200% | -0.5200% | 0.0000% | -0.5200% | 0.0000% | -1.1300% | 0.0000% |
| Total | | 40.5100% | 40.5100% | 47.2000% | 40.5100% | 33.7200% | 43.2800% | 33.7200% |
| Total incl NSC | | 39.9900% | 39.9900% | 47.2000% | 39.9900% | 33.7200% | 42.1500% | 33.7200% |

Engineering & Supervision Loads

202107

| | | Electric Distribution | Electric Transmission | Bulk Power | PNM Common Utility | Corporate | TNMP Texas | PNMR DM |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 11.590386% | | | | | 6.7200% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 2.568997% | | | | 4.7100% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

202108

| | | Electric Distribution | Electric Transmission | Bulk Power | PNM Common Utility | Corporate | TNMP Texas | PNMR DM |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 10.232124% | | | | | 6.7200% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 1.916915% | | | | 4.7100% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

202109

| | | <i>Electric Distribution</i> | <i>Electric Transmission</i> | <i>Bulk Power</i> | <i>PNM Common Utility</i> | <i>Corporate</i> | <i>TNMP Texas</i> | <i>PNMR DM</i> |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 11.082065% | | | | | 7.0000% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 6.762875% | | | | 3.0000% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

202110

| | | <i>Electric Distribution</i> | <i>Electric Transmission</i> | <i>Bulk Power</i> | <i>PNM Common Utility</i> | <i>Corporate</i> | <i>TNMP Texas</i> | <i>PNMR DM</i> |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 11.234839% | | | | | 7.0000% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 4.841514% | | | | 3.0000% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

202111

| | | <i>Electric Distribution</i> | <i>Electric Transmission</i> | <i>Bulk Power</i> | <i>PNM Common Utility</i> | <i>Corporate</i> | <i>TNMP Texas</i> | <i>PNMR DM</i> |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 8.543962% | | | | | 7.0000% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 2.674038% | | | | 3.0000% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

202112

| | | <i>Electric Distribution</i> | <i>Electric Transmission</i> | <i>Bulk Power</i> | <i>PNM Common Utility</i> | <i>Corporate</i> | <i>TNMP Texas</i> | <i>PNMR DM</i> |
|---------------------------|-----|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 4.822013% | | | | | 7.0000% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 1.581544% | | | | 3.0000% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

202201

| | | <i>Electric Distribution</i> | <i>Electric Transmission</i> | <i>Bulk Power</i> | <i>PNM Common Utility</i> | <i>Corporate</i> | <i>TNMP Texas</i> | <i>PNMR DM</i> |
|---------------------------|-----|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 10.605176% | | | | | 6.0000% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 7.489897% | | | | 2.0000% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

202202

| | | <i>Electric Distribution</i> | <i>Electric Transmission</i> | <i>Bulk Power</i> | <i>PNM Common Utility</i> | <i>Corporate</i> | <i>TNMP Texas</i> | <i>PNMR DM</i> |
|---------------------------|-----|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 8.422838% | | | | | 6.0000% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 9.245242% | | | | 2.0000% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

202203

| | | <i>Electric Distribution</i> | <i>Electric Transmission</i> | <i>Bulk Power</i> | <i>PNM Common Utility</i> | <i>Corporate</i> | <i>TNMP Texas</i> | <i>PNMR DM</i> |
|---------------------------|-----|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| CT | | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 7.055502% | | | | | 6.0000% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 6.587905% | | | | 2.0000% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

202204

| | | <i>Electric Distribution</i> | <i>Electric Transmission</i> | <i>Bulk Power</i> | <i>PNM Common Utility</i> | <i>Corporate</i> | <i>TNMP Texas</i> | <i>PNMR DM</i> |
|---------------------------|-----|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| CT | | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 10.156012% | | | | | 6.0000% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 15.857102% | | | | 2.0000% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

202205

| | | <i>Electric Distribution</i> | <i>Electric Transmission</i> | <i>Bulk Power</i> | <i>PNM Common Utility</i> | <i>Corporate</i> | <i>TNMP Texas</i> | <i>PNMR DM</i> |
|---------------------------|-----|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| CT | | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 10.315384% | | | | | 6.0000% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 5.148954% | | | | 2.0000% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

202206

| | | <i>Electric Distribution</i> | <i>Electric Transmission</i> | <i>Bulk Power</i> | <i>PNM Common Utility</i> | <i>Corporate</i> | <i>TNMP Texas</i> | <i>PNMR DM</i> |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 8.078320% | | | | | 6.0000% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 2.685131% | | | | 2.0000% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

2023

| | | <i>Electric Distribution</i> | <i>Electric Transmission</i> | <i>Bulk Power</i> | <i>PNM Common Utility</i> | <i>Corporate</i> | <i>TNMP Texas</i> | <i>PNMR DM</i> |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| 184071 - Distribution (1) | 925 | 11.5000% | | | | | 5.0000% | |
| 184070 - Transmission (2) | 925 | | 5.0000% | | | | 2.0000% | |
| 184201 - Generation (3) | 925 | | | | 0.0000% | | | |

Administrative & General Loads

Administrative & General Loads 2021

| | | <i>Electric Distribution</i> | <i>Electric Transmission</i> | <i>Bulk Power</i> | <i>PNM Common Utility</i> | <i>Corporate</i> | <i>TNMP Texas</i> | <i>PNMR DM</i> |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| 922100 - Major (1) | 926 | | 3.0000% | see below | | | 3.0000% | |
| 922200 - Minor (2) | 926 | 6.0000% | 6.0000% | see below | | | 6.0000% | 6.0000% |
| 922300 - Other (3) | 926 | | 0.8200% | see below | | | | |

| Bulk Power Co. 003 | | | BP BLDG | GENERAL | AFT | LORD,LUN | REE | LV,MKTG | FC | PV |
|----------------------|------------|---------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| CT | 046 | | 357 | 700 | 702,707,708 | 703,706 | 713 | 717,731 | 715 | 720-725 |
| 922100 - Major | 926 | 3.0000% | | | 3.0000% | 3.0000% | 3.0000% | | | |
| 922200 - Minor | 926 | 6.0000% | 6.0000% | 6.0000% | 6.0000% | 6.0000% | 6.0000% | 6.0000% | | |
| 922300 - Other (JPP) | 926 | | | | | | | | 0.8200% | 0.8200% |

| Bulk Power Co. 003 | | | LUNA | LUNA D&M | D&M ADJ PNMC R | LUNA | RENEW | SJ | SJ | SJ SNCR |
|----------------------|----------------|----------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| CT | 741-746 | | 741-744 | 741-744 | 747 | 751 | 760 | 761-790 | 761,764,770 | |
| 922100 - Major | 926 | 30.5600% | 1.1200% | -10.1870% | 3.0000% | 3.0000% | 0.0000% | 0.0000% | 1.0000% | |
| 922200 - Minor | 926 | 30.5600% | 2.2410% | -10.1870% | 6.0000% | 6.0000% | 6.7100% | 6.7100% | 6.7100% | |
| 922300 - Other (JPP) | 926 | | | | | | | | | |

Administrative & General Loads 2022

| | | Electric Distribution | Electric Transmission | Bulk Power | PNM Common Utility | Corporate | TNMP Texas | PNMR DM |
|--------------------|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| 922100 - Major (1) | 926 | | 3.9600% | see below | | 3.9600% | | |
| 922200 - Minor (2) | 926 | 3.9600% | 3.9600% | see below | | 3.9600% | 3.9600% | |
| 922300 - Other (3) | 926 | | 0.8200% | see below | | | | |

| Bulk Power Co. 003 | | | BP BLDG | GENERAL | AFT | LOR,DLUN | REE | LV,MKTG | FC | PV |
|----------------------|------------|---------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| CT | 046 | | 357 | 700 | 702,707,708 | 703,706 | 713 | 717,731 | 715 | 720-725 |
| 922100 - Major | 926 | 3.9600% | | | 3.9600% | 3.9600% | 3.9600% | | | |
| 922200 - Minor | 926 | 3.9600% | 3.9600% | 3.9600% | 3.9600% | 3.9600% | 3.9600% | 3.9600% | | |
| 922300 - Other (JPP) | 926 | | | | | | | | 0.8200% | 0.8200% |

| Bulk Power Co. 003 | | LUNA | LUNA D&M | D&M ADJ PNMCR | LUNA | RENEW | SJ | SJ | SJ SNCR |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| CT | 741-746 | 741-744 | 741-744 | 747 | 751 | 760 | 761-790 | 761,764, 770 | |
| 922100 - Major | 926 | 30.5600% | 1.1200% | -10.1870% | 3.9600% | 3.9600% | 0.0000% | 0.0000% | 1.0000% |
| 922200 - Minor | 926 | 30.5600% | 2.2410% | -10.1870% | 3.9600% | 3.9600% | 3.5000% | 3.5000% | 3.5000% |
| 922300 - Other (JPP) | 926 | | | | | | | | |

Administrative & General Loads 2023

| | | Electric Distribution | Electric Transmission | Bulk Power | PNM Common Utility | Corporate | TNMP Texas | PNMR DM |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CT | Co. 001 | Co. 002 | Co. 003 | Co. 006 | Co. 007 | Co. 012 | Co. 009 | |
| 922100 - Major (1) | 926 | | 3.5900% | see below | | | 3.5900% | |
| 922200 - Minor (2) | 926 | 3.5900% | 3.5900% | see below | | | 3.5900% | 3.5900% |
| 922300 - Other (3) | 926 | | 0.8200% | see below | | | | |

| Bulk Power Co. 003 | | | BP BLDG | GENERAL | AFT | LOR,DLUN | REE | LV,MKTG | FC | PV |
|----------------------|------------|---------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| CT | 046 | | 357 | 700 | 702,707, 708 | 703,706 | 713 | 717,731 | 715 | 720-725 |
| 922100 - Major | 926 | 3.5900% | | | 3.5900% | 3.5900% | 3.5900% | | | |
| 922200 - Minor | 926 | 3.5900% | 3.5900% | 3.5900% | 3.5900% | 3.5900% | 3.5900% | 3.5900% | | |
| 922300 - Other (JPP) | 926 | | | | | | | | 0.8200% | 0.8200% |

| Bulk Power Co. 003 | | LUNA | LUNA D&M | D&M ADJ PNMCR | LUNA | RENEW | SJ | SJ | SJ SNCR |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| CT | 741-746 | 741-744 | 741-744 | 747 | 751 | 760 | 761-790 | 761,764, 770 | |
| 922100 - Major | 926 | 30.5600% | 1.3200% | -10.1870% | 3.5900% | 3.5900% | 0.0000% | 0.0000% | 1.0000% |
| 922200 - Minor | 926 | 30.5600% | 1.3200% | -10.1870% | 3.5900% | 3.5900% | 3.5000% | 3.5000% | 3.5000% |

2023 Cost Allocation Manual-Allocators

PNM Exhibit JAP-4

Is contained in the following 16 pages.

**PNM Exhibit JAP-4
2023 Cost Allocation Manual-Allocators**

Company 7 – PNMR Services Allocations

Direct Charge Allocations:

There are various employees of PNMR Services Company who work on projects that benefit only one operating unit. To provide the ability to direct charge time spent on such projects, the company has devised allocators that move 100% of charges recorded with these allocators directly to the operating unit benefiting from their work. All are part of the PNMR Services Allocation. The following Locations provide for 100% allocation:

| <u>Location</u> | <u>Allocates to Company</u> |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| 917 | 9 PNMR DM |
| 942 | 1 PNM Electric Services |
| 946 | 3 PNM Bulk Power Marketing |
| 947 | 2 PNM Electric Transmission Services |
| 953 | 12 TNMP – Texas |
| 999 | 8 PNM Resources |

Allocation Code 999 – PNM Resources

Location 999 is part of the PNMR Services Allocation. 100% of costs charged to this location are allocated to Company 8 – PNM Resources, Inc. This method of allocation provides a direct charge from PNMR Services Company to PNM Resources while still capturing the appropriate corporate home center that incurred those costs. It is used primarily for items that should be recorded at the Holding Company level.

Allocation Code 911 – Financial Systems

Allocation Code 911 – Financial Systems is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to the operating units.

Transactional rate based on volume produced will be used for the distribution of costs to business units. The allocation rate is based on the number of the financial transactions. The total is composed of all the general ledger transactions and is allocated proportionally based on the number of financial transactions recorded in the general ledger by each company.

The Financial Systems allocator is primarily used for expenses incurred in finance support groups as well as maintenance of the general ledger systems.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | PNMR DM | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | 009 | TOTAL |
| Number of Transactions | 526,252 | 55,237 | 87,393 | 437,835 | 963 | 1,107,680 |
| San Juan Transactions | 0 | 925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 925 |
| Total GL Transactions | 526,252 | 56,162 | 87,393 | 437,835 | 963 | 1,108,605 |
| Co. 006 Allocated | 6,852 | 0 | 2,429 | 0 | 0 | 9,281 |
| Co. 007 Allocated | 18,653 | 10,762 | 5,264 | 13,724 | 682 | 49,085 |
| Total Allocated | 25,504 | 10,762 | 7,693 | 13,724 | 682 | 58,366 |
| Total Transactions* | 551,756 | 66,924 | 95,086 | 451,559 | 1,645 | 1,166,971 |
| Percent to be applied** | 47.29% | 5.73% | 8.15% | 38.69% | 0.14% | 100.00% |

* = 526252 + 25504 = 551756

** = 551756 / 1166971 = 44.96%

Allocation Code 912 - Accounts Payable

Allocation Code 912 – Accounts Payable is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to the operating units.

Transactional rate based on volume produced will be used for the distribution of costs to business units. The allocation rate is based on the number of invoices. The total is composed of the general ledger transactions related to accounts payable and is allocated proportionally based on the number of invoices processed for each company.

The Accounts Payable allocator is primarily used for expenses incurred in processing vendor payments.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | PNMR DM | |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | 009 | TOTAL |
| Number of AP Transactions | 7,064 | 2,349 | 1,989 | 9,764 | 68 | 21,234 |
| San Juan | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| Total AP Transactions | 7,064 | 2,469 | 1,989 | 9,764 | 68 | 21,354 |
| Co. 006 Allocated | 1,413 | 0 | 633 | 0 | 0 | 2,046 |
| Co. 007 Allocated | 1,747 | 699 | 573 | 1,354 | 5 | 4,378 |
| Total Allocated | 3,159 | 699 | 1,207 | 1,354 | 5 | 6,424 |
| Total* | 10,223 | 3,168 | 3,196 | 11,118 | 73 | 27,778 |
| Percent to be applied** | 36.81% | 11.40% | 11.50% | 40.03% | 0.26% | 100.00% |

* = 7064 + 3159 = 10223

** = 10223 / 27778 = 36.81%

Allocation Code 914 - Asset Management

Allocation Code 914 – Asset Management is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to the operating units.

Transactional rate based on volume produced will be used for the distribution of costs to business units. The allocation rate is based on the total of depreciable assets and is allocated proportionately based on number of depreciable assets on record for each company.

The Asset Management allocator is primarily used for general functions related to the management of assets including depreciation, asset retirement, clearing completed construction projects to plant and for general maintenance of the fixed asset software system.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | PNMR DM | TOTAL |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | 009 | |
| Number of Assets | 80,961 | 6,921 | 15,090 | 55,996 | 0 | 158,968 |
| Co. 006 Allocated | 20 | 150 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 179 |
| Total | 80,981 | 7,071 | 15,099 | 55,996 | 0 | 159,147 |
| Percent to be applied* | 50.88% | 4.44% | 9.49% | 35.19% | 0.00% | 100.00% |

* = 80981 / 159147 = 50.88%

Allocation Code 941 – Direct-PNMR Utility

Allocation Code 941 – Direct-PNMR Utility is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to PNMR’s operating units (companies 1, 2, 3, and 12).

Allocation rates are based on the Massachusetts Method, which incorporates employee headcount, gross margin and net utility plant amounts and calculates a composite average of all three.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | PNMR DM | TOTAL |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | 009 | |
| Margin | 365,101,146 | 340,889,262 | 134,489,211 | 324,658,772 | 0 | 1,073,945,141 |
| Percent Calculation* | 31.34% | 29.26% | 11.54% | 27.86% | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Net Utility Plant | 1,279,067,154 | 1,930,358,470 | 1,458,102,109 | 2,122,485,736 | 0 | 5,906,000,908 |
| Co 006 Allocated | 556,759 | 0 | 634,690 | 0 | 0 | 1,250,258 |
| Total Utility Plant | 1,279,623,913 | 1,930,358,470 | 1,458,736,799 | 2,122,485,736 | 0 | 5,907,251,166 |
| Percent Calculation** | 18.84% | 28.42% | 21.48% | 31.25% | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Number of Employees | 529 | 97 | 47 | 369 | 0 | 1,236 |
| Co 006 Allocated | 21 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Total Number of Employees | 550 | 97 | 80 | 369 | 0 | 1,286 |
| Percent Calculation*** | 50.18% | 8.85% | 7.30% | 33.67% | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Total | 100.36% | 66.53% | 40.32% | 92.79% | 0.00% | 300.00% |
| Percent to be applied**** | 33.45% | 22.18% | 13.44% | 30.93% | 0.00% | 100.00% |

* = \$ 365101146 / \$ 1073945141 = 31.34%

** = \$ 1279623913 / \$ 5907251166 = 18.84%

*** = 550 / 1286 = 50.18%

**** = (31.34% + 18.84% + 50.18% = 100.36%) / 3 = 33.45%

Allocation Code 948 - Co 6 Utility General

Allocation Code 948 – Co 6 Utility General is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to PNM's operating units (companies 1 and 2).

Allocation rates are based on the Massachusetts Method, which incorporates employee headcount, gross margin and net utility plant amounts and calculates a composite average of all three.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | TOTAL |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | |
| Margin | 365,101,146 | | 134,489,211 | | 499,590,357 |
| Percent Calculation* | 73.08% | | 26.92% | | 100.00% |
| Net Utility Plant | 1,279,067,154 | | 1,458,102,109 | | 2,737,169,263 |
| Co 006 Allocated | 556,759 | | 634,690 | | 1,191,449 |
| Total Utility Plant | 1,279,623,913 | | 1,458,736,799 | | 2,738,360,712 |
| Percent Calculation** | 46.73% | | 53.27% | | 100.00% |
| Number of Employees | 529 | | 47 | | 576 |
| Co 006 Allocated | 21 | | 33 | | 54 |
| Total Number of Employees | 550 | | 80 | | 630 |
| Percent Calculation*** | 87.30% | | 12.70% | | 100.00% |
| Total | 207.11% | | 92.89% | | 300.00% |
| Percent to be applied**** | 69.04% | | 30.96% | | 100.00% |

* = \$ 365101146 / \$ 499590357 = 73.08%

** = \$ 1279623913 / \$ 2738360712 = 46.73%

*** = 550 / 630 = 87.30%

**** = (73.08% + 46.73% + 87.30% = 207.11%) / 3 = 69.04%

Allocation Code 951 – Direct-PNM Utility

Allocation Code 951 – Direct-PNM Utility is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to PNM’s operating units (companies 1, 2, and 3).

Allocation rates are based on the Massachusetts Method, which incorporates employee headcount, gross margin and net utility plant amounts and calculates a composite average of all three.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | TOTAL |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | |
| Margin | 365,101,146 | 340,889,262 | 134,489,211 | | 840,479,619 |
| Percent Calculation* | 43.44% | 40.56% | 16.00% | | 100.00% |
| Net Utility Plant | 1,279,067,154 | 1,930,358,470 | 1,458,102,109 | | 4,667,527,733 |
| Co 006 Allocated | 556,759 | 0 | 634,690 | | 1,191,449 |
| Total Utility Plant | 1,279,623,913 | 1,930,358,470 | 1,458,736,799 | | 4,668,719,182 |
| Percent Calculation** | 27.41% | 41.35% | 31.24% | | 100.00% |
| Number of Employees | 529 | 97 | 47 | | 673 |
| Co 006 Allocated | 21 | 0 | 33 | | 54 |
| Total Number of Employees | 550 | 97 | 80 | | 727 |
| Percent Calculation*** | 75.65% | 13.34% | 11.00% | | 100.00% |
| Total | 146.50% | 95.25% | 58.25% | | 300.00% |
| Percent to be applied**** | 48.83% | 31.75% | 19.42% | | 100.00% |

* = \$ 365101146 / \$ 840479619 = 43.44%

** = \$ 1279623913 / \$ 4668719182 = 27.41%

*** = 550 / 727 = 75.65%

**** = (43.44% + 27.41% + 75.65% = 146.50%) / 3 = 48.83%

Allocation Code 968 - IT Infrastructure

Allocation Code 968 – IT Infrastructure is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to the operating units.

Transactional rate based on volume will be used for the distribution of costs to business units. The allocation rate is based on the total number of Network ID's and is allocated proportionately based on number of employee Network ID's belonging to each company.

The IT Infrastructure allocator is used for expenses incurred in maintaining information technology that cannot be traced to an individual operating unit used by the Company.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | PNMR DM | TOTAL |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | 009 | |
| Number of NT Ids | 1,126 | 149 | 67 | 457 | 0 | 1,799 |
| Co. 006 Allocated | 91 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 132 |
| Co. 007 Allocated | 424 | 200 | 123 | 247 | 0 | 994 |
| Total | 1,641 | 349 | 231 | 704 | 0 | 2,925 |
| Percent to be applied* | 56.10% | 11.94% | 7.88% | 24.08% | 0.00% | 100.00% |

* = 1641 / 2925 = 56.10%

Allocation Code 973 – Lewisville Building

Allocation Code 973 – Building-Lewisville is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to PNMR.

Transactional allocation based on square footage will be used for the distribution of costs to business units. The allocation rate is based on the total square footage and occupancy of Lewisville Building and is allocated proportionately based on building square footage and department’s occupancy per each company.

The Lewisville Building allocator is used to allocate lease expenses among the operating units supported by shared services occupying space at Lewisville.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | TOTAL |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | |
| Direct | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Corporate Allocated ¹ | 141 | 57 | 31 | 232 | 461 |
| Total | 141 | 57 | 31 | 232 | 461 |
| Percent to be applied* | 30.56% | 12.33% | 6.81% | 50.30% | 100.00% |

* = 141 / 461 = 30.56%

¹Note: Certain Corporate services are housed in the Lewisville building

Allocation Code 974 – Dallas-Las Colinas Building

Allocation Code 974 – Buildings-Dallas-Las Colinas is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to PNMR.

Transactional allocation based on square footage will be used for the distribution of costs to business units. The allocation rate is based on the total square footage and occupancy of Dallas-Las Colinas Building and is allocated proportionately based on building square footage and department’s occupancy per each company.

The Dallas-Las Colinas Building allocator is used to allocate lease expenses among the operating units occupying space in Dallas.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | PNMR DM | TOTAL |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | 009 | |
| Direct | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Corporate Allocated ¹ | 3,683 | 784 | 517 | 1,581 | 0 | 6,565 |
| Total | 3,683 | 784 | 517 | 1,581 | 0 | 6,565 |
| Percent to be applied* | 56.10% | 11.94% | 7.88% | 24.08% | 0.00% | 100.00% |

* = 3683 / 6565 = 56.10%

¹Note: Certain Corporate services are housed in the Dallas Las Colinas building

Allocation Code 977 – Downtown Albuquerque Buildings

Allocation Code 977 – Downtown Albuquerque Buildings is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to the operating units.

Transactional allocation based on square footage will be used for the distribution of costs to business units. The allocation rate is based on the total square footage and occupancy of Downtown Albuquerque Buildings and is allocated proportionately based on building square footage and department’s occupancy per each company.

The Downtown Albuquerque Buildings allocator is primarily used to allocate costs associated with the maintenance of these facilities.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | PNMR DM | TOTAL |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | 009 | |
| Direct | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Corporate Allocated ¹ | 60,355 | 21,429 | 13,638 | 36,128 | 28 | 131,578 |
| Total | 60,355 | 21,429 | 13,638 | 36,128 | 28 | 131,578 |
| Percent to be applied* | 45.87% | 16.29% | 10.36% | 27.46% | 0.02% | 100.00% |

* = 60355 / 131578 =
45.87%

¹Note: Certain Services Company areas are housed in the Albuquerque downtown building.

Allocation Code 980 - Aztec Building

Allocation Code 980 – Aztec Building is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to the operating units.

Transactional allocation based on square footage will be used for the distribution of costs to business units. The allocation rate is based on the total square footage and occupancy of Aztec Building and is allocated proportionately based on building square footage and department’s occupancy per each company.

The Aztec Building allocator is primarily used to allocate costs associated with the maintenance of the Aztec Facility.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | TOTAL |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | |
| Direct | 0 | 40,639 | 0 | 0 | 40,639 |
| Corporate Allocated ¹ | 12,381 | 2,136 | 5,402 | 2,979 | 22,898 |
| Total | 12,381 | 42,775 | 5,402 | 2,979 | 63,537 |
| Percent to be applied* | 19.49% | 67.32% | 8.50% | 4.69% | 100.00% |

* = 12381 / 63537 =
19.49%

¹Note: Certain Services Company areas are housed in the Albuquerque Aztec building.

Allocation Code 986 – Maximo (PNMR)

Allocation Code 986 – Maximo is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to the operating units.

Transactional allocation based on the Maximo transaction count. The total is composed of all Maximo transactions posted to the financials and is allocated proportionately based on the number of transactions posted by each company.

The Maximo allocator reflects products and services designed to impact or benefit all PNMR. This basis of assignment is described for each Area in Exhibit IV. Maximo transaction count is primarily used to allocate costs associated with managing the Maximo work management system.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | TOTAL |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | |
| Number of Maximo | 22,469 | 3,365 | 3,412 | 20,044 | 49,290 |
| San Juan | 0 | 719 | 0 | 0 | 719 |
| Total Maximo Transactions | 22,469 | 4,084 | 3,412 | 20,044 | 50,009 |
| Co. 006 Allocated | 826 | 0 | 371 | 0 | 1,197 |
| Co. 007 Allocated | 489 | 127 | 127 | 369 | 1,113 |
| Total Allocated | 1,316 | 127 | 498 | 369 | 2,310 |
| Total* | 23,785 | 4,211 | 3,910 | 20,413 | 52,319 |
| Percent to be applied** | 45.46% | 8.05% | 7.47% | 39.02% | 100.00% |

* = 22469 + 1316 = 23785

** = 23785 / 52319 =

45.46%

Allocation Code 993 - Employee Headcount (PNMR)

Allocation Code 993 – Employee Headcount is a part of the PNMR Services Allocation where expenses incurred for shared services are equitably allocated to the operating units.

Transactional allocation based on the employee headcount. The total is composed of all PNMR employees and is allocated proportionately based on the number of employees in each company.

The Employee Headcount allocator reflects products and services designed to impact or benefit all PNMR employees. This basis of assignment is described for each Area in Exhibit IV. Employee Headcount is primarily used to allocate costs associated with Benefits, Ethics and Governance, Payroll, People Services, Communications, and related technology utilized by these areas.

| | PNM ELEC | PNM BULK | PNM TRANS | TNMP | TOTAL |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | 001 | 003 | 002 | 012 | |
| Number of Employees | 529 | 97 | 47 | 369 | 1,042 |
| Co. 006 Allocated | 21 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 54 |
| Co. 007 Allocated | 148 | 120 | 31 | 99 | 398 |
| Total | 698 | 217 | 111 | 468 | 1,494 |
| Percent to be applied* | 46.72% | 14.52% | 7.43% | 31.33% | 100.00% |

* = 698 / 1494 = 46.72%

Company 6 – PNM General Utility Allocations

Direct Charge Allocations:

There are various employees of the general PNM Utility who work on projects for TNMP Texas. Such services are described in the Services Agreement between PNM and TNMP filed as a Class I transaction on November 29, 2005. To provide the ability to direct charge time spent on such activities, the company has devised allocators that move 100% of charges recorded with these allocators directly to the operating unit benefiting from their work. Beginning January 1, 2017, the formerly TNMP southern New Mexico operations Transmission and Distribution operations will be integrated with the respective PNM's northern operations and companies and will continue to cross-company charge to operating units within the Business Unit. No 100% direct allocators are used as they can cross-company charge.

The following Locations provide for 100% allocation:

- Location 192 – TNMP-Texas

Allocation Code 192 – TNMP-Texas

Location 192 is a part of the Services Agreement Allocation between PNM and TNMP. 100% of costs charged to this location are allocated from PNM to TNMP Texas. This method of allocation allows us to provide a direct charge from the corporate level to TNMP Texas while still capturing the appropriate corporate home center that incurred those costs.

Allocation Code 174 - Massachusetts Method (Companies 1, 2, 34, 35)

Allocation Code 174 – Massachusetts Method (Companies 1, 2) is a part of the PNM Common Utility Allocation where expenses incurred by the general PNM Utility (Company 6) are equitably allocated to the operating units (Company 1 – PNM Electric, Company 2 – PNM Transmission)

Allocation rates are based on the Massachusetts Method. This method incorporates employee head count, gross margin (revenues less operating expenses), and net utility plant (plant in service less depreciation) amounts and calculates a composite average of all three.

The costs allocated to PNM Electric Distribution and Transmission, based on the Massachusetts Method, are related to engineering and technology support provided for safe transmission and distribution operations.

| | PNM ELEC | | PNM TRANS | TNMP | |
|---------------------------|---------------|--|---------------|------|---------------|
| | 001 | | 002 | 012 | TOTAL |
| Net Utility Balance* | 1,279,623,913 | | 1,458,736,799 | | 2,738,360,712 |
| | 46.73% | | 53.27% | | 100.00% |
| Margin** | 365,101,146 | | 134,489,211 | | 499,590,357 |
| | 73.08% | | 26.92% | | 100.00% |
| Number of Employees*** | 550 | | 80 | | 630 |
| | 87.30% | | 12.70% | | 100.00% |
| Percent to be applied**** | 69.04% | | 30.96% | | 100.00% |

* = $\$1279623913 / \$2738360712 = 46.73\%$

** = $\$365101146 / \$499,590,357 = 73.08\%$

*** = $550 / 630 = 87.30\%$

**** = $(46.73\% + 73.08\% + 87.30\%) / 3 = 69.04\%$

2022 SJGS Decommissioning Study Costs

PNM Exhibit JAP-5

Is contained in the following 2 pages.

San Juan Generating Station Full Demolition Cost Estimate excluding River & Lake Work (2023 Dollars)

| Cost Categories | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 | Unit 1 & 2 Common | Unit 3 & 4 Common | Plant Common | GRAND TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Mobilization & General Conditions | \$ 1,395,280 | \$ 1,395,280 | \$ 1,395,280 | \$ 1,395,280 | | | \$ 1,852,480 | \$ 7,433,600 |
| Decommissioning and Cleaning | \$ 346,670 | \$ 123,760 | \$ 382,380 | \$ 382,380 | \$ 20,290 | \$ 20,353 | \$ 752,220 | \$ 2,028,053 |
| Environmental | \$ 973,370 | \$ 973,370 | \$ 1,629,320 | \$ 1,313,060 | \$ 626,520 | \$ 828,220 | \$ 2,617,460 | \$ 8,961,320 |
| Demolition and Disposal | \$ 4,640,140 | \$ 4,640,140 | \$ 6,105,630 | \$ 6,251,250 | \$ 319,760 | \$ 358,340 | \$ 1,755,570 | \$ 24,070,830 |
| Site Restoration | \$ 1,041,660 | \$ 1,041,660 | \$ 1,253,670 | \$ 2,245,480 | \$ 212,790 | \$ 361,440 | \$ 3,309,200 | \$ 9,465,900 |
| Lake Station & Dam Breaching | | | | | | | | |
| River Station | | | | | | | | |
| Shumway & Memorial Closure | | | | | | | \$ 1,323,530 | \$ 1,323,530 |
| Process Pond & Coal Pile Closure | | | | | | | \$ 4,338,070 | \$ 4,338,070 |
| South Evaporation Ponds Closure | | | | | | | \$ 14,821,730 | \$ 14,821,730 |
| Subtotal Direct Costs | \$ 8,397,120 | \$ 8,174,210 | \$ 10,766,280 | \$ 11,587,450 | \$ 1,179,360 | \$ 1,568,353 | \$ 30,770,260 | \$ 72,443,033 |
| Indirect Costs | \$ 2,519,150 | \$ 2,452,280 | \$ 3,229,900 | \$ 3,476,240 | \$ 353,820 | \$ 470,520 | \$ 9,231,090 | \$ 21,733,000 |
| Scrap Credit | \$ (5,570,570) | \$ (5,570,570) | \$ (7,483,920) | \$ (7,483,920) | | | \$ (2,018,970) | \$ (28,127,950) |
| TOTAL COST | \$ 5,345,700 | \$ 5,055,920 | \$ 6,512,260 | \$ 7,579,770 | \$ 1,533,180 | \$ 2,038,873 | \$ 37,982,380 | \$ 66,048,083 |

Note: Indirect costs include: Engineering, Permitting, Demolition Management, Bonds, Insurance and Contingency
Note: Costs shown above do not include ongoing maintenance.

**San Juan Generating Station
Owners Annual Costs**

| Activities Needed After Final Day of Operation | | | Post ShutDown Pre-Demolition (RIP) Annual Cost | Post ShutDown During Demolition Annual Cost | Post ShutDown Post Demo Annual Cost |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Plant Support Activities | Comments/Description | Activity Need Ends | | | |
| PNM Labor (Management & Non Craft) | For Management & Oversight (3 FTE @ \$85/hr) starting in 2023 | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 530,400 | \$ 530,400 | \$ 175,000 |
| Mechanical Contractor labor for O&M | Call-out to repair pumps and equipment as needed 2 FTE @ \$75/hr). | Final termination of permits | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 75,000 |
| Maint & Op Shumway, Westwater, Lake rec. | Shumway Operator & maintenance per Sierra Club Decent decree . | Consent Decree & DP-1843 | O&M | O&M | O&M |
| Maintain & Op Memorial Well | Operator & maintenance of memorial well to South Evap Pond #2. | Final termination of DP-1327 | O&M | O&M | O&M |
| Maintain Fire Protection | As need for safety, insurance and dust control. | Demolition of plant complete. | O&M | O&M | |
| Maintain Stack Lights | FAA requirement, repair and maintain as needed. | Demolition of stacks complete. | O&M | O&M | |
| Maintain Needed lighting | As needed for safety. | Demolition of plant complete. | O&M | O&M | |
| Maintain/Operate Lake Station Pumps | Water to Mine for reclamation & fire protection after BOR sale. | Reclamation Complete in 2030 | O&M | O&M | |
| Maintain Reclaim sump pumps | As needed to support decommissioning and ongoing work. | Demolition of plant complete. | O&M | O&M | |
| Maintain plant pump/systems for drainage | As needed to support decommissioning and ongoing work. | Demolition of plant complete. | O&M | O&M | |
| Connect/Disconnect Power | As needed to support decommissioning and ongoing work. | Demolition of plant complete. | O&M | O&M | |
| Surety Bond | As required by NMED for DP- 1327 & DP-308. | Final termination of permits | \$ 490,000 | \$ 490,000 | \$ 150,000 |
| Insurance | Property, Workers Comp, Reserves, D/O, Liability, Liability Reserves | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 559,097 | \$ 559,097 | \$ 559,097 |
| UST and AST inspections and testing | 3 USTs and 8 ASTs inspected monthly by SJGS & tested annually by cont. | Final closure of tanks | \$ 4,000 | \$ 4,000 | |
| Energy Costs | On-site power, per 2019 Decom. Study (charts B2 thru B9) | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 76,000 | \$ 35,000 | \$ 5,000 |
| Elevator Repair Call-Out (contractor) | As needed for stack elevators. | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 100,000 | | |
| Fire System & Extinguisher Inspections | As need for safety and insurance. | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 6,000 | | |
| Fire Pump Annual testing | As need for safety and insurance. | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 1,800 | | |
| Weed Control | Annual spray of weeds. | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 14,100 | \$ 14,100 | |
| Insect Control | Annual insect/pest control. | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 8,676 | \$ 8,676 | |
| Trash Pick-up | Weekly dumpster disposal. | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 10,000 | \$ 10,000 | |
| Potable Water | Lower Valley Water Users Ass. Potable water to plant. | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 2,000 | \$ 2,000 | |
| Fee to BOR for Lake Maint. after BOR sale. | Fee to BOR for Proportionate O&M & Equipment Replacement. | Reclamation Complete in 2030 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 |
| Plant Support Activities Total Costs | | | \$ 2,002,073 | \$ 1,853,273 | \$ 1,064,097 |
| Environmental Support Contracts | | | | | |
| Shumway Instrumentation and ADAS | Contractor support needed for instrumentation maintenance. | Termination of Consent Decree | \$ 15,000 | \$ 15,000 | \$ 15,000 |
| West Water Data Gathering | Contractor support needed for instrumentation maintenance. | Sierra Club & terminate DP-1843 | \$ 5,000 | \$ 5,000 | \$ 5,000 |
| Lease Fees for Shumway Piping | Operaton & maintenance of memorial to South Evap Pond #2. | Sierra Club & terminate DP-1843 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 1,000 |
| Clean Harbors | Hazardous waste & asbestos transportation and disposal. | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 15,000 | | |
| Safety Kleen | Parts washer service and used oil recycling. | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 10,000 | | |
| Envirosorce dba Green Analytical Lab | Monitor well and hazardous water analysis. | Final termination of DP-1327 | \$ 40,000 | \$ 40,000 | \$ 40,000 |
| Envirotech | Spill clean-up in the SPCC plan, and occasional lab work. | Final termination of SPCC plan. | \$ 10,000 | | |
| Air Permit State of NM | The 2022 air permit fees will be invoiced/paid in 2023 | Final termination of permits. | \$ 3,000 | \$ 3,000 | \$ 3,000 |
| Air Permit Consult Class 1 - Montrose | Contractor support for post-closure permit modifications | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 10,000 |
| CEMS Reporting CEMTEK | Contractor support for post-closure permit modifications | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 15,000 | \$ 15,000 | |
| Consulting Fees RMB/Agora | Contractor suppor post-closure permit consulting | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 15,000 | \$ 15,000 | |
| General Expenses | Contractor labor (Jana Franchini) & storage tank related costs | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 30,000 | \$ 30,000 | |
| Ground Water Sampling | Includes Delphi contractor support. | Terminate groundwater permits. | \$ 15,000 | \$ 15,000 | \$ 15,000 |
| Recycling Costs Waste Mgmt | Waste Management | Demolition of plant complete. | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | |
| Supplies | Water sample bottles, etc. | Terminate groundwater permits. | \$ 3,750 | \$ 1,000 | |
| Environmental Support Total Costs | | | \$ 222,750 | \$ 185,000 | \$ 89,000 |
| GRAND TOTAL ANNUAL COSTS | | | \$ 2,224,823 | \$ 2,038,273 | \$ 1,153,097 |

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW)
MEXICO FOR REVISION OF ITS RETAIL)
ELECTRIC RATES PURSUANT TO ADVICE)
NOTICE NO. 595)
)
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW)
MEXICO,)
)
Applicant)**

Case No. 22-00270-UT

SELF AFFIRMATION

JASON A. PETERS, Director, General Accounting, PNMR Services Company, upon penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of New Mexico, affirm and state: I have read the foregoing **Direct Testimony of Jason A. Peters** and it is true and accurate based on my own personal knowledge and belief.

Dated this 5th day of December, 2022.

/s/ Jason A. Peters
JASON A. PETERS