

A

B

C

PORTFOLIO COMPARISON - MID LOAD, MID GAS, MID CARBON							
Line	Scenario Description	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP with PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3 (CC)
[1]	2014	14.7%		14.7%		14.7%	
[2]	2015	15.5%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	15.5%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	15.5%	Red Mesa (102 MW)
[3]			2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)
[4]	2016	18.7%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	18.7%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	18.7%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[5]			Solar (40 MW)		Solar (40 MW)		Solar (40 MW)
[6]	2017	18.3%	San Juan BART	18.3%	San Juan BART	18.3%	San Juan BART
[7]	2018	16.8%	Large GT (177 MW)	17.2%	Large GT (177 MW)	14.5%	1x1 Combined Cycle (250 MW)
[8]			Palo Verde 3 (134 MW)		Large GT (143 MW)		Solar (20 MW)
[9]							
[10]	2019	16.4%		16.8%		14.1%	
[11]	2020	16.2%		16.9%	Wind (100 MW)	14.2%	Wind (100 MW)
[12]	2021	16.0%	Wind (100 MW)	16.5%		15.7%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[13]	2022	15.8%		16.3%		15.5%	
[14]	2023	15.3%		15.8%		15.0%	
[15]	2024	14.9%		15.3%		14.5%	
[16]	2025	14.2%		14.6%		15.8%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[17]	2026	14.1%	Solar (20 MW)	14.6%	Solar (20 MW)	15.0%	
[18]	2027	15.2%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.6%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.2%	
[19]	2028	14.4%		14.8%		15.3%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[20]	2029	15.4%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.8%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.4%	
[21]	2030	14.4%		14.8%		17.8%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)
[22]	2031	17.0%	Small GT (85 MW)	15.6%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	16.6%	
[23]	2032	15.4%		14.0%		15.1%	
[24]	2033	14.4%		17.3%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	14.1%	
[25]	PRESENT VALUE PORTFOLIO COST		\$6,640,253,862		\$6,697,094,002		\$6,757,260,865
[26]	5% Tail (Risk)		\$194,357,382		\$246,641,702		\$232,284,070
[27]	20-Year Loss of Load (Hours)		51.20		50.23		81.75
[28]	20-Year CO2 (Metric Tons)		104,426,164		115,122,717		112,961,119

Note: All portfolios assume net retirement of 340 MW at San Juan Generating Station

A

B

C

PORTFOLIO COMPARISON - MID LOAD, HIGH GAS, HIGH CARBON							
Line	Scenario Description	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP with PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3 (CC)
[1]	2014	14.7%		14.7%		14.7%	
[2]	2015	15.5%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	15.5%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	15.5%	Red Mesa (102 MW)
[3]			2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)
[4]	2016	18.7%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	18.7%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	18.7%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[5]			Solar (40 MW)		Solar (40 MW)		Solar (40 MW)
[6]	2017	18.3%	San Juan BART	18.3%	San Juan BART	18.3%	San Juan BART
[7]	2018	17.0%	Large GT (177 MW)	17.5%	Large GT (143 MW)	14.0%	1x1 Combined Cycle (250 MW)
[8]			Palo Verde 3 (134 MW)		Large GT (177 MW)		Wind (100 MW)
[9]			Wind (100 MW)		Wind (100 MW)		
[10]	2019	16.6%		17.1%		14.3%	Solar (20 MW)
[11]	2020	16.5%		17.6%	Solar (20 MW)	14.2%	
[12]	2021	16.7%	Solar (20 MW)	17.2%		15.7%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[13]	2022	16.5%		17.0%		15.5%	
[14]	2023	16.0%		16.5%		15.0%	
[15]	2024	15.6%		16.0%		14.5%	
[16]	2025	14.9%		15.3%		15.8%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[17]	2026	14.1%		14.6%		15.0%	
[18]	2027	15.2%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	18.2%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	14.2%	
[19]	2028	14.4%		17.3%		15.3%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[20]	2029	15.4%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	16.4%		14.4%	
[21]	2030	14.4%		15.4%		17.8%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)
[22]	2031	17.6%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	14.3%		16.6%	
[23]	2032	16.0%		14.6%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.1%	
[24]	2033	15.0%		15.4%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.1%	
[25]	PRESENT VALUE PORTFOLIO COST		\$7,541,109,648		\$7,808,184,679		\$7,829,451,867
[26]	5% Tail (Risk)		\$321,198,046		\$402,814,721		\$376,219,771
[27]	20-Year Loss of Load (Hours)		47.80		46.53		80.63
[28]	20-Year CO2 (Metric Tons)		103,437,031		114,370,709		112,349,239

Notes:

1. All portfolios assume net retirement of 340 MW at San Juan Generating Station
2. Text in **BLUE** signifies resource is selected sooner as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
3. Text in **RED** signifies resource is selected later as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
4. Text in **GREEN** signifies resource is different from what is selected in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio

A

B

C

PORTFOLIO COMPARISON - MID LOAD, LOW GAS, LOW CARBON							
Line	Scenario Description	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP with PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3 (CC)
[1]	2014	14.7%		14.7%		14.7%	
[2]	2015	15.5%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	15.5%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	15.5%	Red Mesa (102 MW)
[3]			2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)
[4]	2016	17.3%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	17.3%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	18.7%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[5]							Solar (40 MW)
[6]	2017	16.9%	San Juan BART	16.9%	San Juan BART	18.3%	San Juan BART
[7]	2018	15.4%	Large GT (177 MW)	15.8%	Large GT (143 MW)	14.5%	1x1 Combined Cycle (250 MW)
[8]			Palo Verde 3 (134 MW)		Large GT (177 MW)		Solar (20 MW)
[9]							
[10]	2019	15.0%		15.4%		14.1%	
[11]	2020	14.8%		15.3%		15.9%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[12]	2021	14.4%		14.8%		15.5%	
[13]	2022	14.2%		14.6%		15.2%	
[14]	2023	15.7%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.1%		14.8%	
[15]	2024	15.2%		14.4%	Solar (20 MW)	14.3%	
[16]	2025	14.5%		15.7%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.6%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[17]	2026	14.0%	Wind (100 MW)	14.9%		14.8%	
[18]	2027	15.1%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.3%	Wind (100 MW)	14.2%	Wind (100 MW)
[19]	2028	14.3%		15.4%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	20.2%	Large GT (143 MW)
[20]	2029	14.1%	Solar (20 MW)	14.5%		19.3%	
[21]	2030	16.9%	Small GT (85 MW)	15.4%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	18.2%	
[22]	2031	15.8%		14.3%		17.1%	
[23]	2032	14.2%		17.0%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	15.5%	
[24]	2033	15.0%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	16.0%		14.5%	
[25]	PRESENT VALUE PORTFOLIO COST		\$6,009,635,539		\$5,961,668,851		\$6,054,590,195
[26]	5% Tail (Risk)		\$139,041,712		\$189,128,706		\$170,154,319
[27]	20-Year Loss of Load (Hours)		59.38		61.31		87.48
[28]	20-Year CO2 (Metric Tons)		106,917,792		117,575,318		114,491,203

Notes:

1. All portfolios assume net retirement of 340 MW at San Juan Generating Station
2. Text in **BLUE** signifies resource is selected sooner as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
3. Text in **RED** signifies resource is selected later as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
4. Text in **GREEN** signifies resource is different from what is selected in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio

A

B

C

PORTFOLIO COMPARISON - HIGH LOAD, MID GAS, MID CARBON							
Line	Scenario Description	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP with PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3 (CC)
[1]	2014	13.4%		13.4%		13.4%	
[2]	2015	13.1%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	13.1%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	13.1%	Red Mesa (102 MW)
[3]			2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)
[4]	2016	15.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[5]			Solar (40 MW)		Solar (40 MW)		Solar (40 MW)
[6]	2017	14.5%	San Juan BART	14.5%	San Juan BART	14.5%	San Juan BART
[7]	2018	19.3%	Large GT (143 MW)	14.7%	Large GT (143 MW)	16.3%	Large GT (143 MW)
[8]			Large GT (177 MW)		Large GT (177 MW)		1x1 Combined Cycle (250 MW)
[9]			Palo Verde 3 (134 MW)		2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)		
[10]	2019	18.7%		14.2%		15.8%	
[11]	2020	18.0%		14.5%	Solar (20 MW)	15.3%	Wind (100 MW)
[12]					Wind (100 MW)		
[13]	2021	17.5%	Wind (100 MW)	15.7%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.7%	
[14]	2022	17.0%		15.2%		14.2%	
[15]	2023	16.2%		14.3%		20.0%	Large GT (143 MW)
[16]	2024	14.9%		19.7%	Large GT (143 MW)	18.7%	
[17]	2025	14.3%	Solar (20 MW)	18.4%		17.4%	
[18]	2026	19.5%	Large GT (143 MW)	17.0%		16.1%	
[19]	2027	18.1%		15.6%		14.7%	
[20]	2028	16.9%		14.5%		14.2%	Solar (20 MW)
[21]	2029	15.2%		16.9%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	20.3%	Large GT (177 MW)
[22]	2030	15.6%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.6%		18.9%	
[23]	2031	14.3%		14.2%		17.5%	
[24]	2032	14.2%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.1%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.7%	
[25]	2033	14.6%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	16.8%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	14.4%	
[26]	PRESENT VALUE PORTFOLIO COST		\$6,805,646,367		\$6,857,300,273		\$6,907,895,867
[27]	5% Tail (Risk)		\$193,050,946		\$243,797,742		\$231,735,944
[28]	20-Year Loss of Load (Hours)		37.47		39.23		45.49
[29]	20-Year CO2 (Metric Tons)		104,474,030		114,923,288		113,455,665

Notes:

1. All portfolios assume net retirement of 340 MW at San Juan Generating Station
2. Text in **BLUE** signifies resource is selected sooner as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
3. Text in **RED** signifies resource is selected later as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
4. Text in **GREEN** signifies resource is different from what is selected in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio

A

B

C

PORTFOLIO COMPARISON - HIGH LOAD, HIGH GAS, HIGH CARBON							
Line	Scenario Description	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP with PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3 (CC)
[1]	2014	13.4%		13.4%		13.4%	
[2]	2015	13.1%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	13.1%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	13.1%	Red Mesa (102 MW)
[3]			2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)
[4]	2016	15.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[5]			Solar (40 MW)		Solar (40 MW)		Solar (40 MW)
[6]	2017	14.5%	San Juan BART	14.5%	San Juan BART	14.5%	San Juan BART
[7]	2018	14.5%	Large GT (177 MW)	15.0%	Large GT (143 MW)	16.6%	Large GT (143 MW)
[8]			2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)		Large GT (177 MW)		1x1 Combined Cycle (250 MW)
[9]			Palo Verde 3 (134 MW)		2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)		Wind (100 MW)
[10]			Wind (100 MW)		Wind (100 MW)		
[11]	2019	14.7%	Solar (20 MW)	14.4%		16.0%	
[12]	2020	14.0%		14.5%	Solar (20 MW)	15.3%	
[13]							
[14]	2021	20.1%	Large GT (143 MW)	15.7%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.3%	Solar (20 MW)
[15]	2022	19.6%		15.2%		14.9%	
[16]	2023	18.7%		14.3%		20.7%	Large GT (143 MW)
[17]	2024	17.4%		19.7%	Large GT (143 MW)	19.4%	
[18]	2025	16.2%		18.4%		18.1%	
[19]	2026	14.8%		17.0%		16.7%	
[20]	2027	15.2%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.6%		15.3%	
[21]	2028	14.1%		14.5%		14.2%	
[22]	2029	20.2%	Large GT (177 MW)	16.9%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	20.3%	Large GT (177 MW)
[23]	2030	18.8%		15.6%		18.9%	
[24]	2031	17.4%		14.2%		17.5%	
[25]	2032	15.6%		16.4%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	15.7%	
[26]	2033	14.3%		15.1%		14.4%	
[27]	PRESENT VALUE PORTFOLIO COST		\$7,695,254,336		\$7,952,535,304		\$7,971,012,523
[28]	5% Tail (Risk)		\$320,721,645		\$397,312,801		\$378,157,407
[29]	20-Year Loss of Load (Hours)		37.23		39.45		44.44
[30]	20-Year CO2 (Metric Tons)		103,425,111		114,443,251		112,597,273

Notes:

1. All portfolios assume net retirement of 340 MW at San Juan Generating Station
2. Text in **BLUE** signifies resource is selected sooner as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
3. Text in **RED** signifies resource is selected later as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
4. Text in **GREEN** signifies resource is different from what is selected in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio

A

B

C

PORTFOLIO COMPARISON - HIGH LOAD, LOW GAS, LOW CARBON							
Line	Scenario Description	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP with PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3 (CC)
[1]	2014	13.4%		13.4%		13.4%	
[2]	2015	13.1%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	13.1%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	13.1%	Red Mesa (102 MW)
[3]			2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)
[4]	2016	15.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[5]			Solar (40 MW)		Solar (40 MW)		Solar (40 MW)
[6]	2017	14.5%	San Juan BART	14.5%	San Juan BART	14.5%	San Juan BART
[7]	2018	19.3%	Large GT (143 MW)	14.7%	Large GT (143 MW)	16.3%	Large GT (143 MW)
[8]			Large GT (177 MW)		Large GT (177 MW)		1x1 Combined Cycle (250 MW)
[9]			Palo Verde 3 (134 MW)		2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)		
[10]	2019			14.2%		15.8%	
[11]	2020	18.7%		14.2%	Solar (20 MW)	15.1%	
[12]	2021	18.0%		15.4%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.4%	
[13]	2022	17.3%		15.0%		14.6%	Solar (20 MW)
[14]	2023	16.8%		14.1%		20.4%	Large GT (143 MW)
[15]	2024	15.9%		19.5%	Large GT (143 MW)	19.1%	
[16]	2025	14.7%	Solar (20 MW)	18.2%		17.8%	
[17]	2026	14.1%	Large GT (143 MW)	16.8%		16.5%	
[18]	2027	19.3%		15.6%	Wind (100 MW)	15.3%	Wind (100 MW)
[19]	2028	17.8%		14.5%		14.2%	
[20]	2029	16.7%	Wind (100 MW)	16.9%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	20.3%	Large GT (177 MW)
[21]	2030	15.2%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.6%		18.9%	
[22]	2031	15.6%		14.2%		17.5%	
[23]	2032	14.3%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.1%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.7%	
[24]	2033	14.2%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	16.2%	Small GT (85 MW)	14.4%	
[25]	PRESENT VALUE PORTFOLIO COST		\$6,164,425,320		\$6,115,934,257		\$6,184,103,617
[26]	5% Tail (Risk)		\$131,819,121		\$180,771,107		\$168,364,357
[27]	20-Year Loss of Load (Hours)		39.57		41.46		48.45
[28]	20-Year CO2 (Metric Tons)		106,399,178		116,397,951		114,807,966

Notes:

1. All portfolios assume net retirement of 340 MW at San Juan Generating Station
2. Text in **BLUE** signifies resource is selected sooner as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
3. Text in **RED** signifies resource is selected later as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
4. Text in **GREEN** signifies resource is different from what is selected in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio

A

B

C

PORTFOLIO COMPARISON - LOW LOAD, MID GAS, MID CARBON							
Line	Scenario Description	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP with PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3 (CC)
[1]	2014	15.2%		15.2%		15.2%	
[2]	2015	17.2%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	17.2%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	17.2%	Red Mesa (102 MW)
[3]			2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)
[4]	2016	19.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	21.0%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	19.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[5]					Solar (40 MW)		
[6]	2017	19.6%	San Juan BART	21.1%	San Juan BART	19.6%	San Juan BART
[7]	2018	16.8%	Large GT (143 MW)	14.1%	Large GT (177 MW)	15.4%	1x1 Combined Cycle (250 MW)
[8]			Palo Verde 3 (134 MW)		Solar (20 MW)		
[9]					Wind (100 MW)		
[10]	2019	17.0%		14.4%		15.7%	
[11]	2020	17.9%		15.2%		16.8%	Wind (100 MW)
[12]							
[13]	2021	18.2%	Wind (100 MW)	15.2%		16.8%	
[14]	2022	18.4%		15.4%		17.0%	
[15]	2023	18.1%		15.2%		16.7%	
[16]	2024	17.4%		14.5%		16.1%	
[17]	2025	16.7%		15.7%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.3%	
[18]	2026	15.9%		15.0%		14.5%	
[19]	2027	14.9%		14.0%		14.3%	Solar (20 MW)
[20]	2028	14.3%		15.4%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.7%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[21]	2029	15.1%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.2%		14.5%	
[22]	2030	14.2%		15.2%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[23]	2031	15.2%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.3%		14.6%	
[24]	2032	14.4%	Solar (20 MW)	17.3%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	15.0%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[25]	2033	15.4%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	16.4%		14.2%	
[26]	PRESENT VALUE PORTFOLIO COST		\$6,396,876,414		\$6,386,769,726		\$6,482,619,195
[27]	5% Tail (Risk)		\$181,447,227		\$219,091,878		\$217,255,868
[28]	20-Year Loss of Load (Hours)		46.04		57.53		87.33
[29]	20-Year CO2 (Metric Tons)		100,947,842		109,895,072		110,130,462

Notes:

1. All portfolios assume net retirement of 340 MW at San Juan Generating Station
2. Text in **BLUE** signifies resource is selected sooner as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
3. Text in **RED** signifies resource is selected later as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
4. Text in **GREEN** signifies resource is different from what is selected in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio

A

B

C

PORTFOLIO COMPARISON - LOW LOAD, HIGH GAS, HIGH CARBON							
Line	Scenario Description	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP with PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3 (CC)
[1]	2014	15.2%		15.2%		15.2%	
[2]	2015	17.2%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	17.2%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	17.2%	Red Mesa (102 MW)
[3]			2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)
[4]	2016	21.0%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	21.0%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	21.0%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[5]			Solar (40 MW)		Solar (40 MW)		Solar (40 MW)
[6]	2017	21.1%	San Juan BART	21.1%	San Juan BART	21.1%	San Juan BART
[7]	2018	15.3%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.1%	Large GT (177 MW)	17.1%	1x1 Combined Cycle (250 MW)
[8]			Aeroderivative (40 MW)		Solar (20 MW)		Wind (100 MW)
[9]			Palo Verde 3 (134 MW)		Wind (100 MW)		
[10]			Wind (100 MW)				
[11]	2019	15.5%		14.4%		17.4%	
[12]	2020	16.4%		15.2%		18.2%	
[13]	2021	16.4%		15.2%		19.0%	Solar (20 MW)
[14]	2022	16.6%		15.4%		19.2%	
[15]	2023	16.3%		15.2%		18.9%	
[16]	2024	15.6%		14.5%		18.2%	
[17]	2025	14.8%		15.7%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	17.4%	
[18]	2026	14.1%		15.0%		16.6%	
[19]	2027	15.9%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.0%		15.7%	
[20]			Solar (20 MW)			15.0%	
[21]	2028	15.2%		15.4%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)		
[22]	2029	14.1%		14.2%		15.9%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[23]	2030	17.7%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	15.2%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.9%	
[24]	2031	16.8%		14.3%		15.9%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[25]	2032	15.2%		17.3%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	14.4%	
[26]	2033	14.3%		16.4%		15.4%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[27]	PRESENT VALUE PORTFOLIO COST		\$7,215,211,336		\$7,385,665,836		\$7,467,589,617
[28]	5% Tail (Risk)		\$298,565,475		\$362,169,589		\$341,706,422
[29]	20-Year Loss of Load (Hours)		46.81		58.24		72.26
[30]	20-Year CO2 (Metric Tons)		98,595,299		109,841,420		108,185,491

Notes:

1. All portfolios assume net retirement of 340 MW at San Juan Generating Station
2. Text in **BLUE** signifies resource is selected sooner as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
3. Text in **RED** signifies resource is selected later as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
4. Text in **GREEN** signifies resource is different from what is selected in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio

A

B

C

PORTFOLIO COMPARISON - LOW LOAD, LOW GAS, LOW CARBON							
Line	Scenario Description	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP with PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3	Reserve Margin	Revised SIP w/o PV3 (CC)
[1]	2014	15.2%		15.2%		15.2%	
[2]	2015	17.2%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	17.2%	Red Mesa (102 MW)	17.2%	Red Mesa (102 MW)
[3]			2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)		2015 Solar (23 MW)
[4]	2016	19.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	21.0%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	19.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[5]					Solar (40 MW)		
[6]	2017	19.6%	San Juan BART	21.1%	San Juan BART	19.6%	San Juan BART
[7]	2018	16.8%	Large GT (143 MW)	14.1%	Large GT (177 MW)	15.4%	1x1 Combined Cycle (250 MW)
[8]			Palo Verde 3 (134 MW)		Solar (20 MW)		
[9]					Wind (100 MW)		
[10]	2019	17.0%		14.4%		15.7%	
[11]	2020	17.9%		15.2%		16.5%	
[12]	2021	17.9%		15.2%		16.5%	
[13]	2022	18.1%		15.4%		16.7%	
[14]	2023	17.9%		15.2%		16.5%	
[15]	2024	17.2%		14.5%		15.8%	
[16]	2025	16.4%		15.7%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.0%	
[17]	2026	15.6%		15.0%		14.2%	
[18]	2027	14.7%		14.0%		14.3%	Solar (20 MW)
[19]							Wind (100 MW)
[20]	2028	14.1%		15.4%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.7%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[21]	2029	15.1%	2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.2%		14.5%	
[22]			Wind (100 MW)				
[23]	2030	14.2%		15.2%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	15.5%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[24]	2031	15.2%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	14.3%		14.6%	
[25]	2032	14.4%	Solar (20 MW)	17.3%	Reciprocating Engines (93 MW)	15.0%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)
[26]	2033	15.4%	Aeroderivative (40 MW)	16.4%		14.2%	
[27]	PRESENT VALUE PORTFOLIO COST		\$5,786,180,617		\$5,703,149,664		\$5,785,514,492
[28]	5% Tail (Risk)		\$119,568,428		\$146,795,698		\$152,753,076
[29]	20-Year Loss of Load (Hours)		51.65		57.30		99.44
[30]	20-Year CO2 (Metric Tons)		102,928,494		109,907,759		111,696,667

Notes:

1. All portfolios assume net retirement of 340 MW at San Juan Generating Station
2. Text in **BLUE** signifies resource is selected sooner as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
3. Text in **RED** signifies resource is selected later as compared to the same scenario description in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio
4. Text in **GREEN** signifies resource is different from what is selected in the MidLoadMidGas/Carbon portfolio