PNM 2017-2036

Integrated Resource Plan

Balancing cost and reliability while reducing the impact on the environment

July 3, 2017



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Appendices | 1 |
|---|-----|
| Appendix A. Load Forecast Details and Discussion | 2 |
| Appendix B. Acronym List | 16 |
| Appendix C. Glossary of IRP Terminology | 19 |
| Appendix D. Detailed Explanation of Primary MCEP Standards | 25 |
| Appendix E. Transmission Facilities | 30 |
| Appendix F. Integration of Variable Energy Resources | 35 |
| Appendix G. Rules and Regulations | 40 |
| Appendix H. 20-Year Revenue Requirement Model – Existing, Owned Generation | 49 |
| Appendix I. Details of CO ₂ and Gas Price Forecasts | 57 |
| Appendix J. Cost and Performance Data for PNM's Existing Generating Resources | 85 |
| Appendix K. Cost and Performance Data for New Supply-Side Resource Options | 89 |
| Appendix L. Top Ranked Portfolios for Each of 21 SJGS Continues Scenarios | 99 |
| Appendix M. Top Ranked Portfolios for Each of 21 SJGS Retires Scenarios | 120 |
| Appendix N. MCEP Loads (MW) and Resources Table | 141 |
| Appendix O. Detailed Results of Sensitivity Analysis | 150 |
| Appendix P. Reliability Analysis Study | 245 |

APPENDICES

APPENDICES

The following appendices provide details of the inputs and analyses presented in the previous sections.

Appendix A contains detailed annual demand and energy forecasts for each of the low, mid, and high forecast scenarios, along with graphs showing the typical weekly load profiles for winter, spring, summer, and fall.

Appendix B provides full names of the acronyms used throughout this document.

Appendix C contains a glossary of IRP terminology used throughout the document.

Appendix D contains a detailed description of the balancing area reliability requirements.

Appendix E contains a list of PNM's existing transmission facilities

Appendix F describes an analysis of how PNM's variable energy resources are integrated

Appendix G describes rules and regulations that are considered in the IRP analysis.

Appendix H provides financial inputs to PNM's models.

Appendix I provides details of CO2 and gas price forecasts.

Appendix J provides cost and performance data for PNM's existing generating resources.

Appendix K provides detailed cost and performance data for new supply-side resource options

Appendix L contains the top ranked portfolios for each of the 21 SJGS Continues scenarios.

Appendix M contains the top ranked portfolios for each of the 21 SJGS Retires scenarios.

Appendix N contains load and resources tables for the MCEP and alternative plans

Appendix O provides top ranked portfolios for each of the 95 sensitivity runs

Appendix P provides a detailed report of the reliability analysis.

APPENDIX A. LOAD FORECAST DETAILS AND DISCUSSION

| | | | | | Та | able 1. 2 | 017 IRP | Mid-Lov | w–High | Demand | Foreca | sts (one | of three |) | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Demand (MW) | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |
| MID | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Load Total | 1,911 | 1,961 | 2,009 | 2,056 | 2,108 | 2,163 | 2,201 | 2,230 | 2,260 | 2,291 | 2,323 | 2,356 | 2,390 | 2,424 | 2,460 | 2,496 | 2,534 | 2,572 | 2,610 | 2,650 |
| Energy | (23) | (36) | (51) | (63) | (77) | (89) | (103) | (113) | (120) | (129) | (136) | (138) | (146) | (147) | (142) | (138) | (135) | (134) | (129) | (122) |
| Efficiency | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PV-DG | (18) | (25) | (32) | (32) | (32) | (33) | (34) | (35) | (36) | (37) | (38) | (39) | (40) | (41) | (42) | (43) | (44) | (45) | (47) | (48) |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net System | 1,871 | 1,900 | 1,926 | 1,961 | 1,999 | 2,041 | 2,064 | 2,082 | 2,105 | 2,125 | 2,150 | 2,180 | 2,204 | 2,236 | 2,276 | 2,315 | 2,354 | 2,392 | 2,435 | 2,480 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOW | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Load Total | 1,906 | 1,896 | 1,904 | 1,929 | 1,953 | 1,963 | 1,976 | 1,991 | 2,008 | 2,027 | 2,046 | 2,067 | 2,089 | 2,112 | 2,135 | 2,159 | 2,184 | 2,209 | 2,235 | 2,261 |
| Energy | (23) | (36) | (51) | (63) | (77) | (91) | (105) | (116) | (124) | (135) | (143) | (147) | (157) | (161) | (157) | (154) | (153) | (154) | (151) | (145) |
| Efficiency | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PV-DG | (18) | (31) | (42) | (44) | (44) | (45) | (46) | (47) | (48) | (49) | (51) | (52) | (53) | (54) | (55) | (57) | (58) | (59) | (60) | (62) |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net System | 1,865 | 1,830 | 1,810 | 1,822 | 1,832 | 1,827 | 1,825 | 1,828 | 1,835 | 1,842 | 1,852 | 1,869 | 1,879 | 1,897 | 1,923 | 1,948 | 1,973 | 1,996 | 2,024 | 2,055 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HIGH | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Load Total | 1,915 | 2,003 | 2,078 | 2,175 | 2,269 | 2,361 | 2,439 | 2,509 | 2,561 | 2,602 | 2,645 | 2,688 | 2,732 | 2,778 | 2,824 | 2,872 | 2,921 | 2,971 | 3,023 | 3,076 |
| Energy | (23) | (35) | (50) | (61) | (73) | (85) | (97) | (105) | (111) | (118) | (123) | (123) | (129) | (128) | (122) | (118) | (114) | (112) | (107) | (100) |
| Efficiency | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PV-DG | (18) | (19) | (21) | (19) | (19) | (20) | (21) | (22) | (23) | (24) | (25) | (26) | (26) | (27) | (28) | (29) | (31) | (32) | (33) | (34) |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net System | 1,875 | 1,948 | 2,007 | 2,095 | 2,176 | 2,257 | 2,321 | 2,382 | 2,427 | 2,461 | 2,497 | 2,540 | 2,577 | 2,622 | 2,673 | 2,725 | 2,776 | 2,828 | 2,884 | 2,943 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Energy (GWh) | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| MID | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Load Total | 9,040 | 9,195 | 9,544 | 9,862 | 10,170 | 10,475 | 10,650 | 10,729 | 10,802 | 10,902 | 10,956 | 11,037 | 11,111 | 11,190 | 11,269 | 11,351 | 11,428 | 11,507 | 11,588 | 11,671 |
| Energy | (197) | (284) | (401) | (511) | (610) | (695) | (756) | (815) | (872) | (928) | (969) | (988) | (1,001) | (1,006) | (1,000) | (984) | (959) | (932) | (906) | (881) |
| Efficiency | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PV-DG | (47) | (83) | (118) | (150) | (151) | (153) | (156) | (159) | (161) | (164) | (167) | (170) | (173) | (176) | (179) | (182) | (185) | (188) | (191) | (194) |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net System | 8,796 | 8,828 | 9,025 | 9,201 | 9,410 | 9,627 | 9,737 | 9,755 | 9,769 | 9,809 | 9,820 | 9,879 | 9,938 | 10,009 | 10,091 | 10,186 | 10,284 | 10,388 | 10,490 | 10,597 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOW | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Load Total | 8,998 | 8,980 | 9,105 | 9,328 | 9,465 | 9,460 | 9,461 | 9,454 | 9,445 | 9,436 | 9,428 | 9,421 | 9,411 | 9,402 | 9,394 | 9,387 | 9,376 | 9,368 | 9,359 | 9,352 |
| Energy | (197) | (284) | (402) | (514) | (617) | (706) | (773) | (839) | (905) | (971) | (1,022) | (1,053) | (1,079) | (1,096) | (1,103) | (1,100) | (1,087) | (1,072) | (1,057) | (1,042) |
| Efficiency | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PV-DG | (47) | (102) | (156) | (207) | (208) | (210) | (213) | (216) | (219) | (221) | (224) | (227) | (230) | (233) | (236) | (239) | (242) | (245) | (248) | (251) |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net System | 8,754 | 8,594 | 8,547 | 8,607 | 8,640 | 8,544 | 8,474 | 8,399 | 8,322 | 8,244 | 8,182 | 8,141 | 8,102 | 8,073 | 8,055 | 8,048 | 8,047 | 8,051 | 8,054 | 8,059 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HIGH | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Load Total | 9,088 | 9,284 | 9,670 | 10,130 | 10,749 | 11,339 | 11,792 | 12,149 | 12,368 | 12,522 | 12,625 | 12,783 | 12,892 | 13,033 | 13,171 | 13,318 | 13,461 | 13,614 | 13,764 | 13,924 |
| Energy | (195) | (278) | (389) | (493) | (584) | (660) | (711) | (760) | (805) | (850) | (878) | (885) | (888) | (882) | (867) | (846) | (817) | (786) | (756) | (726) |
| Efficiency | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PV-DG | (47) | (64) | (79) | (93) | (93) | (96) | (99) | (101) | (104) | (107) | (110) | (112) | (115) | (118) | (121) | (124) | (127) | (130) | (134) | (137) |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net System | 8,847 | 8,942 | 9,201 | 9,544 | 10,071 | 10,583 | 10,982 | 11,288 | 11,459 | 11,564 | 11,637 | 11,786 | 11,889 | 12,033 | 12,182 | 12,348 | 12,517 | 12,698 | 12,975 | 13,061 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2. 2017 IRP Mid, Low, and High Energy Forecasts (two of three)

Table 3. 2017 IRP Demand Forecast with Transmission and Distribution Losses at Peak Demand Hour (three of three)

| Demand (MW) | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |
|---------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| MID Forecast | Load and | d Losses | at Peak | Demand H | lour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Load at | 1,731 | 1,779 | 1,825 | 1,869 | 1,919 | 1,972 | 2,007 | 2,033 | 2,060 | 2,088 | 2,117 | 2,147 | 2,178 | 2,209 | 2,241 | 2,274 | 2,309 | 2,343 | 2,377 | 2,414 |
| Delivery | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transmission | 78 | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 93 | 94 | 96 | 97 | 99 | 100 | 102 |
| Losses | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distribution | 102 | 104 | 105 | 106 | 108 | 109 | 110 | 112 | 114 | 115 | 117 | 119 | 121 | 122 | 124 | 126 | 128 | 130 | 132 | 135 |
| Losses | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Load Total | 1,911 | 1,961 | 2,009 | 2,056 | 2,108 | 2,163 | 2,201 | 2,230 | 2,260 | 2,291 | 2,323 | 2,356 | 2,390 | 2,424 | 2,460 | 2,496 | 2,534 | 2,572 | 2,610 | 2,650 |
| Energy | (23) | (36) | (51) | (63) | (77) | (89) | (103) | (113) | (120) | (129) | (136) | (138) | (146) | (147) | (142) | (138) | (135) | (134) | (129) | (122) |
| Efficiency | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PV-DG | (18) | (25) | (32) | (32) | (32) | (33) | (34) | (35) | (36) | (37) | (38) | (39) | (40) | (41) | (42) | (43) | (44) | (45) | (47) | (48) |
| (incremental) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net System | 1,871 | 1,900 | 1,926 | 1,961 | 1,999 | 2,041 | 2,064 | 2,082 | 2,105 | 2,125 | 2,150 | 2,180 | 2,204 | 2,236 | 2,276 | 2,315 | 2,354 | 2,392 | 2,435 | 2,480 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Customer Class | Customers | Electric Sales (MWh) | Revenue (\$000) | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Residential | 462,921 | 3,189,527 | \$395,490 | | | | | |
| Commercial | 56,357 | 3,831,295 | \$394,150 | | | | | |
| Industrial | 247 | 875,109 | \$56,650 | | | | | |
| Public Authority | n/a | 249,860 | \$23,174 | | | | | |
| Economy Service | 1 | 805,733 | \$31,121 | | | | | |
| Transmission | n/a | 0 | \$34,267 | | | | | |
| Firm Wholesale | 36 | 429,345 | \$22,497 | | | | | |
| Other | 887 | 2,899,322 | \$78,564 | | | | | |
| Total | 520,449 | 12,280,191 | \$1,035,913 | | | | | |

Table 4. 2016 Sales Statistics

Table 5. 2017 Projected Coincident Peak Demand

| Rate Classification | kW at Peak Hour |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 - Residential | 893,770 |
| 2 - Small Power | 261,165 |
| 3B - General Power | 305,597 |
| 3C - General Power Low LF | 48,434 |
| 4B - Large Power | 215,495 |
| 5B - Lg. Svc. (8 MW) | 19,999 |
| 10 - Irrigation | 6,925 |
| 11B - Wtr/Swg Pumping | 17,409 |
| 15B - Universities 115 kV | 19,415 |
| 30B - Manuf. (30 MW) | 55,098 |
| 33B - Lg. Svc. (Station Power) | 0 |
| 35B - Lg. Svc. (3 MW) | 27,303 |
| 36B - SSR - Renew. Energy Res. | 0 |
| 6 - Private Lighting | 0 |
| 20 - Streetlighting | 0 |
| Total | 1,870,609 |

Residential Forecast Methodology

PNM based the residential energy sales forecast on forecasts of customer growth and forecasts of per-customer usage. This methodology for determining residential growth has been adopted as the implementation of energy efficiency programs and roof-top solar installations has dramatically impacted the growth in this sector. Specifically, the energy sales forecast combines the separate customer count and use per customer forecasts. Residential energy sales then equals the customers forecast multiplied by the usage per customer forecast.

Customer growth over time is based on population growth forecasts. Historical population growth has been correlated with historical customer growth. Using population growth projections tailored to PNM's service territory from the Bureau of Business and Economic Research at the University of New Mexico, PNM applies the historical relationship between population and customer growth to produce forecasted customer growth.

To calculate usage per customer, PNM first forecasts use per customer based on (1) the seasonal usage differences within a year, (2) responses to weather, and (3) changes in usage patterns over time that result from lifestyle changes, price, and historical appliance efficiency improvements, but separating impacts of PNM's future energy efficiency programs and customer owned distributed generation (Private DG). This underlying forecast assumes normal weather derived from a 10-year average (2006 to 2015) of heating and cooling degree days. Once this forecast is completed, then impacts of PNM's energy efficiency programs and Privater DG programs are used to create a final use per customer forecast. Figure 1 provides a historical look at the impact of PNM's energy efficiency programs and Private DG.



Each year PNM develops a 20 year energy efficiency program plan. Under the existing rules, PNM spends 3% of total retail revenue on energy efficiency programs. PNM implements the most cost effective programs first and as a result, over time, while the total spent on energy efficiency is growing as the rate of retail revenue growth, program savings declines. A similar phenomenon is projected to occur with Private DG. The number of new Private DG is higher in the near term and as the market becomes saturated, there are fewer new customers forecasted. Figure 2 shows the use per customer forecast before the energy efficiency and Private DG are included in the forecast.



Commercial Forecast Methodology

The FERC defined commercial customer class contains 10 PNM rate classes. The energy sales forecasts of the small power and general power classes were prepared in the same way as the two residential rate classes, by multiplying forecasts of the number of customers by forecast per customer use. As with the residential forecast, historical trends and employment estimates from the Bureau of Business and Economic Research at the University of New Mexico were used as inputs in the commercial customer forecast equation to help capture economic conditions.

PNM prepared forecasts of the larger customers within the commercial class differently. Forecasts for the largest commercial customers, managed by internal account managers, were prepared on an individual basis. PNM's internal account managers routinely contacted these customers and provided updates on their expected energy use. The account managers also helped to identify if any potential new large customers anticipated starting service in the forecast period.

Industrial Forecast Methodology

Like the commercial FERC class, the industrial class may receive service under several PNM rate classes. PNM serves just under 250 industrial customers, the largest 40 making up the majority of total industrial segment energy sales. The largest industrial customers receive service under four rates (rate schedules 4B, 5B, 30B, and 33B). PNM energy sales forecasts for

these customers reflect information obtained directly from the account managers, who are in contact with these customers in the same manner as for large commercial customers. PNM, through its quarterly update process, continually evaluates forecasts for these large customers.

PNM prepared forecasts for the remaining industrial customers, those served under either small power or general power rate schedules, in the same way it prepared the forecasts for their counterparts in the commercial class—by aggregating all customers within a rate class and performing statistical time-series analyses. PNM prepared autoregressive statistical models based upon the historical relationship between time, weather, and non-weather monthly variations and usage. For the large power customers that did not receive individual forecasts, PNM forecasts aggregate use for that group rather than use per customer multiplied by a customer count forecast. Change in industrial use per customer is not as easily predictable from historical data as the other classes. Industrial customer usage is directly dependent on the size and nature of industrial customers entering or exiting PNM territory, more so than the economic trends used to predict residential sector growth. Industrial load is affected by weather, but not to the extent that weather-driven space heating and cooling affect the residential and commercial classes' load.

Separate load forecasts for firm wholesale customers were prepared using recent history and known contract changes for the future.

Transmission and 46 kV and 69 kV Demand and Energy Loss Calculation Methodology

Transmission Demand and Energy Loss Calculation Methodology

Actual transmission losses from a 2015 loss study were used to develop the transmission losses used in the forecast. The historical loss factor is applied to the energy forecast after the demand losses for each hour of the energy forecast to determine the total energy needed at the generator to meet the total sales energy forecast. The historical peak demand loss factor is used to increase the forecasted peak forecast including distribution losses.

The historical energy losses are determined from hourly metered data that measure the total amount of energy entering and leaving the PNM operated transmission system in the PNM BA where losses are essentially equal to the sum of all meters. This represents the amount of power that flowed into the transmission system but did not flow back out to load. The meters include the transmission line meters and the distribution substation meters and the source of the metered data includes Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) data, DOC operations data and energy accounting data. In addition energy losses we pay to third parties on jointly owned facilities and for wheeling on third party systems are added to the metered losses. Third party losses are based on OATT loss rates for wheeling on third party systems and agreed upon percentages for jointly owned facilities. The agreed upon percentages are typically developed from historical studies that use metered data for the power flowing into and out of the jointly owned facilities.

The historical transmission demand losses are determined from powerflow cases that model the operating conditions for the peak hour of each month. The operating conditions are taken from SCADA, energy accounting and DOC operations data. The powerflow program calculates losses for each transmission line on PNM's system based on the line modeling parameters and the calculated flow for the peak hour operating conditions. The sum of the losses on all PNM

operated transmission lines in the PNM BA area represents the demand losses for the peak hour of the given month. The process results in 12 monthly historical demand loss values. Demand is not adjusted for third party wheeling or jointly owned facilities.

Distribution (4.16 kV through 13.8 kV) Demand and Energy Loss Calculation Methodology

Actual distribution losses for the period 2012 – 2014 were used to develop the distribution losses used in the forecast. The energy losses are determined as a percentage of retail sales. That loss percentage is then used to increase all hours of the load forecast in order to assess the amount of energy needed to serve the total load after distribution losses have been accounted for. The peak demand loss factor is used to increase the forecasted peak demand.

The methodology used to calculate the distribution system losses compared the general ledger customer kilowatt-hours to the substation hourly kilowatt loading data from Jan 2012 – Dec 2014. The substation hourly kilowatt loading data values were also used in conjunction with substation transformer manufacture parameters to determine losses through individual substation transformers.

To calculate distribution system losses for energy, the Jan 2012 – Dec 2014 billed energy was subtracted from the Jan 2012 – Dec 2014 calculated load data measured on the distribution voltage side of each substation transformer, typically at 12.47kV. The substation transformer kWh is calculated by summing the measured kW for each substation transformer for each hour of the thirty-six-month period. A single kW value is captured for each hour and is the assumed average kWh value for the hour.

To calculate substation transformer losses, two components were determined; core losses and winding losses. Each type of loss was computed using individual transformer data for each of the system transformers, then summed over all of the transformers to obtain the total losses. Core losses were computed by multiplying the no-load loss value provided on each individual transformer's manufacturer test report times the number of hours that transformer was in-service during the study period. Winding losses were computed by multiplying the load loss value provided on each individual transformer's manufacturer test report times the number of by multiplying the load loss value provided on each individual transformer's manufacturer test report times the square of the load on that transformer during the study period.

PNM Energy Efficiency Programs, Rooftop Solar, and Codes and Standards Decrement Forecasts Methodologies

Incorporated into the load forecast are reductions in energy consumption caused by PNM's energy efficiency programs under the EUEA requirements, estimates for rooftop solar adoption by PNM's customers (private solar), and projections for increased energy efficiency based on future codes and standards. PNM developed an energy and demand savings forecast of PNM's energy efficiency and load management programs (EE Forecast) over the 20-year IRP planning period. Energy and demand savings are a function of the level of spending on the programs and the savings achieved per dollar spent. The level of spending is proscribed by the EUEA and is equivalent to 3% of PNM's retail revenues. Historically, the savings achieved per dollar spent have been decreasing. In other words, it is becoming more expensive to achieve a given level of savings because of a number of factors, including saturation of low-cost measures. The EE Forecast was developed by estimating the annual spending level and estimating a rate of

increase in the cost of delivering savings over time based on historical trends. The EE Forecast was developed by dividing historical results kWh of savings per dollar into the required EUEA spending of the future. Energy efficiency gains are inherent in the historical data. In order to avoid double counting the historical benefits of energy efficiency, PNM assumes that all historical gain through 2016 are included in the forecast, and has treated only incremental gains after 2016 in energy efficiency programs as a separate component.

PNM developed the rooftop solar energy decrement forecast by multiplying the historical capacity of the system across photovoltaic (PV) customers with the total effective sun hours of a fixed-tilt south-facing solar panel in Albuquerque during each month (solar resource information was provided by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory). PNM determined the historical capacity of the of all rooftop systems in its service territory prior to 2016 by the total kW AC of all the interconnected customers for the same time period, providing an average output for each kW AC installed. Additionally, PNM took the total kW AC and divided it by the total number of interconnected customers to determine the average installation size. For the period 2016 through 2021, PNM assumed the same number of interconnections as in 2015 and then multiplied that by the average system size and average output per system to determine the PV decrement for those years. After 2021, PNM has assumed a slowing down of the number of new interconnected customers due to market saturation and used a growth factor of 1.4%.

PNM prepared the codes and standards decrement forecast using LoadMAP, an end-use model developed and maintained by the Applied Energy Group.¹ LoadMAP addresses a variety of forecast drivers, including appliance standards, by computing electricity consumption for each major appliance category for residential and commercial customers. As an end-use or bottom-up model, LoadMAP gathers information on how many appliances of each efficiency level are in the existing stock of homes and how many appliances of each efficiency level are in the new market, consisting of replacements and new construction. It then computes the energy used by all the existing and new appliances, assuming that the appliances run for a specified number of hours per year under designated weather conditions.

The Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA) advances energy efficiency initiatives including lighting and appliance mandates. The EISA also requires the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) to determine if more stringent, amended standards for these products are technologically feasible and economically justified. For example, an update to the residential refrigerators standard occurred in 2014. As customers replace their refrigerators, this standard will result in a reduction in use per customer. New lighting standard effecting general lamps will go into effect in 2020. As with the other lighting standards, PNM has assumed that the impact of the change will actually begin in 2019. PNM has addressed this as a separate component in the forecast.

¹ Information about the Applied Energy Group's LoadMAP tool is available at the Applied Energy Group website at http://www.appliedenergygroup.com/load-and-revenue-forecasting.

Historical Comparison of Load Forecasts

Table 6 and Table 7 show historical load forecasts compared to actual load. The columns represent forecast cycle and the rows represent the year forecasted. For example, row 2015, column 2016, represents 2016's demand as forecasted in 2015. Each year of historical forecast in this table was prepared in the year shown at the top of each column.

| Forecasted Peak Demand (MW) | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Actual | Weather Normalized Actual |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|---------------------------------|
| 2013 | 1,978 | | | | 2,008 | 1,977 |
| 2014 | 1,984 | 1,983 | | | 1,969 | 1,963 |
| 2015 | 2,000 | 1,991 | 1,945 | | 1,889 | 1,857 |
| 2016 | 2,012 | 1,997 | 1,970 | 1,947 | 1,908 | 1,911 |

Table 6. PNM System Peak Demand Comparison

Table 7. PNM System Energy Comparison

| Forecasted Energy Sales (GWh) | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Actual | Weather Normalized Actual |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|---------------------------------|
| 2013 | 10,129 | | | | 10,130 | 10,069 |
| 2014 | 10,191 | 9,832 | | | 9,702 | 9,782 |
| 2015 | 10,245 | 9,853 | 9,427 | | 9,580 | 9,642 |
| 2016 | 10,317 | 9,863 | 9,377 | 9,317 | 9,403 | 9,464 |

PNM's past demand forecasts tended to over-forecast system peak demands on a weathernormalized basis. A key factor contributing to this has been declining sales growth in the aftermath of the recent economic recession. The overriding factor has been the poor performance of the New Mexico economy relative to the national and regional recoveries since the recession. In addition to the slow recovery PNM has seen a significant drop in load factor over the past 10 years as described below. Figure 3 and

Figure 4 show each year's forecast in comparison to other years, along with the actual loads for that year. These graphs clearly show that forecasts have both been over and under the actual system performance leading to the need to evaluate the IRP around a range of load forecasts and not one point forecast.







Load Factor

Load factor is a measure of average customer demand divided by peak customer demand. It represents an expectation of the amount of time that resources necessary to meet peak customer load is likely to be required for non-peak load, thereby affecting the selection of the type of generation resource that PNM may develop as peak demand grows over time.

As shown in Table 8, PNM has seen a deteriorating load factor for both the total system and the retail portion of PNM's load. PNM attributes this trend to two factors: (1) residential customers replacing evaporative space cooling with refrigerated air conditioning, thereby increasing summer peak demand, and (2) PNM's energy efficiency programs, which are reducing energy use.

| Table 8. PNM System | Load Factor Summary |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| Year | Actual |
| 2006 | 63.60% |
| 2007 | 62.70% |
| 2008 | 63.00% |
| 2009 | 60.80% |
| 2010 | 58.70% |
| 2011 | 60.10% |
| 2012 | 59.30% |
| 2013 | 56.60% |
| 2014 | 58.97% |
| 2015 | 57.90% |
| 2016 | 56.26% |
| | |

The system load factor has fallen below 60% in several recent years, which represents a significant decrease from averages of around 63% seen in the early 2000s. Whether this deterioration will continue is difficult to predict for the forecast period. Although recent history would infer continuing deterioration, PNM's demand response programs "shave" peak demand, whereas rate structure encourages load shifting from on-peak hours to off-peak hours.

The current forecast assumes a moderately decreasing system load factor absent development of further initiatives to improve it.

Private Distributed Generation (DG)

Customers on PNM's system, or third parties contracting with the customer, are eligible to construct solar photovoltaic (PV) systems behind PNM's electric meter at their place of residence or business. They also receive energy bill savings when the customer's generation exceeds their consumption. By participating in PNM's solar DG program, customers may also sell the Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs) generated by their solar system to PNM, which uses the RECs for New Mexico Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) compliance. The interconnection of these facilities to PNM's system, the administration of the private credits program, and the purchase of the RECs by PNM from solar facilities sized up to 1 MW are subject to the requirements of applicable PNM tariffs that have been reviewed and approved by the NMPRC.

Private solar PV installations are a small but fast-growing resource on PNM's system. Customers who choose to install a qualified solar PV or solar thermal electric system at their homes or businesses (or that are installed and owned by third parties) are eligible for PNM programs that allow customers to receive private credits and to sell the RECs associated with the energy to PNM. Although these customer-sited systems decrease net system demands, PNM provides backup service to interconnected customers, which ensures the customer still has electricity service if their solar system is temporarily out of service for any reason.

Customer installations continue to grow both in number and in the size of systems. This is attributable to federal and state tax incentives, the current downward trend in the cost of PV systems, private credits, and REC payment incentives offered by PNM. Table 9 shows the number of customers participating in the private solar programs, the installed capacity, annual RECs, and the peak-hour generation for each year since 2006.

| Year | Cumulative Number of Participants | Cumulative KWAC Installed | Annual RECs (MWh) | Peak Hour Generation KWAC (55% of capacity) | Percentage of Growth over Previous Year |
|------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|
| 2006 | 93 | 164 | 413 | 90 | |
| 2007 | 187 | 348 | 1,593 | 191 | 112% |
| 2008 | 368 | 748 | 3,525 | 411 | 115% |
| 2009 | 708 | 2,124 | 7,132 | 1,168 | 184% |
| 2010 | 1,342 | 6,165 | 13,611 | 3,391 | 190% |
| 2011 | 2,192 | 14,208 | 26,767 | 7,814 | 130% |
| 2012 | 2,994 | 19,894 | 41,914 | 10,942 | 40% |
| 2013 | 3,777 | 31,441 | 56,366 | 17,293 | 58% |
| 2014 | 5,071 | 39,372 | 85,239 | 21,655 | 25% |
| 2015 | 5,422 | 42,550 | 93,577 | 23,403 | 8% |
| 2016 | 8,710 | 62,830 | 119,574 | 34,557 | 48% |

Table 9. Private Renewable Distributed Generation

Although these installations are the responsibility of the system owners, PNM assumes that these installations will be maintained because customers receive net-metering and REC payments. For IRP purposes, it was assumed that existing distributed generation installations will continue to operate to offset system load for the entire planning period.

The PNM rates and tariffs that govern customer-sited renewable development include the following:

- Photovoltaic Renewable Energy Certificate Procurement Rates (Rate 24, Rate 31, and Rate 32): These rates incentivize customers to install solar facilities on their premises and sell the RECs to PNM for RPS compliance. Rates 24 and 31 are closed to new participants because those programs were superseded by Rate 32.
- **Cogeneration and Small Power Production Rate (Rate 12):** This rate, based on PNM's energy costs in the corresponding month of the prior year, is offered to qualifying facilities that provide net-excess renewable generation to PNM.

Typical Week Load Profiles

Figure 5 through Figure 8 show a typical week load profile on PNM's system in January, April, July, and October to illustrate the variability of load on the system caused by the season of the

year as well as the differences in load variability during the day and week during those months. Dotted lines illustrate the impact of wind and solar resources on PNM's load patterns.



Figure 5. January Load Profile: Typical Week









Figure 8. October Load Profile: Typical Week



APPENDIX B. ACRONYM LIST

- APS: Arizona Public Service Company
- Btu: British thermal unit
- **BA: Balancing Authority**
- CAA: Clean Air Act
- CCN: Certificate of Convenience and Necessity
- CO₂: Carbon dioxide
- COP: Conferences of the Parties
- DCS: Disturbance Control Standard
- DG: Distributed Generation
- DSM: Demand-Side Management
- EGU: Electric Generating Unit
- EPA: Environmental Protection Agency
- EPRI: Electric Power Research Institute
- EUEA: Efficient Use of Energy Act 62-17 NMSA
- FCPP: Four Corners Power Plant
- FERC: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
- **GE:** General Electric Company
- GHG: Greenhouse Gas
- GWh: Gigawatt-hour
- IRP: Integrated Resource Plan
- kW: Kilowatt, also shown as kW; a measure of capacity equal to 1,000 watts

kWh: Kilowatt-hour, a measure or energy produced or consumed

lbs: Pounds

LOLE: Loss of load expectation

MCEP: Most cost-effective portfolio

MW: Megawatt

MWh: Megawatt-hour

NDCs: Nationally Determined Contributions

NDT: Nuclear Decomissioning Trust

NERC: North American Electric Reliability Council

NMAC: New Mexico Administrative Code

NMPRC: New Mexico Public Regulation Commission

NMWEC: New Mexico Wind Energy Center

NSPS: New Source Performance Standards

OATT: Open Access Transmission Tariff

Peak RC: WECC reliability coordinator

PPA: Power Purchase Agreement

PV: Photovoltaic

PVNGS: Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station located near Phoenix, Arizona

REC: Renewable Energy Certificate

RFP: Request for Proposals

RGS: Reeves Generating Station

RPS: Renewable Portfolio Standard

SJGS: San Juan Generating Station located near Farmington, New Mexico

SPP: Southwest Power Pool

SRSG: Southwest Reserve Sharing Group

TAG: Technical Assessment Guide (by EPRI)

TEP: Tucson Electric Power Company

TOU: Time of Use

UNFCCC: United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

WACC: Weighted Average Cost of Capital

WECC: Western Electricity Coordinating Council

APPENDIX C. GLOSSARY OF IRP TERMINOLOGY

- 95th percentile: A value on a scale of 100 that indicates the percent of a distribution that is equal to or below 95% of the distribution (also referred to as the *upper tail*)
- ACE Diversity Interchange: Power system control areas within three major (and essentially separate) areas of North America are interconnected electrically, thus enjoying vastly improved reliability and economy of operation compared to operating in isolation. Each must continually balance load, interchange, and generation to minimize adverse influence on neighboring control areas and interconnection frequency. This requires investment in control systems and the sacrifice of some fuel conversion efficiencies to achieve the objective of complying with minimum control performance standards set by the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC). Control also increases wear and tear on machinery in the pursuit of these goals. Area control area (ACE) and area diversity interchange (ADI) offer a means of reducing this control burden without undue investment or sacrifice by any participant in a group. (Source: IEEE, http: //ieeexplore.ieee.org/Xplore/login.jsp?url=/iel1/59/8797/00387953.pdf?arnumber=387953)

Aeroderivative: A type of gas turbine for electrical power generation

- Availability factor: The ratio of the time a generating facility is available to produce energy at its rated capacity, to the total amount of time in the period being measured, as defined by the IRP Rule
- Avoided costs: The incremental cost to a utility for capacity and/or energy that could be avoided if another incremental resource addition such as energy efficiency were added that deferred or eliminated the need for the original addition
- Base load: A resource that is most economically used by running at a capacity factor of 65% or greater on an annual basis. See *also* capacity factor.
- Biomass resource: As defined by the IRP Rule, a recognized renewable resource type that uses renewable fuels such as agriculture or animal waste, small diameter timber, salt cedar and other phreatophyte or woody vegetation removed from river basins or watersheds, landfill gas and anaerobically digested waste biomass. See also renewable energy
- Biomass Study: PNM Biomass Assessment: Status Report
- Cap and trade: A regulatory body sets a cap on emissions of a designated pollutant, and sells permits equivalent to a firm's emissions. Firms that need to increase their emission permits must buy them from those who require fewer permits.
- Capacity factor: Actual energy generated over a certain time period divided by theoretical ability to generate electricity over that same time period. Capacity factor is most often referenced as an annual calculation.

Capacity uprate: The maximum power level at which a nuclear power plant may operate

Carbon dioxide: Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is an important greenhouse gas because it is thought to contribute to global warming. An NMPRC Order in Case No. 06-00448-UT requires that electric utilities use the following standardized prices for carbon emissions in their IRP filing: \$8, \$20, and \$40 per metric ton for their low, medium, and high price sensitivities, respectively. Currently, there is no emission allowance cost for CO2.

Centralized solar: Thermal solar facility that concentrates sunlight to collect heat and uses that heat to create steam that

then drives a steam turbine to create electric generation (also referred to as *concentrating solar*)

- Climate change: A significant change in measures of climate, including temperature, precipitation, or wind, that lasts for an extended period of time, resulting from natural factors or human activities that change the atmosphere's composition and the land surface
- Combined cycle gas turbine: For electric generation, *combined cycle* refers to a gas turbine that generates electricity and heat in the exhaust used to make steam, which then drives a steam turbine to generate additional electricity.
- Constrained transmission: A transmission system that can no longer accommodate additional capacity to meet demand is constrained.
- Conventional resources: Coal, nuclear, hydro and natural gas resources that have historically been the most commonly used to supply electricity (also referred to as *traditional resources*)
- Cost of Capital: The cost of financing utility plant investment, including interest on debt funding and return on equity funding.
- Crediting: A billing mechanism that credits distribution generation system owners for electricity they add to the grid. When a home or business is net-metered, electricity generated is credited against what electricity is consumed when the home or business electricity use exceeds the system's output. Customers are only billed for their "net" energy use.
- Demand response (DR) : A resource comprising programs that compensate electricity users in exchange for the ability to interrupt or reduce their electric consumption when system demand is particularly high and/or system reliability is at risk.
- Demand: Usage at a point in time, measured in MW or kW
- Demand-side resources: As defined by the IRP Rule, energy efficiency, and load management, as those terms are defined in the Efficient Use of Energy Act
- Dispatchability: The ability of a generating unit to increase or decrease generation, or to be brought online or shut down at the request of a utility's system operator
- Distributed generation: Electric generation that is sited at a customer's premises, providing energy to the customer load at that site and/or providing electric energy for use by multiple customers in contiguous distribution substation areas. In this report, it refers to PNM customer-sited, renewable, distributed generation program for solar photovoltaic systems less than 10 kilowatts in size.
- Duty cycle: Generating facility design that determines how a facility is operated. Duty Cycle classifications are baseload, intermediate, or peaking.
- EE Rule: Energy Efficiency Rule (17.7.2 New Mexico Administrative Code)
- Emergency energy: Energy purchases to meet unserved load
- Energy efficiency: Measures, including energy conservation measures or programs that target consumer behavior, equipment, or devices to result in a decrease in consumption of electricity without reducing the amount or quality of energy services, as defined by the IRP Rule

Energy: Usage over a period of time, measured in GWh, MWh, or kWh

- Equivalent availability: Typically referred to as *Equivalent Availability Factor* (*EAF*), the proportion of hours in a given time period that a resource is available to generate at full capacity
- Financial risk: Expected cost to the customer and the variability and uncertainty of future cost outcomes.
- Fixed cost: Costs that are independent of output. Contrast variable costs.
- Forced outage rate: Percentage of time a unit is not operational when it is expected to be in service
- Geothermal Study: Geothermal Resource Development Needs in New Mexico
- Geothermal: Electric generation fueled by heat from geologic formations, which qualifies as a renewable resource under 17.9.572 NMAC
- Heat rate: The ratio of energy inputs used by a generating facility expressed in BTUs (British Thermal Units) to the energy output of that facility expressed in kilowatt-hours, as defined by the IRP Rule
- Intermediate: A resource that is most economically run at capacity factors between 20% and 65% of the time on an annual basis. *See also* capacity factor.
- IPP: Independent Power Producer third party producers who sell capacity and/or energy to utilities.
- Global Energy Partners Potential Study: Public Service New Mexico Electric Energy Efficiency Potential Study, dated June 30, 2011
- IRP Rule: Integrated Resource Plan for Electric Utilities, NMPRC Rule 17.7.3 New Mexico Administrative Code (17.7.3 NMAC).
- Jurisdictional load: Case 3137 Order identifies jurisdictional load as New Mexico retail load and wholesale firm requirement customers contracted prior to September 2, 2002.
- Load duration curve: Illustration of the relationship between generating capacity requirements and capacity utilization. The load duration curve helps determine which type of resource best matches system load requirements.
- Loads and Resources: A loads and resources table shows annual balance between load and the resources to meet the load, and includes the reserve margin calculation
- Load factor: Average demand divided by peak demand
- Load forecasting: The prediction of the demand for electricity over the planning period for the utility, as defined by the IRP Rule
- Load management: Measures or programs that target equipment or devices to decrease peak electricity demand or shift demand from peak to off-peak periods, as defined by the IRP Rule
- Load-following resource: This resource has a response rate that can meet normal fluctuations in load.
- Loss of Load Expectation: expected number of firm load shed events in a given year

Loss of load probability: Percent of time load is not served

Marginal cost: The highest system resource cost for the hour

Mean: The expected value of a random variable (of a probability distribution), which is also called the population mean

- Monte Carlo: Risk analysis technique utilizing multiple iterations calculated using random draws for sensitivity variables from a defined distribution for the variables
- Most cost-effective resource portfolio: Those supply-side resources and demand-side resources that minimize the net present value of revenue requirements proposed by the utility to meet electric system demand during the planning period consistent with reliability and risk considerations, as defined by the IRP Rule

Nameplate capacity: The rated output of an electrical generator; it can also refer to the rated capacity of a power plant.

- Net present value: The difference between the present values of cash inflows and the present value of cash outflows
- Network transmission service: The transmission of capacity and energy from network generating resources to PNM's load.
- Non-spinning reserves: The extra generating capacity that is not currently connected to the system, but can become available after a short delay
- Particulate matter: A complex mix of extremely small particles and liquid droplets, including acids, organic chemicals, metals, and soil and dust, creating particle pollution
- Peak demand: Occurs when demand for energy is at its greatest
- Peak shaving: A strategy used to reduce electricity use during times of peak demand, typically employed through demand response programs
- Peaking: A resource that is most economically run at a capacity factor of less than 20%. See also capacity factor
- Photovoltaic solar: Solar generation that uses photovoltaic panels to convert sunlight directly to energy
- Planning period: The future period for which a utility develops its IRP. For purposes of this rule, the planning period is 20 years, from 2014–2033.
- Plug-in hybrids: Hybrid automobiles whose batteries are recharged by plugging into an electric socket
- Point-to-point transmission service: Delivery of power from one location to another, without branching to other locations
- Portfolio: A combination of resource additions/assets over the planning period that meet the reserve margin criteria
- Private Solar: Solar energy that is used to offset an individual customer's utility bill (net metering).
- Probability distribution: Describes the likelihood of a random parameter over a range of possible values
- Public utility: As defined by the IRP Rule, public utility or utility has the same meaning as in the Public Utility Act, except that it does not include a distribution cooperative utility, as defined in the Efficient Use of Energy Act
- Qualifying facilities: FERC established a new class of generating facilities that would receive special rate and regulatory treatment to support implementation of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978. Generating facilities fall into two categories: qualifying small power production facilities and qualifying cogeneration facilities.

Rankine cycle: A heat engine with a vapor power cycle commonly found in power plants

- Rate rider: According to State Statute 62-3-3-H, "Rate" means every rate, tariff, charge, or other compensation for utility service rendered or to be rendered by a utility and every rule, regulation, practice, act, requirement, or privilege in any way relating to such rate, tariff, charge, or other compensation and any schedule or tariff or part of a schedule or tariff thereof.
- Reasonable Cost Threshold: is a customer protection mechanism that limits the customer bill impact resulting from renewable energy procurements by utilities. It is the cost level established by the Commission above which a public utility shall not be required to add renewable energy to its electric energy supply portfolio pursuant to the renewable portfolio standard.
- Regional Entity: According to NERC, "NERC works with eight regional entities to improve the reliability of the bulk power system. The members of the regional entities come from all segments of the electric industry: investorowned utilities; federal power agencies; rural electric cooperatives; state, municipal and provincial utilities; independent power producers; power marketers; and end-use customers. These entities account for virtually all the electricity supplied in the United States, Canada, and a portion of Baja California Norte, Mexico."
- Regional haze: According to the EPA, regional haze is visibility impairment that is produced by activity that emits fine particles and their precursors over a geographic area.
- Reliability: The ability of the electric system to supply the demand and energy requirements of the customers when needed and to withstand sudden disturbances
- Renewable energy: As defined by the IRP Rule, electrical energy generated by means of a low or zero emissions generation technology with substantial long-term production potential and generated by use of renewable energy resources that may include solar, wind, hydropower, geothermal, fuel cells that are not fossil fueled, and biomass resources. See *biomass resource*
- Renewable Energy Procurement Plan (REPP): PNM annual filing at the NMPRC that discusses plans to meet the Renewable Portfolio Standard set by the NMPRC.
- Renewable resources: Generation resources that are based on a renewable fuel supply

Retail sales: The sale of energy to end users

- Risk plot: The process of transposing a distribution histogram by measuring the mean and the 95th percentile and plotting the mean on the x-axis and the 95th percentile on the y-axis
- Scenario: A combination of sensitivity values used to generate portfolios
- Sensitivity: A variable that has a significant impact on risk evaluation
- Solar: Electric generation fueled directly by sunlight
- Solar hybrid: A thermal solar facility with the ability to supplement heat from the sun with heat derived by burning natural gas
- Spinning reserves: Backup energy production capacity that can be available to a transmission system within 10 minutes and can operate continuously for at least two hours after being brought online

Spot prices: The price quoted for immediate settlement (payment) of a commodity

Stochastic analysis: Stochastic financial risk analysis

Strategist[®]: The resource portfolio modeling software that PNM uses for resource plan optimization. Strategist[®] is a registered trademark of Ventyx.

Total system costs: Total sum of annual costs for meeting the system's energy requirements with all resources

Universal Solar: Solar resources which are part of a utility's total generation supply used to serve all customers.

Upper tail: A value on a scale of 100 that indicates the percentage of a distribution that is equal to or below 95% of the distribution (also referred to as *95th percentile*)

Tri-State: Tri-State Generation and Transmission cooperative

- Valencia: Valencia Generation Facility located near Belen, New Mexico
- Variable costs: Costs that change with unit output. Contrast fixed costs

Water intensity: A measure of the water resource needed to generate over a defined period

Wheeling: Transportation of electric power over transmission lines

Wind: Electric generation fueled by wind turbines

APPENDIX D. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF PRIMARY MCEP STANDARDS

This appendix provides a detailed explanation of the three primary MCEP standards for PNM's BA discussed in the Planning Considerations Section under Operating Reserves.

BAL-002-1

BAL-002-1 is the Disturbance Control Performance Standard which sets requirements to restore supply and demand balance in the event of a system disturbance. It defines the allowable recovery period and the requirement to establish new reserves following a disturbance. To ensure compliance, PNM must maintain contingency reserves, which are resources under PNM's control that can be activated to respond to Disturbance Control Standard (DCS) events within the required time periods. An example of a typical DCS event would be the loss of a BA's single largest hazard. If PNM does not comply with these standards, not only can monetary penalties be assessed, but PNM is also exposed to a load-shed directive from WECC's Peak Regional Coordinator (RC), who monitors system reliability across WECC. System recovery is required to occur within 15 minutes and reserves must be restored within 60 minutes.

Within the 15-minute DCS recovery period, the first five minutes is when the BA conducts the following activities:

- Call and activate non-spinning reserves, which must be available within 10 minutes.
- Verify that PNM is receiving assistance from its reserve sharing group
- Activate any hazard share agreements

The remaining 10 minutes of the DCS reserve recovery period allow for spinning and nonspinning units to ramp up to their 10-minute delivery capability. At the end of the 15-minute recovery period, PNM's area control error must return to zero or to the precontingency value or bring supply into balance with the load.

Theoretically, PNM could meet these requirements through power purchases or sales; because of time and other constraints, PNM cannot practically depend upon market purchases to comply with the 15-minute DCS recovery requirement. These constraints include the following:

- Uncertainty as to whether counter parties will be willing to reduce their reserve margins intrahour
- The time required to contact potential counterparties to determine the availability and/or deliverability of an intrahour market power transaction
- The time required to negotiate an energy purchase once the availability and deliverability of the power is confirmed.
- The complexity of determining deliverability, which requires consideration of the following:

- Identification of transmission constraints from the point of receipt to the point of delivery (e.g., at Palo Verde, Four Corners, or San Juan)
- Intrahour scheduling and tagging constraints. For the BA's to agree to an intrahour interchange transaction, the electronic e-tag that is submitted has to be deemed an emergency for the receiving balance authority. WECC allows a 60-minute period for an emergency tag submittal for transfer of energy between balancing authorities; however, the process to implement such a transaction requires additional inter-company communications for verification, which can further delay the recovery.
- Time of year and day; during high load periods such as third quarter (July, August, and September) and the peak hours of the day, counterparties may not have excess energy to sell or generation units available to bring online
- Weather and loads in the WECC; when PNM is experiencing peak loads because of extreme temperatures, surrounding balance authorities are likely to be experiencing the same conditions and system stresses

In addition to the practical issues with relying upon market purchases and sales for system reliability that exist today, an observed recent loss of market liquidity and depth must factor into PNM's plans for a reliable resource portfolio. Market depth refers to the number of counterparties that are actively buying and selling in the day-ahead and hour-ahead markets. Market liquidity refers to the same concept but, in addition, also refers to the amount of power that counterparties are willing to transact (i.e., sell or purchase).

Market liquidity and depth have declined over time. The following factors have contributed to the loss of market liquidity and depth:

- Retirement of base load units throughout the western United States
- Market power concerns by some market entities
- Entry into the California Energy Imbalance Market by some entities
- More stringent gas scheduling requirements on interstate gas pipelines
- More stringent electricity scheduling rules
- FERC rules requiring designation/undesignation of resources
- Scheduling and tagging constraints across the scheduling hour
- Smaller differences between system incremental costs caused by newer gas units being on the margin
- Time of year and day (as discussed above)
- Weather and loads in the WECC (as discussed above)
- Ability for a natural gas generator to acquire intra-day gas supply and transportation
- Transmission availability to schedule the purchased power to PNM's load
- Scarcity of gas storage

There is much less market depth and liquidity in the real-time and day-ahead markets at the Four Corners and San Juan trading hubs than in the past, and the market situation is unlikely to improve because of planned generation retirements in the Four Corners region. There are fewer willing counterparties today; in most cases, when a counterparty is willing to enter into a transaction, the amount of energy offered is significantly less than in the past.

For these reasons, PNM does not plan on intrahour market purchases and is not a viable resource option for complying with the requirements for DCS reserve recovery or other reliability requirements.

BAL-002-WECC-2

As PNM adds more variable energy supplies to the system, PNM must consider the need to provide the requisite regulating reserves (i.e., ancillary services and flexibility) to maintain reliability as generation from the new resources ramps up and down. Increased intermittent generation on PNM's system has increased the fluctuations of generation output on the system. This also increases the need for quick-response solutions.

Contingency reserves are comprised of spinning and non-spinning reserves; spinning reserves must constitute at least 50% of the required amount of contingency reserves. Spinning reserves are the portion of reserves that the utility can call upon to immediately respond to a system disturbance. Spinning reserves include the following:

- PNM-controlled generation or storage resources that are online and synchronized to the BA's system so they can be accessed immediately to provide power to the system
- Market-based products (spin capacity) that are available to be called upon within the required recovery period (e.g., a generator located in another electric utility's system)

Market-based products for spin capacity are agreements with other entities for capacity and/or energy that can be called upon to assist PNM in responding to, and recovering from, a DCS event, re-establishing contingency reserves, and replacing lost generation to meet PNM's load service obligations. Market solutions mitigate, to the extent that they are available, the need for PNM to invest in new generation to comply with NERC and WECC standards. Use of these products requires PNM to maintain sufficient transmission capacity to utilize the agreements within the timeframes needed. Two market solutions for management of and recovery from DCS events include the following:

- Southwest Reserve Sharing Group (SRSG) participation: PNM is a participant in SRSG and benefits from sharing contingent reserves, thereby reducing NERC and WECC compliance costs
- **Hazard share agreement:** PNM is currently pursuing a 100 MW hazard share agreement with Tri-State that will improve PNM's ability to meet DCS recovery and contingency reserve restoration requirements at little or no cost. A hazard share agreement is between two generator owners that agree to share the risks of a generator loss by providing immediate assistance to each other in the event of the loss of the named resource.

SRSG is comprised of 15 southwestern utilities and registered under NERC. SRSG administers NERC compliance requirements for certain reliability standards including BAL-002, the DCS for utilities in the WECC region. This standard establishes the criteria and reporting requirements to ensure that an area BA, such as PNM, restores the electricity supply and demand balance within prescribed time limits following a reportable system disturbance. SRSG participants share contingency reserves to maximize generator dispatch efficiency, reduce the costs of compliance with the DCS, and enhance electric reliability. The SRSG geographical area covers Arizona, New Mexico, southern Nevada, parts of southern California including the Imperial Valley, and El Paso, Texas.

Similar to planning reserves, an adequate level of regulating reserves can be determined by considering loss of load probability (LOLP). The BAL-002-WECC-2 Standard establishes the minimum LOLP level, and PNM must remain in compliance with the minimum standards. Load and generation can vary quickly throughout the day, so PNM maintains a margin over the minimum standard to ensure continuous compliance. The minimum margin that PNM should carry is affected by the frequency and magnitude of sudden changes in the supply and demand balance. PNM has studied the relationship between the cost to carry regulating reserves and the probability of not having enough regulating reserves to respond to events that cause load or generation to suddenly change. Findings of this study follow:

- The need for flexible capacity is driven by short duration fluctuations in the supply demand balance (e.g., if a cloud floats over a PV solar generator, the change in generation is instant, but the associated change in demand from reduced household cooling needs will take longer to occur)
- Because loss of generation events typically are of short notice and duration, spinning reserves are more valuable as a supply of regulating reserves than non-spinning reserves

Non-spinning reserves are resources that are not online and synchronized to the balance authority's system, but that are available to respond to system disturbances within a 10-minute period. There are many types of non-spinning reserves, including the following:

- Offline generation capable of ramping up and synchronizing to the grid within 10 minutes. PNM's 10-minute available generating units include the two Lordsburg LM6000 units, the La Luz LM6000 unit, and the Rio Bravo Frame-7 unit on fuel oil.
- Shared contingency reserves, which PNM can access as a participant in the SRSG. Participants in SRSG share contingency reserves to maximize generator dispatch efficiency. SRSG assistance is provided for 60 minutes after the system disturbance. Shared reserves decrease the costs of compliance with the DCS standards and contribute to electric reliability in the Western Interconnection.
- Interruptible (Non-Firm) Interchange Transactions under which PNM's sales to a counterparty can be recalled within 10 minutes to provide contingency reserves.
- Hazard sharing agreements with one or more external balancing authorities or with other generators within another balancing authority's area.
- Demand response management actions to remove load from the system within the disturbance recovery period. An example of this mechanism would be the demand

response contracts that PNM has with Comverge and Enernoc. These contracts run June through September, with varying amounts of capacity available on weekdays between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 8:00 p.m. for Comverge and 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. for Enernoc.

• Generator-based PPA or market purchases that can be delivered within the DCS recovery period.

PNM can use spinning reserves that exceed the spinning reserve requirement to meet the non-spinning contingency reserve requirement.

Standard energy purchases do not directly provide ancillary services such as spin, non-spin and frequency response. If available, market purchases can provide PNM the ability to ramp down its generation or take it offline to create contingency reserves. But, given the future uncertainty of availability, market purchases are not a reliable means of meeting the contingency reserves requirement.

Under the BAL-002-WECC-2 standard, once reserves are activated to recover from a DCS event, those reserves must be restored within 60 minutes. Noncompliance with the standard can result in a directive by the Peak RC to shed load. Restoring reserves allows PNM to accomplish a timely recovery from another DCS event should one occur.

BAL-003-1

NERC Standard BAL-003-1 is the Frequency Response Requirement. PNM, in its role as a BA, is required to have sufficient frequency response capability to maintain interconnection frequency within predefined boundaries by arresting frequency deviations and supporting frequency until the system's frequency is restored to its scheduled value.

APPENDIX E. TRANSMISSION FACILITIES

Table 10, Table 11, and Table 12 provide lists of PNM's existing transmission facilities.

| Table 10. Existing Transmission Switching Stations | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Name | Voltage Levels | Operator if Jointly Owned | | | | | | |
| Artesia | 345 | EPE | | | | | | |
| Alamogordo | 115 | PNM | | | | | | |
| Algodones | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Ambrosia | 230, 115 | | | | | | | |
| Amrad | 345, 115 | EPE | | | | | | |
| BA | 345, 115 | | | | | | | |
| Belen | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Bisti | 230 | | | | | | | |
| Blackwater | 345 | | | | | | | |
| Britton | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Corrales Bluffs | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Clines Corners | 345 | | | | | | | |
| El Cerro | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Embudo | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Four Corners | 500, 345, 230 | APS | | | | | | |
| Gallegos | 230, 115 | | | | | | | |
| Greenlee | 345 | TEP | | | | | | |
| Guadalupe | 345 | | | | | | | |
| Hidalgo | 345 | EPE (345), PNM (115) | | | | | | |
| Irving | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Kirtland | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Kyrene | 500 | SRP | | | | | | |
| Los Morros | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Lordsburg | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Luna | 345, 115 | EPE (345), PNM (115) | | | | | | |
| McKinley | 345 | TEP | | | | | | |
| MD1 | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Mimbres | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Misson | 115 | | | | | | | |
| North | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Norton | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Ојо | 345, 115 | | | | | | | |
| Picacho | 115 | EPE | | | | | | |
| Pachman | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Palo Verde | 500 | SRP | | | | | | |
| Person | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Pillar | 230 | | | | | | | |
| Prager | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Red Mesa | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Reeves | 115 | | | | | | | |
| Rio Puerco | 345, 115 | | | | | | | |

| Name | Voltage Levels | Operator if Jointly Owned |
|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| San Juan | 345, 230 | |
| Sandia | 345, 115 | |
| Scenic | 115 | |
| Shiprock | 345 | WAPA |
| Snow Vista | 115 | |
| Springerville | 345 | TEP |
| Taiban Mesa | 345 | |
| Tome | 115 | |
| Turquoise | 115 | |
| Valencia | 115 | |
| Veranda | 115 | |
| West Mesa | 345, 230, 115 | |
| West Wing | 500 | SRP |
| Yah-Ta-Hey | 115 | |
| Zia | 115 | |

Table 11. Existing Transmission Lines

| Line Code | Voltage | From-To Switching Station Names or Substation Name if Tap Line |
|-----------|---------|---|
| AA | 115 | Arriba Tap (VS Line) |
| AB | 115 | Reeves-BA (East Circuit) |
| AC | 115 | Alamogordo - Carrizo (TSGT) |
| AF | 230 | Pillar-Four Corners |
| AH | 115 | Alamogordo - Holloman (EPE) |
| AL | 115 | Pachman - Algodones |
| ANZ | 115 | Norton-Zia |
| ANZ | 115 | Algodones to 3-way switch |
| AR | 115 | Alamogordo - Amrad |
| AT | 115 | Person-El Cerro |
| AV | 115 | Avila Tap (RB Line) |
| AW | 115 | Algodones - Britton |
| AY | 115 | Ambrosia -Yah-Ta-Hey |
| BA | 115 | Bel Air Tap (HW Line) |
| BB | 345 | BA - Guadalupe |
| BI | 230 | Ambrosia -Bisti |
| BJ | 345 | Rio Puerco - West Mesa |
| BP | 230 | Bisti - Pillar |
| BW | 115 | Bluewater (TSGT) - West Mesa |
| СВ | 115 | BA - Pachman |
| CE | 115 | Pachman - Scenic |
| CG | 115 | PN-HW Lines (Albuquerque Tie) |
| CM | 115 | Church Rock Tap (AY Line) |
| CN | 115 | Cornell Tap |
| CQ | 115 | Coal Tap |
| CS | 115 | Corrales Bluffs - Sara 1 & 2 |
| СТ | 115 | Corrales Bluffs - Sara 3 & 4 Substation |
| CY | 115 | Pachman - Corrales Bluff |
| DL | 115 | Mimbres - Picacho |
| DM | 115 | Mimbres - Deming 1 and 2 (TSGT Line) |
| EB | 115 | Embudo - Sandia |

| Line Code | Voltago | From-To Switching Station Names or |
|-----------|---------|-------------------------------------|
| Line Coue | voltage | Substation Name if Tap Line |
| EG | 115 | East Gallup Tap (AY Line) |
| EJ | 115 | Embudo - Juan Tabo Sub |
| ER | 115 | Embudo -Reeves |
| ES | 115 | El Dorado Tap (SL Line) |
| ET | 115 | Eastridge Tap (SE Line) |
| FC | 345 | San Juan - Four Corners |
| FW | 345 | Four Corners - West Mesa |
| GC | 230 | Gallegos - Pillar |
| HG | 115 | Hollywood - Gavilan |
| НО | 115 | Hernandez (TSGT) - Ojo |
| HR | 115 | Hidalgo - Turquoise |
| HW | 115 | EB-SP Line (Albuquerque Tie) |
| IC | 115 | Irving - Corrales Bluffs |
| IR | 115 | Irving - Reeves |
| JA | 115 | Jarrales Tap |
| KA | 115 | Kirtland - USAF |
| KB | 115 | Kirtland - Sandia Lab (KAFB) |
| KC | 115 | Marquez Tap (KM Line) |
| KD | 115 | Kirtland - Sandia Labs Área 5 (SNL) |
| KM | 115 | West Mesa - Red Mesa |
| KS | 115 | Kirtland - Sandia |
| LB | 115 | Lordsburg - Hidalgo |
| LK | 115 | Luna - Kenecott Tap |
| LL | 345 | Luna Station - Luna Energy Facility |
| LO | 115 | Lost Horizon Tap |
| LS | 115 | San Lucas Tap (KM Line) |
| LT | 115 | Levendecker Tap (TL Line) |
| LU | 115 | Lenkurt Tap (EB Line) |
| LW | 115 | Lawrence Tap (SE Line) |
| MA | 115 | Red Mesa - Ambrosia |
| MB | 115 | Ambrosia -Bluewater (TSGT) |
| MH | 115 | MD1 - Ivanhoe Sub (Phelps Dodge) |
| MI | 115 | Miguel Lujan Tap (NS Line) |
| ML | 115 | Mimbres - Luna |
| MN | 115 | North-Mission |
| MP | 115 | Montano Tap (NP Line) |
| MR | 115 | MD1 - Turquoise |
| MT | 115 | Menual Tap (EB Line) |
| MW | 115 | Mimbres - Hermanas - Hondale |
| NB | 345 | Norton - BA |
| NH | 115 | Norton - Hernandez (TSGT) |
| NL | 115 | Norton - ETA (DOE) |
| NO | 115 | Noe Tap (Gallup) (EG Line) |
| NR | 115 | Reeves - Mission |
| NS | 115 | Norton - Zia |
| NW | 115 | West Mesa - Reeves |
| OJ | 345 | San Juan - Ojo |
| PA | 115 | Studio Tap (PS Line) |
| PL | 115 | Lomas Tap (PN Line) |
| PM | 115 | Person - West Mesa |
| PN | 115 | North - Prager |
| PR | 115 | Pachman - Progress Sub |
| | | 3 |

| Line Code | Voltage | From-To Switching Station Names or |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| | | Substation Name if Tap Line |
| PS | 115 | Person - Kirtland |
| PV | 115 | Rio Puerco - Veranda |
| PW | 115 | Person-Snow Vista |
| RB | 115 | Reeves - BA (West Circuit) |
| RE | 115 | Reeves - Embudo |
| RL | 115 | BA - STA (STA Owned by LANL) |
| RN | 115 | Reeves - North |
| RR | 115 | Veranda - Corrales Bluff |
| RS | 115 | BA - Zia |
| SE | 115 | Sandia - Embudo |
| SG | 115 | Signetics Tap (AB Line) |
| SK | 115 | West Mesa-Scenic |
| SL | 115 | Zia - Valencia |
| SP | 115 | Sandia - Person |
| SR | 345 | San Juan - Shiprock |
| ST | 115 | San Pedro - I-40 (Albuquerque Tie) |
| ТВ | 345 | Taiban Mesa - Blackwater |
| ТС | 115 | Tome-El Cerro |
| TG | 345 | Taiban Mesa - Guadalupe |
| TJ | 115 | Tome - Belen |
| TL | 115 | North - Lyendecker (EB Line) |
| TR | 115 | Truman Tap (SP Line) |
| TV | 115 | Tome - Valencia Energy Facility |
| | | (Blackhills) |
| TW | 115 | Britton-Willard (TSGT) |
| TY | 115 | Turquoise - Tyrone Sub (Phelps Dodge) |
| UT | 115 | University Tap (HW Line) |
| VS | 115 | Valencia - Storrie Lake (TSGT) |
| WA | 230 | West Mesa - Ambrosia |
| WB | 115 | Belen-Los Morros |
| WC | 115 | Wesmeco Tap (SP Line) |
| WD | 115 | West Mesa-Los Morros |
| WG | 115 | West Gallup Tap (AY Line) |
| WJ | 115 | West Mesa-Snow Vista |
| WL | 115 | Willard (TSGT) - Belen |
| WN | 345 | Rio Puerco - BA |
| WP | 115 | West Mesa - Prager |
| WR | 115 | West Mesa - Irving |
| WS | 345 | West Mesa - Sandia |
| WV | 115 | West Mesa - Volcano |
| WW | 345 | San Juan - BA |
| YN | 115 | Yah-Ta-Hey - Coalmine (NTUA) |
| YP | 115 | Yah-Ta-Hey - Pittsburg Midway Sub |
| ZF | 115 | Zia - South Pacheco |
| ZN | 115 | Meija Tap (NZ Line) |
| Line Code | Voltage | From-To Switching Station Name | Operator |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 345 | Amrad - Artesia | EPE |
| SJ-MC 1 | 345 | San Juan - McKinley Line 1 | TEP |
| SJ-MC 2 | 345 | San Juan - McKinley Line 2 | TEP |
| | 345 | McKinley - Springerville Line 1 | TEP |
| | 345 | McKinley - Springerville Line 2 | TEP |
| | 345 | Springerville - Greenlee | TEP |
| GH | 345 | Greenlee - Hidalgo | EPE |
| HL | 345 | Hidalgo - Luna | EPE |
| | 500 | Palo Verde - Westwing Line 1 | SRP |
| | 500 | Palo Verde - Westwing Line 2 | SRP |
| | 500 | Hassayampa - Jojoba - Kyrene | SRP |

Table 12: Existing Joint-Owned Transmission Lines

APPENDIX F. INTEGRATION OF VARIABLE ENERGY RESOURCES

In general, resource planning studies identify the most economical resource mix to meet a time varying load profile. However, the addition of renewables to the transmission grid adds challenges in regulating the electric system to balance resources with load because the output of most renewable resources can vary greatly over short periods of time. Traditional dispatchable thermal generation is challenged by growing requirements to accommodate large amounts of variable energy resources (VER).

In 2003, PNM interconnected its first significant VER (the 204 MW New Mexico Wind Energy Center) and quickly saw a jump in regulation requirements for system operations, particularly related to the regulation for moment-to-moment power fluctuations. This was compounded by the degradation of the instantaneous response capabilities of PNM's coal plants caused by increasing use of regional coal plants to serve as regulating resources as wind generation increased. Utilities have moved to limit coal plant use as regulating resources to maintain operating efficiency and to preserve future response capability.

Given the present situation and level of existing resources available for regulation and imbalance service, PNM is very near the limit of its ability to integrate additional VERs based upon the need to conform to NERC control performance standards.

PNM has limited regulating resources to provide the required regulation and frequency response service for additional VER capacity located within PNM's BA. By using dynamic scheduling, PNM substantially transfers the obligation for operating additional generation to regulate the VER when it is physically located within another BA. As of today, PNM has implemented dynamic scheduling for three wind farms rated at a total of 292 MW. However, the challenge remains regarding providing regulation for VERs for PNM's system and within the BA.

The integration of additional VER presents a lengthy set of challenges for the industry. The FERC, through its rulemaking process, is also looking for solutions. FERC has a VER rulemaking underway that proposes new forecasting, intrahour scheduling requirements, and ancillary pricing mechanisms.

Regional Initiatives

In addition to the use of dynamic scheduling to reduce its regulating burden, PNM has participated in several regional initiatives to address this issue. The following list provides the existing and proposed methods and initiatives for sharing a BA's regulating burden that PNM is exploring jointly with its regional utility neighbors.

Dynamic Scheduling

NM uses dynamic scheduling to reduce energy imbalances for PNM BA interconnected VERs selling output to an entity located within another BA. As a result, the utility in the receiving BA provides the regulation, load following, imbalance, and other ancillary service requirements. As such, VER integration costs are shifted to the renewable energy

consumers. Once established, dynamic scheduling effectively creates a larger footprint for sharing the regulation burden of intermittent resources. Dynamic scheduling also avoids:

- Use of and wear-and-tear on the VER's host BA's existing limited regulation generating resources
- The need for a host BA to construct or purchase additional flexible response generating resources to provide regulation for third-party users as additional VERs are eventually interconnected in that BA

WECC Reliability Based Controls

WECC initiated the Reliability Based Control (RBC) Field Trial on March 2010 to maintain frequency and manage the Area Control Error (ACE). ACE is the difference between scheduled and actual electric generation while accounting for frequency bias within a control area. PNM joined the WECC RBC Field Trial in June 2011. The integration of VER can cause an increase in the frequency variation which may then contribute to ACE. Since the 1990s, Automatic Generation Control (AGC) systems have regulated ACE within limits prescribed by the Control Performance Standard (CPS) 2, mandated by NERC. The RBC is a proposed replacement for CPS2 that relaxes the limits on a BA's ACE when ACE is in a direction that helps the interconnection recover from a frequency variation, thereby reducing the impact of variable generation on control performance while also reducing wear and tear on regulating generators. To date, the RBC Field Trial has not had a significant adverse effect on interconnection frequency or transmission grid congestion.

Dynamic Scheduling System

Dynamic Scheduling System (DSS) is a joint initiative between Columbia Grid, Northern Tier Transmission Group, and WestConnect. DSS facilitates the dynamic transfer of energy through a common communication protocol infrastructure to allow quick setup of dynamic schedules, which currently can take months to implement. Instead of the months now required to implement current dynamic schedules, DSS will accomplish the same feat within minutes. Consistent with existing practices, bilateral transactions will still be established contractually between the buyer and seller irrespective of the DSS, but the terms of the agreement would be communicated via approved dynamic e-Tags using existing processes and practices. DSS provides participants access to one another's generation and resources, giving merchant and reliability entities a standard method to easily and quickly exchange commodities between balancing areas.

Regional Transmission Planning and Coordination Groups

Numerous organizations are involved in planning coordination of the western grid. Planning processes involve open dialog and opportunity for all stakeholders to have input into the development of PNM's transmission plans. In addition to the planning meetings that PNM sponsors twice per year, PNM also participates in the WECC Planning Coordination Committee, WECC Transmission Expansion Planning Policy Committee (TEPPC), WestConnect Planning Committee, and the Southwest Area Transmission Planning Oversight Committee (SWAT).

This is important to the IRP process because developments within WECC that affect PNM's transmission operations will have the potential to affect or influence future resource selections. PNM participates in these committees and transmission groups to stay informed and to protect the interests of the customers and company stockholders. New operating ideas or concepts start in small regions of the system and, as they are tested and evaluated, they are shared with neighboring utilities. It is important that PNM continues its participation because it allows the company to leverage lessons learned from others.

WECC Planning Committees

PNM is a member of WECC and its mission is to coordinate and promote electric system reliability. In addition, WECC works to support efficient competitive power markets, ensure open and nondiscriminatory transmission access, provide a forum for resolving transmission access disputes, and provide an environment for coordinating the operating and planning activities of the Western Interconnection. WECC is one of eight electric reliability councils in North America. Membership in WECC is open to all entities with an interest in the operation of the bulk electric system in the Western Interconnection.

PNM participates in the planning functions of WECC through the Planning Coordination Committee (PCC) and the Transmission Planning Policy Expansion Committee (TEPPC). PNM has membership in several of the PCC subcommittees and workgroups that focus in varying degrees on transmission planning and coordination activities.

Planning Coordination Committee

The PCC is chartered to do the following:

- Recommend criteria for the guidance of the members, for adequacy of power supply, and for such elements of system design that affect the reliability of the interconnected bulk power systems
- Accumulate necessary data and perform regional studies of the operation of the interconnected systems necessary to determine the reliability of the western regional bulk power network
- Evaluate proposed additions or alterations in facilities in relation to established reliability criteria
- Identify the types and investigate the impact of delay on the timing and availability of power generation and transmission facilities
- Review reports and recommendations prepared by subcommittees and others concerning reliability and adequacy of power supply and then forward reports or recommendations with comments and/or recommendations to the Board of Directors in a timely manner
- Prepare appropriate reports and maps of planning information for governmental regulatory agencies, reliability councils, and others, as required.

Transmission Expansion Planning Policy Committee

TEPPC's three main functions include: (1) overseeing database management (for economic modeling), (2) providing policy and management of the planning process, and (3) guiding

the analyses and modeling for Western Interconnection economic transmission expansion planning. These functions complement, but do not replace, the responsibilities of WECC members and stakeholders to develop and implement specific expansion projects.

Membership in TEPPC is based on balanced representation designed to reflect the geographic and stakeholder breadth of WECC. TEPPC will include transmission providers, policymakers, governmental representatives, and others with expertise in planning, building new economic transmission, evaluating the economics of transmission or resource plans, or managing public planning processes. PNM participates in the TEPPC stakeholder meetings and is a member of the TEPPC Technical Advisory Subcommittee (TAS), which conducts the study work needed to support the TEPPC charter. TAS has work groups that support the models, data, and study assumptions being used in the TEPPC study program. At times, PNM participates in these work groups.

Other Coordination Groups

PNM has membership in several additional committees or coordination groups that more specifically focus on the southwest and New Mexico. These groups developed independently of WECC, but now have processes coordinated with WECC's committees. These include processes and policies resulting from legislation and FERC requirements seeking an open stakeholder process for planning and coordination on a regional basis. The main committees are listed below.

WestConnect

WestConnect is composed primarily of utility companies providing transmission of electricity in the southern portion of the Western Interconnection. Members work collaboratively to assess stakeholder and market needs and develop cost-effective enhancements to the western wholesale electricity market. WestConnect is committed to coordinating its work with other regional industry efforts to achieve as much consistency as possible in the Western Interconnection. In 2007, WestConnect executed the WestConnect Project Agreement for Subregional Transmission Planning (STP Project Agreement), of which PNM is a signatory. The agreement establishes the terms for developing a coordinated transmission expansion plan within the WestConnect footprint that covers the desert southwest as well as utilities and stakeholders in Colorado, Wyoming, Nevada, and parts of California. The transmission studies are typically performed under one of the WestConnect STP groups and feed into the coordinated plan. PNM is a member of the SWAT STP group listed next.

Southwest Area Transmission Planning Oversight Committee

SWAT is comprised of transmission regulators/governmental entities, transmission users, transmission owners, transmission operators, and environmental entities. The goal of SWAT is to promote regional planning in the Desert Southwest. The SWAT regional planning group includes several subcommittees, which are overseen by the SWAT Oversight Committee. PNM chairs the New Mexico subcommittee of SWAT, which focuses on stakeholder coordination of transmission expansion among the utilities and market participants in New Mexico.

Other Transmission Planning Committees

PNM has established a Network Integration Transmission Customer Operating Committee that meets twice a year. The meetings are used to provide direct communications with PNM's network customers. The transmission system improvement needs within the PNM control area including PNM's transmission expansion plans are standard topics for discussion at these meetings.

From time to time, PNM participates in planning efforts where parties may wish to look at a common solution for multiple interests. Although these activities are not directly under the WECC or WestConnect committees, results of analyses and stakeholder input are frequently shared in WECC and WestConnect forums.

Southwest Variable Energy Resource Initiative (SVERI)

SVERI is a coalition of utilities in the desert southwest that was formed in the fall of 2012. The SVERI participants include Arizona Public Service Company, El Paso Electric, Imperial Irrigation District, Public Service Company of New Mexico, the Salt River Project, Tucson Electric Power, and the Desert Southwest region of the Western Area Power Administration.

SVERI's mission is to evaluate likely penetration, locations, and operating characteristics of VERs within the Southwest subregion over the next 20 years. It explores tools that may facilitate VER integration and provide benefits to customers.

SVERI launched a dedicated website that provides near real-time data for renewable energy resources from across the desert Southwest and the net effect they have on load and other resources. The website is available to the public and can be accessed at http://sveri.uaren.org.

APPENDIX G. RULES AND REGULATIONS

Transmission System

Over the last 18 years, U.S. electric transmission service has undergone major regulatory changes in the way transmission services are offered and provided and how transmission system planning is conducted.

FERC Order No. 888

The largest change stems from the 1996 implementation of the FERC Order No. 888. This order requires that a jurisdictional transmission provider, such as PNM, provide open access for transmission capacity to all eligible customers via an Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT or Tariff). Eligible customers (e.g., Tri-State Generation and Transmission on behalf of its cooperative members, and Los Alamos County) under the Tariff can contract for Network Integration Transmission Service (NITS) to integrate their designated network resources and designated network loads on the PNM transmission system in a manner comparable to how PNM serves its own retail and wholesale customers.

The order obligates PNM to plan its transmission system to meet not only its own retail customer needs, but also its delivery obligations to NITS and long-term, firm point-to-point transmission service customers. Tariff customers can also choose to contract for firm point-to-point transmission service on a long-term basis with rollover rights that are essentially perpetual.

Energy Policy Act of 2005

The Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPACT) legislated the implementation on a nationwide basis of mandatory transmission grid reliability rules for all owners, operators, and users of the systems. Under the EPACT, FERC was given authority to develop, monitor, and enforce all aspects of transmission grid reliability. FERC delegated to the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) the role of the national Electric Reliability Organization (ERO). The Western Electric Coordinating Council (WECC) has been delegated the role of the Regional Entity within North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) that will monitor and enforce the mandatory reliability standards in the Western United States. Failing to comply with the ERO standards subjects a utility to sanctions and civil penalties of up to \$1 million per day for each incident for the most substantive failures to follow FERC's grid reliability rules.

FERC Order No. 890

Issued in February 2007, after broader powers were delegated to FERC and NERC under the EPACT, this order clarified and strengthened these obligations initially established by Order No. 888 and required regional coordination by transmission companies of transmission system planning.

FERC Order No. 1000

FERC Order 1000, issued July, 21, 2011, expands the responsibilities for regional coordination in transmission system planning. Public utility transmission providers participate

in a regional transmission planning process that evaluates transmission alternatives at the regional level in order to resolve the region's needs more efficiently and cost-effectively than alternatives identified by individual public utility transmission providers in their local transmission planning processes. These processes must incorporate transmission needs driven by public policy requirements and result in a regional transmission plan. PNM participation in Order 1000 is through is participation in WestConnect, which started in 2015.

System Reliability Standards

PNM regards system reliability as an overarching consideration for selecting the most costeffective resource portfolio. The following paragraphs review the system reliability standards required of PNM. As previously discussed, PNM's planning reserve margin target is set by NMPRC at the greater of 13% or 250 MW. In addition, PNM's planning reserve must consider operating requirements, loss of the largest load-side resource, including transmission, and forecast uncertainty due to normal forecast fluctuations and extreme weather. The combination of these factors is an approximate minimum reserve of 250 MW.

WECC and NERC Criteria

As a member of Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) and North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC), PNM complies with reliability criteria to ensure that its electric systems are safely and reliably operated.

PNM must comply with NERC operating standards, which, in part, might dictate the use of certain resources to meet the requirements. These include Control Performance Standards² (CPS), which measure a control area operator's ability to control system frequency and balance its load and generation at all times. They also include Disturbance Control Standards³, which measure the control area's ability to respond to generator or load loss.

PNM must also comply with NERC standards that relate to transmission planning and operations. These include Transmission Planning Standards⁴ (TPL), which measure the sufficiency of the transmission system to meet present and future needs. TPL standards state that, "The interconnected power system shall be operated at all times so that general system instability, uncontrolled separation, cascading outages or voltage collapse will not occur as a result of any single contingency or multiple contingencies of sufficiently high likelihood."

Power Supply Assessment (PSA)

NERC requires WECC to annually evaluate future resource adequacy of the western region based upon annual resource plans submitted by member utilities. The PSA is a regional and subregional determination of resource adequacy, rather than an individual utility evaluation of resource adequacy. The purpose, as stated in the Reliability Assessment Guide book⁵, is

² See <u>BAL-001-0 1a.pdf</u>

³ See <u>BAL-002-1.pdf</u> and <u>BAL-002-WECC-1.pdf</u>

⁴ See <u>TPL-001-0.1 through TPL-004-0 standards</u>

⁵ See Reliability Assessment Guidebook v1.2

"to project whether enough physical resources exist, at any price, to meet load and possible reserves while considering the transmission transfer capabilities of major paths." PNM, balancing area coordinator (BAC) in New Mexico, participates in the PSA study process and collects historical and future load and resource information from load-serving entities (LSEs) within New Mexico. This assessment is important because, if the PSA were to identify a resource adequacy issue in the region or subregion where PNM operates, PNM would be obligated to participate in finding a solution to the resource deficiency.

Reserve Sharing Agreements

In addition to meeting planning criteria, PNM also ensures that its resource portfolio meets operating conditions. From time to time, the operation of PNM's system may warrant additional generation or the use of certain types of reserves to maintain adequate stability.

PNM recognizes the economic and reliability benefits of participating in the Southwest Reserve Sharing Group (SRSG) for operating reserves. The operating reserve margin is measured in real time to maintain proper system frequency and balancing of loads to resources in the southwestern United States.

Southwestern U.S. utilities specify their load requirements and their resource availability on an hourly basis to SRSG. The SRSG administration examines the risk or the likelihood of a system disturbance to determine the collective reserves it needs to hold. SRSG then notifies each utility of the operational reserves they should hold, in addition to the resources each utility uses to serve its customers. Total SRSG operating reserves can be split between spinning reserves (coming from units that are operating at less than their full output) and non-spinning reserves (resources that are not operating, but can be brought online within 10 minutes). PNM's participation in SRSG is critical to minimizing the expense of PNM's reliability obligations. If PNM had to provide all of the necessary reserves itself, the requirement would equal its single largest operating unit, which is the utility's largest risk.

PNM's SRSG allocation is partly determined by the size of the units that are included in PNM's operating portfolio. Currently, PNM's single largest potential risk is SJGS Unit 4 (240 megawatts), if it is operating, or Afton (230 megawatts), if Afton is operating and SJGS Unit 4 is not. Looking forward, and for purposes of this IRP, PNM must determine how new resource additions might change the level of reserves required for SRSG purposes or otherwise result in additional costs to meet reliability standards. Generally, PNM's planning criterion is to limit the size of new generation to that of the current largest unit.

Other System Reliability Standards

Although states have played the primary role in setting reserve margin requirements, federal agencies (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission [FERC] and NERC) have taken on increased responsibility. Numerous states (including Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Indiana, Wyoming, Delaware, and the District of Columbia, in addition to portions of Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois, Kentucky, Tennessee, and Virginia) have received approval from FERC to utilize one-day-in-10-years resource planning criteria. Implementation of this criterion would result in planning for sufficient resources so that no more than 48 load hours

would be lossed in a 20-year planning period. This is a more stringent criterion than PNM's existing reserve planning criteria, but could be a consideration for future planning.

IRP Rules

| Rule Section | Reporting Requirement Checklist | Subject Section | Location |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 9.B.1 | description of existing electric supply-side and demand- side resources | Overview | |
| 9.B.2 | current load forecast as described in this rule | Overview | |
| 9.B.3 | load and resources table | Overview | |
| 9.B.4 | identification of resource options | Overview | |
| 9.B.5 | description of the resource and fuel diversity | Overview | |
| 9.B.6 | identification of critical facilities susceptible to supply- source or other failures | Overview | |
| 9.B.7 | determination of the most cost effective resource portfolio and alternative portfolios | Overview | |
| 9.B.8 | description of public advisory process | Overview | |
| 9.B.9 | action plan | Overview | |
| 9.B.10 | other information that the utility finds may aid the commission in reviewing the utility's planning processes | Overview | |
| 9.C.1 | name(s) and location(s) of utility-owned generation facilities; | Description of existing resources | Table 14 |
| 9.C.2 | rated capacity of utility-owned generation facilities; | Description of existing resources | Table 14 |
| 9.C.3 | fuel type, heat rates, annual capacity factors and availability factors projected for utility-owned generation facilities over the planning period; | Description of existing resources | Table 14; Appendix J |
| 9.C.4 | cost information, including capital costs, fixed and variable operating and maintenance costs, fuel costs, and purchased power costs; | Description of existing resources | Аррх Ј |
| 9.C.5 | existing generation facilities' expected retirement dates; | Description of existing resources | Аррх Ј |
| 9.C.6 | amount of capacity obtained or to be obtained through existing purchased power contracts or agreements relied upon by the utility, including the fuel type, if known, and contract duration; | Description of existing resources | Table 21 |
| 9.C.7 | estimated in-service dates for utility-owned generation facilities for which a certificate of public convenience and necessity (CCN) has been granted but which are not in- service | Description of existing resources | n/a |
| 9.C.8 | amount of capacity and, if applicable, energy, provided annually to the utility pursuant to wheeling agreements and the duration of such wheeling agreements; | Description of existing resources | Existing Transmission |
| 9.C.9 | description of existing demand-side resources, including (1) demand-side resources deployed at the time the IRP is filed; and (2) demand-side resources approved by the commission, but not yet deployed at the time the IRP is filed; information provided concerning existing demand- | Description of existing resources | Existing Demand-Side Resources |

Table 13. IRP Rules Checklist

| Rule | Reporting Requirement Checklist | Subject Section | Location |
|----------|--|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Section | | | |
| | side resources shall include, at a minimum, the expected | | |
| | the energy savings and reductions in peak demand as | | |
| | appropriate made by the demand-side resource. | | |
| 9 C 10 | reserve margin and reserve reliability requirements (e.g. | Planning | Existing |
| 0.0.10 | FERC, power pool, etc.) with which the utility must comply | Considerations and | Transmission |
| | and the methodology used to calculate its reserve margin | existing resources | and Appendix |
| | | Ŭ | D |
| 9.C.11.a | the utility shall report its existing, and under-construction, | Planning | Existing |
| | transmission facilities of 115 kV and above, including | Considerations and | Transmission |
| | associated switching stations and terminal facilities; the | existing resources | and |
| | utility shall specifically identify the location and extent of | | Appendices D |
| | that may affect the future siting of supply side resources: | | άE |
| 9C11b | the utility shall describe all transmission planning or | Planning | Existing |
| 0.0.11.0 | coordination groups to which it is a party including state | Considerations and | Transmission |
| | and regional transmission groups, transmission | existing resources | and Appendix |
| | companies, and coordinating councils with which the utility | Ŭ | D |
| | may be associated; | | |
| 9.C.12 | environmental impacts of existing supply-side resources | | |
| 0.0.12.0 | the utility shall provide the percentage of kilowett hours | Customoro | Table 2 |
| 9.C.12.a | concerning shall provide the percentage of knowall-hours | Customers | |
| | system for the latest year for which such information is | | |
| | available | | |
| 9.C.12.b | to the extent feasible, for each existing supply-side | Planning | Table 8 and |
| | resource on its system, the utility shall present emission | Considerations | Appendix J |
| | rates (expressed in pounds emitted per kilowatt-hour | | |
| | generated) of criteria pollutants as well as carbon dioxide | | |
| 0.0.10 - | and mercury | Description of | A manager allocations of |
| 9.0.12.0 | to the extent feasible, for each existing supply-side | Description of | Appenaix J |
| | consumption rate | existing resources | |
| 9.C.13 | a summary of back-up fuel capabilities and options | Description of | Existing |
| 0.0110 | | existing resources | Thermal |
| | | 5 | Resources |
| 9.D.1 | The utility shall provide a load forecast for each year of the | | |
| | planning period; the load forecast shall incorporate the | | |
| | following information and projections | | |
| 9.D.1.a | annual sales of energy and coincident peak demand on a | Customers | Appendix A |
| | system-wide basis, by customer class, and disaggregated | | |
| | among commission junsuictional sales, FERC junsuictional | | |
| 9D1b | annual coincident peak system losses and the allocation of | Customers | Load Forecast |
| | such losses to the transmission and distribution | | and Appendix |
| | components of the system | | A |
| 9.D.1.c | weather normalization adjustments | Customers | Load Forecast |
| | | | and Appendix |
| | | - | A |
| 9.D.1.d | assumptions for economic and demographic factors relied | Customers | Load Forecast |
| | on in load forecasting | | |
| 1 | | 1 | |

| Rule | Reporting Requirement Checklist | Subject Section | Location |
|---------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Section | | | |
| 9.D.1.e | expected capacity and energy impacts of existing and proposed demand-side resources | Customers | Load Forecast and Appendix A & N |
| 9.D.1.f | typical historic day or week load patterns on a system-wide basis for each major customer class | Customers | Append A; n/a by customer class |
| 9.D.2 | The utility shall develop base-case, high-growth and low- growth forecasts, or an alternative forecast that provides an assessment of uncertainty (e.g., probabilistic techniques | Customers | Load Forecast and Appendix A |
| 9.D.3.a | The utility shall explain how the demand-side savings attributable to actions other than the utility-sponsored demand-side resources for each major customer class are accounted for in the utility's load forecast and the effect, as appropriate, on its load forecast of the utility-sponsored demand-side resources on each major customer class | Customers | Load Forecast; Energy Efficiency |
| 9.D.3.b | The utility shall compare the annual forecast of coincident peak demand and energy sales made by the utility to the actual coincident peak demand and energy sales experienced by the utility for the four years preceding the year in which the plan under consideration is filed. In addition, the utility shall compare the annual forecast in its most recently filed resource plan to the annual forecast in the current resource plan. In its initial IRP filing, the utility shall provide information demonstrating how well its forecasts during the preceding four years predicted demand | Customers | Appendix A |
| 9.D.3.c | The utility shall explain and document the assumptions, methodologies, and any other inputs upon which it relied to develop its load forecast | Customers | Load Forecast and Appendix A |
| 9.D.1 | The utility shall provide a load forecast for each year of the planning period; the load forecast shall incorporate the following information and projections | | |
| 9.E.1 | utility-owned generation | L&R Table | Appendix N |
| 9.E.2 | existing and future contracted-for purchased power including qualifying facility purchases | L&R Table | Appendix N |
| 9.E.3 | purchases through net metering programs, as appropriate | L&R Table | Appendix N |
| 9.E.4 | demand-side resources, as appropriate | L&R Table | Appendix N |
| 9.E.5 | other resources relied upon by the utility, such as pooling, wheeling, or coordination agreements effective at the time the plan is filed | L&R Table | Appendix N |
| 9.F.1 | In identifying additional resource options, the utility shall consider all feasible supply-side and demand-side resources. The utility shall describe in its plan those resources it evaluated for selection to its portfolio and the assumptions and methodologies used in evaluating its resource options, including, as applicable: life expectancy of the resources, the recognition of whether the resource is replacing/adding capacity or energy, dispatchability, lead- time requirements, flexibility and efficiency of the resource. | Potential Resource Additions | Appendix K |

| Rule Section | Reporting Requirement Checklist | Subject Section | Location |
|-----------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| 9.F.2 | For supply-side resource options, the utility shall identify the assumptions actually used for capital costs, fixed and variable operating and maintenance costs, fuel costs forecast by year, and purchased power demand and energy charges forecast by year, fuel type, heat rates, annual capacity factors, availability factors and, to the extent feasible, emission rates (expressed in pounds emitted per kilowatt-hour generated) of criteria pollutants as well as carbon dioxide and mercury | Potential Resource Additions | Appendix K |
| 9.F.3 | The utility shall describe its existing rates and tariffs that incorporate load management or load shifting concepts. The utility shall also describe how changes in rate design might assist in meeting, delaying or avoiding the need for new capacity | Customers; Description of Existing Resources; | Existing Demand-Side Resources |
| 9.G.1 | To identify the most cost-effective resource portfolio, utilities shall evaluate all feasible supply and demand-side resource options on a consistent and comparable basis, and take into consideration risk and uncertainty (including but not limited to financial, competitive, reliability, operational, fuel supply, price volatility and anticipated environmental regulation). The utility shall evaluate the cost of each resource through its projected life with a life- cycle or similar analysis. The utility shall also consider and describe ways to mitigate ratepayer risk | Analysis Results; Determination of MCEP | Appendix L & M |
| 9.G.2 | Each electric utility shall provide a summary of how the following factors were considered in, or affected, the development of resource portfolios | | |
| 9.G.2.a | load management and energy efficiency requirements | Analysis Results; Determination of MCEP | Appendix L & M |
| 9.G.2.b | renewable energy portfolio requirements | Analysis Results; Determination of MCEP | Appendix L & M |
| 9.G.2.c | existing and anticipated environmental laws and regulations, and, if determined by the commission, the standardized cost of carbon emissions | Analysis Results; Determination of MCEP | Appendix L & M |
| 9.G.2.d | fuel diversity | Analysis Results; Determination of MCEP | Appendix L & M |
| 9.G.2.e | susceptibility to fuel interdependencies | Analysis Results; Determination of MCEP | Appendix L & M |
| 9.G.2.f | transmission constraints | Analysis Results; Determination of MCEP | Appendix L & M |
| 9.G.2.g | system reliability and planning reserve margin requirements | Analysis Results; Determination of MCEP | Appendix L & M |
| 9.G.3 | Alternative portfolios. In addition to the detailed description of what the utility determines to be the most cost-effective resource portfolio, the utility shall develop a reasonable number of alternative portfolios by altering risk assumptions and other parameters developed by the utility | Analysis Results; Determination of MCEP | Appendix L & M |

| Rule | Reporting Requirement Checklist | Subject Section | Location |
|---------|---|----------------------------|----------|
| Section | | | |
| | and the public advisory process. | | |
| 9.H.1 | The utility shall initiate the process by providing notice at least 30 days prior to the first scheduled meeting to the commission, interveners in its most recent general rate case, and participants in its most recent renewable energy, energy efficiency and IRP proceedings; the utility shall at the same time, also publish this notice in a newspaper of general circulation in every county which it serves and in the utility's billing inserts | Public Advisory Process | |
| 9.H.1.a | a brief description of the IRP process | Public Advisory Process | |
| 9.H.1.b | time, date and location of the first meeting | Public Advisory Process | |
| 9.H.1.c | a statement that interested individuals should notify the utility of their interest in participating in the process | Public Advisory Process | |
| 9.H.1.d | utility contact information | Public Advisory Process | |
| 9.H.2 | Upon receipt of the initial notice, the commission may designate a facilitator to assist the participants with dispute resolution | Public Advisory Process | |
| 9.H.3 | The utility or its designee shall chair the public participation process, schedule meetings, and develop agendas for these meetings. With adequate notice to the utility, participants shall be allowed to place items on the agenda of public participation process meetings | Public Advisory Process | |
| 9.H.4 | Meetings held as part of the public participation process shall be noticed and scheduled on a regular basis and shall be open to members of the public who shall be heard and their input considered as part of the public participation process. Upon request, the utility shall provide an executive summary containing a non-technical description of its most recent IRP | Public Advisory Process | |
| 9.H.5 | The purposes of the public participation process are for the utility to provide information to, and receive and consider input from, the public regarding the development of its IRP. Topics to be discussed as part of the public participation process include, but are not limited to, the utility's load forecast; evaluation of existing supply- and demand-side resources; the assessment of need for additional resources; identification of resource options; modeling and risk assumptions and the cost and general attributes of potential additional resources; and development of the most cost-effective portfolio of resources for the utility's IRP | Public Advisory Process | |
| 9.H.6 | In its initial IRP advisory process, the utility and participants shall explore a procedure to coordinate the IRP process with renewable energy procurement plans and energy efficiency and load management program proposals. Any proposed procedure shall be designed to conserve commission, participant and utility resources and shall indicate what, if any, variances may be needed to effectuate the proposed procedure | Public Advisory Process | |

| Rule Section | Reporting Requirement Checklist | Subject Section | Location |
|-----------------|--|-------------------|--------------------------|
| 9.1.1 | The utility's action plan shall detail the specific actions the utility will take to implement the integrated resource plan spanning a four-year period following the filing of the utility's IRP. The action plan will include a status report of the specific actions contained in the previous action plan. | Executive Summary | Four Year Action Plan |
| 9.1.2 | An action plan does not replace or supplant any requirements for applications for approval of resource additions set forth in New Mexico law or commission regulations | Executive Summary | Four Year Action Plan |

APPENDIX H. 20-YEAR REVENUE REQUIREMENT MODEL – EXISTING, OWNED GENERATION

| Planning | Year | SJGS Unit 2 | SJGS Unit 3 | SJGS Unit 1 | SJSG Unit 4 | SJSG Unit 1 | SJSG Unit 4 | FCPP Unit 4 | FCPP Unit 5 | FCPP Unit 4 | FCPP Unit 5 |
|----------|------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Period | | | | (2053 Retire) | (2053 Retire) | (2022 Retire) | (2022 Retire) | (2041 Retire) | (2041 Retire) | (2031 Retire) | (2031 Retire) |
| Year 1 | 2017 | \$7,785,308 | 10,672,061 | \$13,606,349 | \$16,808,488 | \$14,165,814 | \$16,249,022 | \$10,781,050 | \$10,781,050 | \$10,781,050 | \$10,781,050 |
| Year 2 | 2018 | | | \$24,460,801 | \$41,658,119 | \$20,031,141 | \$38,530,489 | \$14,002,242 | \$14,002,242 | \$14,002,242 | \$14,002,242 |
| Year 3 | 2019 | | | \$18,079,475 | \$32,428,124 | \$20,167,339 | \$38,792,471 | \$12,424,791 | \$12,424,791 | \$12,424,791 | \$12,424,791 |
| Year 4 | 2020 | | | \$25,188,211 | \$33,085,795 | \$17,697,537 | \$34,041,733 | \$11,563,599 | \$11,563,599 | \$11,563,599 | \$11,563,599 |
| Year 5 | 2021 | | | \$16,286,213 | \$42,812,106 | \$16,591,351 | \$31,913,951 | \$12,312,933 | \$12,312,933 | \$12,312,933 | \$12,312,933 |
| Year 6 | 2022 | | | \$20,044,303 | \$36,920,352 | \$18,902,780 | \$36,360,060 | \$13,436,774 | \$13,436,774 | \$13,436,774 | \$13,436,774 |
| Year 7 | 2023 | | | \$20,364,217 | \$37,483,432 | | | \$13,653,833 | \$13,653,833 | \$13,653,833 | \$13,653,833 |
| Year 8 | 2024 | | | \$20,686,208 | \$38,049,723 | | | \$13,877,460 | \$13,877,460 | \$13,877,460 | \$13,877,460 |
| Year 9 | 2025 | | | \$21,013,086 | \$38,624,619 | | | \$14,092,081 | \$14,092,081 | \$14,092,081 | \$14,092,081 |
| Year 10 | 2026 | | | \$21,348,764 | \$39,215,633 | | | \$14,310,246 | \$14,310,246 | \$14,310,246 | \$14,310,246 |
| Year 11 | 2027 | | | \$21,692,260 | \$39,820,867 | | | \$14,532,022 | \$14,532,022 | \$14,532,022 | \$14,532,022 |
| Year 12 | 2028 | | | \$22,041,777 | \$40,436,848 | | | \$14,757,483 | \$14,757,483 | \$14,757,483 | \$14,757,483 |
| Year 13 | 2029 | | | \$24,683,966 | \$41,063,319 | | | \$14,986,700 | \$14,986,700 | \$14,986,700 | \$14,986,700 |
| Year 14 | 2030 | | | \$22,759,079 | \$46,274,964 | | | \$15,219,749 | \$15,219,749 | \$15,219,749 | \$15,219,749 |
| Year 15 | 2031 | | | \$23,127,487 | \$42,351,152 | | | \$15,456,707 | \$15,456,707 | \$15,456,707 | \$15,456,707 |
| Year 16 | 2032 | | | \$23,502,762 | \$43,013,223 | | | \$15,697,654 | \$15,697,654 | | |
| Year 17 | 2033 | | | \$23,884,955 | \$43,687,705 | | | \$15,942,671 | \$15,942,671 | | |
| Year 18 | 2034 | | | \$24,274,239 | \$44,374,918 | | | \$16,191,841 | \$16,191,841 | | |
| Year 19 | 2035 | | | \$24,670,767 | \$45,075,140 | | | \$16,445,252 | \$16,445,252 | | |
| Year 20 | 2036 | | | \$25,074,717 | \$45,788,700 | | | \$16,702,992 | \$16,702,992 | | |

Table 14. Strategist Inputs – O&M for Existing Plants I

Table 15. Strategist Inputs – O&M for Existing Plants II

| Planning Period | Year | Palo Verde 1 134 MW/30 MW | Palo Verde 2 130 MW/124 MW | Palo Verde 1 104 MW | Palo Verde 2 10 MW | Palo Verde 3 134 MW | Afton | Luna | Lordsburg | La Luz | Reeves | Rio Bravo |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Palo Verde Leases Expire | | Leases Expire | PV1 & PV2 Leases Convert | | | | | | | | |
| Year 1 | 2017 | \$41,936,976 | \$28,005,121 | \$- | \$- | | \$11,462,267 | \$6,153,213 | \$3,086,360 | \$1,931,042 | \$4,196,411 | \$987,163 |
| Year 2 | 2018 | \$43,024,085 | \$28,626,593 | \$- | \$- | \$26,911,814 | \$9,408,256 | \$8,145,651 | \$3,105,688 | \$2,003,667 | \$4,360,321 | \$1,050,227 |
| Year 3 | 2019 | \$46,715,935 | \$25,318,150 | \$- | \$- | \$27,077,345 | \$9,495,138 | \$6,603,159 | \$3,130,857 | \$2,031,540 | \$4,399,812 | \$6,080,818 |
| Year 4 | 2020 | \$46,999,387 | \$28,830,846 | \$- | \$- | \$23,315,631 | \$9,632,738 | \$6,674,534 | \$3,153,819 | \$2,060,193 | \$4,501,757 | \$1,112,321 |
| Year 5 | 2021 | \$43,224,400 | \$28,843,974 | \$- | \$- | \$27,108,614 | \$14,141,941 | \$8,226,511 | \$3,177,270 | \$2,089,513 | \$4,730,742 | \$1,144,615 |
| Year 6 | 2022 | \$46,051,343 | \$28,076,680 | \$- | \$- | \$26,103,814 | \$10,954,429 | \$6,863,818 | \$3,139,599 | \$2,016,078 | \$4,773,392 | \$1,984,716 |
| Year 7 | 2023 | \$6,775,363 | \$28,432,394 | \$23,013,663 | \$- | \$26,459,627 | \$11,077,140 | \$6,922,049 | \$3,157,419 | \$2,030,676 | \$4,848,450 | \$2,014,486 |
| Year 8 | 2024 | \$6,854,114 | \$24,845,124 | \$23,289,027 | \$2,310,562 | \$26,821,940 | \$11,203,436 | \$6,981,254 | \$3,175,509 | \$2,045,493 | \$4,924,927 | \$2,044,704 |
| Year 9 | 2025 | \$6,932,956 | \$25,179,825 | \$23,564,709 | \$2,338,139 | \$27,184,803 | \$11,333,519 | \$7,041,454 | \$3,193,796 | \$2,060,533 | \$5,002,871 | \$2,075,374 |
| Year 10 | 2026 | \$7,012,980 | \$25,519,546 | \$23,844,525 | \$2,366,129 | \$27,553,109 | \$11,467,606 | \$7,102,669 | \$3,212,432 | \$2,075,798 | \$5,082,329 | \$2,106,505 |
| Year 11 | 2027 | \$7,094,205 | \$25,864,363 | \$24,128,539 | \$2,394,538 | \$27,926,939 | \$11,605,930 | \$7,164,923 | \$3,231,351 | \$2,091,292 | \$5,163,355 | \$2,138,102 |
| Year 12 | 2028 | \$7,176,648 | \$26,214,352 | \$24,416,813 | \$2,423,374 | \$28,306,377 | \$11,748,744 | \$7,228,237 | \$3,250,557 | \$2,107,019 | \$5,246,003 | \$2,170,174 |
| Year 13 | 2029 | \$7,260,328 | \$26,569,591 | \$24,709,411 | \$2,452,643 | \$28,691,506 | \$11,896,319 | \$7,292,637 | \$3,270,055 | \$2,122,981 | \$5,330,333 | \$2,202,727 |

| Planning | Year | Palo Verde 1 | Palo Verde 2 | Palo Verde 1 | Palo Verde 2 | Palo Verde 3 | Afton | Luna | Lordsburg | La Luz | Reeves | Rio Bravo |
|----------|------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Period | | 134 MW/30 MW | 130 MW/124 MW | 104 MW | 10 MW | 134 MW | | | | | | |
| Year 14 | 2030 | \$7,345,263 | \$26,930,158 | \$25,006,398 | \$2,482,350 | \$29,082,413 | \$12,048,949 | \$7,358,146 | \$3,289,849 | \$2,139,183 | \$5,416,407 | \$2,235,767 |
| Year 15 | 2031 | \$7,431,471 | \$27,296,135 | \$25,307,839 | \$2,512,503 | \$29,479,183 | \$12,206,951 | \$7,424,791 | \$3,309,944 | \$2,155,628 | \$5,504,292 | \$2,269,304 |
| Year 16 | 2032 | \$7,518,973 | \$27,667,600 | \$25,613,803 | \$2,543,109 | \$29,881,904 | \$12,361,659 | \$7,492,598 | \$3,330,345 | \$2,172,319 | \$5,594,060 | \$2,303,344 |
| Year 17 | 2033 | \$7,607,788 | \$28,044,638 | \$25,924,356 | \$2,574,173 | \$30,290,666 | \$12,427,502 | \$7,561,595 | \$3,351,057 | \$2,189,261 | \$5,685,787 | \$2,337,894 |
| Year 18 | 2034 | \$7,697,935 | \$28,427,332 | \$26,239,567 | \$2,605,704 | \$30,705,560 | \$12,594,222 | \$7,631,810 | \$3,372,086 | \$2,206,457 | \$5,779,554 | \$2,372,962 |
| Year 19 | 2035 | \$7,789,434 | \$28,815,765 | \$26,559,506 | \$2,637,707 | \$31,126,677 | \$12,767,044 | \$7,703,274 | \$3,393,436 | \$2,223,911 | \$5,875,448 | \$2,408,557 |
| Year 20 | 2036 | \$7,882,305 | \$29,210,026 | \$26,884,245 | \$2,670,191 | \$31,554,111 | \$12,946,363 | \$7,776,018 | \$3,415,112 | \$2,241,627 | \$5,818,825 | \$2,444,685 |

Table 16. Strategist Inputs – Revenue Requirement for Ongoing Capital Expenitures for Existing Plants I

| Planning Period | Year | FCPP Unit 4 (2041 Retire) | FCPP Unit 5 (2041 Retire) | FCPP Unit 4 (2031 Retire) | FCPP Unit 5 (2031 Retire) |
|--------------------|------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| Year 1 | 2017 | \$2,501,238 | \$2,501,238 | \$2,501,238 | \$2,501,238 |
| Year 2 | 2018 | \$6,848,193 | \$6,848,193 | \$6,848,193 | \$6,848,193 |
| Year 3 | 2019 | \$8,819,091 | \$8,819,091 | \$8,819,091 | \$8,819,091 |
| Year 4 | 2020 | \$9,272,440 | \$9,272,440 | \$9,272,440 | \$9,272,440 |
| Year 5 | 2021 | \$9,526,142 | \$9,526,142 | \$9,526,142 | \$9,526,142 |
| Year 6 | 2022 | \$9,968,938 | \$9,968,938 | \$9,968,938 | \$9,968,938 |
| Year 7 | 2023 | \$10,562,594 | \$10,562,594 | \$10,562,594 | \$10,562,594 |
| Year 8 | 2024 | \$11,155,965 | \$11,155,965 | \$11,155,965 | \$11,155,965 |
| Year 9 | 2025 | \$11,749,701 | \$11,749,701 | \$11,749,701 | \$11,749,701 |
| Year 10 | 2026 | \$12,343,415 | \$12,343,415 | \$12,343,415 | \$12,343,415 |
| Year 11 | 2027 | \$12,937,601 | \$12,937,601 | \$12,947,017 | \$12,947,017 |
| Year 12 | 2028 | \$13,534,409 | \$13,534,409 | \$13,628,810 | \$13,628,810 |
| Year 13 | 2029 | \$14,136,935 | \$14,136,935 | \$13,496,087 | \$13,496,087 |
| Year 14 | 2030 | \$14,749,174 | \$14,749,174 | \$12,976,174 | \$12,976,174 |
| Year 15 | 2031 | \$15,376,309 | \$15,376,309 | \$12,419,733 | \$12,419,733 |
| Year 16 | 2032 | \$16,022,572 | \$16,022,572 | \$- | \$- |
| Year 17 | 2033 | \$16,695,105 | \$16,695,105 | \$- | \$- |
| Year 18 | 2034 | \$17,408,483 | \$17,408,483 | \$- | \$- |
| Year 19 | 2035 | \$18,184,705 | \$18,184,705 | \$- | \$- |
| Year 20 | 2036 | \$19,058,532 | \$19,058,532 | \$- | \$- |

Table 17. Strategist Inputs – Revenue Requirement for Ongoing Capital Expenitures for Existing Plants II

| | | | | | | _ | . . | | _ | | | |
|--|------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Planning | Year | Palo Verde 1 | Palo Verde 2 | Palo Verde 1 | Palo Verde 2 | Palo Verde 3 | Afton | Luna | Lordsburg | La Luz | Reeves | Rio Bravo |
| Period | | 134 MW/30 MW | 130 MW/124 MW | 104 MW | 10 MW | 134 MW | | | | | | |
| Palo Verde Leases Expire PV1 & PV2 Leases Conver | | | eases Convert | | | | | | | | | |
| Year 1 | 2017 | \$187,081 | \$672,344 | \$654,154 | \$56,481 | \$- | \$620,321 | \$83,302 | \$9,050 | \$53,126 | \$78,613 | \$49,118 |
| Year 2 | 2018 | \$412,989 | \$2,064,850 | \$1,444,076 | \$173,461 | \$1,274,094 | \$979,723 | \$693,243 | \$26,917 | \$85,886 | \$116,237 | \$73,853 |
| Year 3 | 2019 | \$633,592 | \$3,117,072 | \$2,215,444 | \$261,854 | \$2,662,046 | \$1,042,585 | \$1,021,697 | \$43,104 | \$83,090 | \$252,433 | \$71,020 |
| Year 4 | 2020 | \$932,485 | \$4,246,670 | \$3,260,567 | \$356,748 | \$3,551,576 | \$1,099,340 | \$1,050,532 | \$57,697 | \$80,432 | \$511,329 | \$68,288 |
| Year 5 | 2021 | \$1,121,193 | \$5,320,739 | \$3,920,414 | \$446,976 | \$4,239,711 | \$1,128,992 | \$1,763,617 | \$72,567 | \$77,897 | \$593,339 | \$65,649 |
| Year 6 | 2022 | \$1,301,855 | \$6,346,889 | \$4,552,123 | \$533,180 | \$5,125,051 | \$1,308,955 | \$2,416,475 | \$88,157 | \$88,275 | \$663,232 | \$75,340 |
| Year 7 | 2023 | \$1,529,110 | \$7,449,954 | \$5.346.752 | \$625.844 | \$6.013.309 | \$1.575.215 | \$2.819.640 | \$104,159 | \$106.987 | \$781.017 | \$91,505 |

| Planning | Year | Palo Verde 1 | Palo Verde 2 | Palo Verde 1 | Palo Verde 2 | Palo Verde 3 | Afton | Luna | Lordsburg | La Luz | Reeves | Rio Bravo |
|----------|------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Period | | 134 MW/30 MW | 130 MW/124 MW | 104 MW | 10 MW | 134 MW | | | | | | |
| Year 8 | 2024 | \$1,753,152 | \$8,537,117 | | \$717,173 | \$6,888,503 | \$1,839,151 | \$3,220,324 | \$120,067 | \$125,282 | \$898,666 | \$107,423 |
| Year 9 | 2025 | \$1,974,233 | \$9,609,319 | | | \$7,751,230 | \$2,101,080 | \$3,619,300 | \$135,895 | \$143,181 | \$1,016,588 | \$123,135 |
| Year 10 | 2026 | \$2,192,523 | \$10,667,416 | | | \$8,601,800 | \$2,361,338 | \$4,017,203 | \$151,661 | \$160,733 | \$1,135,301 | \$138,703 |
| Year 11 | 2027 | \$2,408,158 | \$11,712,069 | | | \$9,440,806 | \$2,620,522 | \$4,414,780 | \$167,392 | \$177,977 | \$1,255,448 | \$154,197 |
| Year 12 | 2028 | \$2,621,395 | \$12,744,045 | | | \$10,268,907 | \$2,879,359 | \$4,813,179 | \$183,118 | \$194,943 | \$1,377,808 | \$169,689 |
| Year 13 | 2029 | \$2,832,542 | \$13,764,371 | | | \$11,086,659 | \$3,138,738 | \$5,213,438 | \$198,882 | \$211,639 | \$1,503,560 | \$185,252 |
| Year 14 | 2030 | \$3,041,937 | \$14,774,290 | | | \$11,894,815 | \$3,399,678 | \$5,616,749 | \$214,741 | \$228,065 | \$1,634,509 | \$200,976 |
| Year 15 | 2031 | \$3,250,036 | \$15,775,489 | | | \$12,694,401 | \$3,660,827 | \$6,020,908 | \$230,613 | \$244,122 | \$1,771,519 | \$216,808 |
| Year 16 | 2032 | \$3,458,144 | \$16,772,766 | | | \$13,488,558 | \$3,929,183 | \$6,437,195 | \$246,932 | \$260,241 | \$1,922,640 | \$233,474 |
| Year 17 | 2033 | \$3,668,315 | \$17,776,134 | | | \$14,283,353 | \$4,204,250 | \$6,864,897 | \$263,671 | \$276,545 | \$2,093,082 | \$251,212 |
| Year 18 | 2034 | \$3,882,291 | \$18,794,155 | | | \$15,087,449 | \$4,489,272 | \$7,309,307 | \$281,036 | \$292,813 | \$2,296,099 | \$270,256 |
| Year 19 | 2035 | \$4,102,630 | \$19,834,051 | | | \$15,907,614 | \$4,788,983 | \$7,778,126 | \$299,322 | \$308,827 | \$2,559,553 | \$291,078 |
| Year 20 | 2036 | \$4,332,174 | \$20,906,825 | | | \$16,746,685 | \$5,110,537 | \$8,282,969 | \$290,793 | \$324,600 | \$2,432,549 | \$280,183 |

Table 18. Strategist Inputs – Revenue Requirement for Palo Verde Generation Station Leases

| Planning Year | Year | Capital cost + ongoing | | Lease ex | piration |
|---------------|------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | | | | |
| | 1 | PV1 (104 MW) | PV1 (10 MW) | PV1 (104 MW) | PV1 (10 MW) |
| Year 1 | 2017 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Year 2 | 2018 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Year 3 | 2019 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Year 4 | 2020 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Year 5 | 2021 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Year 6 | 2022 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Year 7 | 2023 | \$25,956,792 | \$- | \$10,228,119 | \$- |
| Year 8 | 2024 | \$25,685,308 | \$2,632,639 | \$10,243,971 | \$891,535 |
| Year 9 | 2025 | \$25,645,201 | \$2,620,222 | \$9,982,586 | \$898,562 |
| Year 10 | 2026 | \$25,733,496 | \$2,636,232 | \$9,677,419 | \$875,306 |
| Year 11 | 2027 | \$25,894,298 | \$2,668,940 | \$9,372,253 | \$848,548 |
| Year 12 | 2028 | \$26,084,697 | \$2,705,439 | \$9,067,086 | \$821,790 |
| Year 13 | 2029 | \$26,294,823 | \$2,744,476 | \$8,761,919 | \$795,032 |
| Year 14 | 2030 | \$26,507,564 | \$2,785,129 | \$8,456,752 | \$768,274 |
| Year 15 | 2031 | \$26,715,517 | \$2,825,719 | \$8,151,586 | \$741,516 |
| Year 16 | 2032 | \$26,921,139 | \$2,865,527 | \$7,846,419 | \$714,758 |
| Year 17 | 2033 | \$27,127,267 | \$2,904,815 | \$7,541,252 | \$688,000 |
| Year 18 | 2034 | \$27,337,777 | \$2,943,899 | \$7,236,085 | \$661,242 |
| Year 19 | 2035 | \$27,558,019 | \$2,983,218 | \$6,930,919 | \$634,484 |
| Year 20 | 2036 | \$27,795,491 | \$3,023,378 | \$6,625,752 | \$607,726 |
| Year 21 | 2037 | \$28,060,911 | \$3,065,240 | \$6,320,585 | \$580,968 |

| Planning Year | Year | Capital cost + ongoing capital expenditures | | Lease ex | piration |
|---------------|------|--|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | PV1 (104 MW) | PV1 (10 MW) | PV1 (104 MW) | PV1 (10 MW) |
| Year 22 | 2038 | \$28,453,038 | \$3,110,040 | \$6,015,418 | \$554,210 |
| Year 23 | 2039 | \$29,082,116 | \$3,167,893 | \$5,710,252 | \$527,452 |
| Year 24 | 2040 | \$29,909,777 | \$3,250,300 | \$5,405,085 | \$500,694 |
| Year 25 | 2041 | \$30,921,986 | \$3,354,266 | \$5,099,918 | \$473,936 |
| Year 26 | 2042 | \$32,237,965 | \$3,479,610 | \$4,794,751 | \$447,178 |
| Year 27 | 2043 | \$34,106,113 | \$3,640,401 | \$(0) | \$420,420 |
| Year 28 | 2044 | \$37,176,448 | \$3,866,115 | \$(0) | \$- |
| Year 29 | 2045 | \$44,164,615 | \$4,234,116 | \$(0) | \$- |
| Year 30 | 2046 | \$- | \$5,068,448 | \$- | \$- |
| NPV (2017\$) | | \$267,010,142 | \$28,167,196 | \$76,929,438 | \$6,641,567 |
| | | \$295,177,338 | | \$83,57 | 1,005 |

Table 19. Strategist Inputs – Revenue Requirement and O&M for SJGS Continues and Retires

| Planning Period | Year | SI Revenue Requirements | | SJGS Coal Co | Reclamation osts | |
|--------------------|------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------|
| | | SJGS | SJGS Retire | Retire minus | SJGS Retire | SJGS Retire + |
| | | Continue | | Continue | | Reclamation |
| Year 1 | 2017 | \$51,241,347 | \$51,241,347 | \$0 | \$0 | \$51,241,347 |
| Year 2 | 2018 | \$56,353,944 | \$56,541,852 | \$187,908 | \$0 | \$56,541,852 |
| Year 3 | 2019 | \$56,209,525 | \$56,932,239 | \$722,714 | \$0 | \$56,932,239 |
| Year 4 | 2020 | \$56,563,833 | \$55,894,264 | \$(669,569) | \$0 | \$55,894,264 |
| Year 5 | 2021 | \$57,649,659 | \$53,969,212 | \$(3,680,447) | \$0 | \$53,969,212 |
| Year 6 | 2022 | \$56,537,844 | \$36,670,281 | \$(19,867,563) | \$0 | \$36,670,281 |
| Year 7 | 2023 | \$55,092,170 | \$38,093,353 | \$(16,998,817) | \$2,423,414 | \$40,516,767 |
| Year 8 | 2024 | \$55,340,814 | \$37,149,618 | \$(18,191,196) | \$2,060,826 | \$39,210,444 |
| Year 9 | 2025 | \$55,584,071 | \$36,138,861 | \$(19,445,209) | \$1,815,752 | \$37,954,613 |
| Year 10 | 2026 | \$55,822,912 | \$35,025,019 | \$(20,797,893) | \$1,386,014 | \$36,411,033 |
| Year 11 | 2027 | \$56,094,803 | \$33,892,601 | \$(22,202,202) | \$597,734 | \$34,490,335 |
| Year 12 | 2028 | \$56,427,501 | \$32,759,063 | \$(23,668,438) | \$481,556 | \$33,240,619 |
| Year 13 | 2029 | \$57,316,023 | \$31,624,338 | \$(25,691,684) | \$472,781 | \$32,097,119 |
| Year 14 | 2030 | \$58,627,255 | \$30,488,355 | \$(28,138,900) | \$477,608 | \$30,965,963 |
| Year 15 | 2031 | \$59,352,237 | \$29,351,035 | \$(30,001,201) | \$482,243 | \$29,833,279 |
| Year 16 | 2032 | \$59,681,237 | \$28,212,299 | \$(31,468,938) | \$489,404 | \$28,701,703 |

| Planning Period | Year | SI Revenue Requirements | | | SJGS Coal Co | Reclamation osts |
|--------------------|------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | | SJGS | SJGS Retire | Retire minus | SJGS Retire | SJGS Retire + |
| | | Continue | | Continue | | Reclamation |
| Year 17 | 2033 | \$59,983,757 | \$27,072,058 | \$(32,911,699) | \$497,620 | \$27,569,678 |
| Year 18 | 2034 | \$60,395,445 | \$25,930,223 | \$(34,465,222) | \$512,444 | \$26,442,667 |
| Year 19 | 2035 | \$60,921,611 | \$24,786,693 | \$(36,134,918) | \$528,664 | \$25,315,358 |
| Year 20 | 2036 | \$61,460,135 | \$23,641,367 | \$(37,818,767) | \$547,632 | \$24,189,000 |
| Year 21 | 2037 | \$62,000,403 | \$22,494,134 | \$(39,506,269) | \$567,516 | \$23,061,650 |
| Year 22 | 2038 | \$63,291,017 | \$21,344,876 | \$(41,946,142) | \$562,105 | \$21,906,980 |
| Year 23 | 2039 | \$65,118,305 | \$20,193,469 | \$(44,924,836) | \$595,164 | \$20,788,633 |
| Year 24 | 2040 | \$66,094,899 | \$19,039,780 | \$(47,055,119) | \$630,908 | \$19,670,688 |
| Year 25 | 2041 | \$66,667,310 | \$17,883,669 | \$(48,783,641) | \$668,859 | \$18,552,528 |
| Year 26 | 2042 | \$67,275,234 | \$16,724,987 | \$(50,550,248) | \$709,157 | \$17,434,143 |
| Year 27 | 2043 | \$67,958,591 | \$(816,498) | \$(68,775,090) | \$751,950 | \$(64,548) |
| Year 28 | 2044 | \$68,734,307 | \$(867,424) | \$(69,601,731) | \$797,398 | \$(70,026) |
| Year 29 | 2045 | \$69,632,358 | \$(921,430) | \$(70,553,787) | \$845,670 | \$(75,760) |
| Year 30 | 2046 | \$70,695,933 | \$(978,708) | \$(71,674,641) | \$896,944 | \$(81,763) |
| Year 31 | 2047 | \$64,710,020 | \$(1,039,461) | \$(65,749,481) | \$951,415 | \$(88,047) |
| Year 32 | 2048 | \$69,618,140 | \$(1,103,908) | \$(70,722,048) | \$1,009,285 | \$(94,623) |
| Year 33 | 2049 | \$73,202,968 | \$(1,172,277) | \$(74,375,245) | \$1,070,774 | \$(101,503) |
| Year 34 | 2050 | \$77,695,728 | \$(1,244,815) | \$(78,940,543) | \$1,136,113 | \$(108,702) |
| Year 35 | 2051 | \$75,565,893 | \$(1,321,783) | \$(76,887,677) | \$1,205,552 | \$(116,232) |
| Year 36 | 2052 | \$72,244,068 | \$(1,403,460) | \$(73,647,527) | \$1,279,354 | \$(124,106) |
| Year 37 | 2053 | \$62,744,322 | \$(703,071) | \$(63,447,393) | \$1,357,802 | \$654,730 |
| NPV | | \$709,212,059 | \$437,674,613 | \$(271,537,446) | \$10,706,683 | \$445,172,088 |

Table 20. Strategist Inputs – Global Model Assumptions

| Input | Value | Notes |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| Discount Rate | 7.71% | |
| Planning time period | 20 | |
| Planning Period Years | 2017-2036 | |
| Global Escalation | 1.5% | |
| Plant Life | varies | See Appendix J and Appendix K |
| Annual Reserve Margin Target | 14% | |
| Federal Tax Incentives | 30% | Throughout the planning period |

| Input | Value | Notes |
|---|---------------|---|
| Capacity factor for Existing Private Solar | 26%, 27%, 32% | Fixed-Tilt, Thin-Film Tracking and Poly Tracking |
| Capacity factor for Existing Wind | 29%, 23% | NMWEC and Red Mesa respectively |
| Capacity factor for new Solar PV resources | 32% | |
| Capacity factor for new wind resources | 45% | |
| Solar PV Technologies - fixed tilt (existing) | 55% | |
| Solar PV Technologies - single axis tracking (existing) | 76% | |
| Effective Load Carrying Capability – New Solar PV | 35% | See solar sensitivity analysis for details |
| Effective Load Carrying Capability – New Wind | 5% | |
| Emergency Energy Cost | \$300/MWh | |
| Spinning Reserve Requirements | 6% | |

Table 21. 2017 IRP Key Assumptions

| Scenario | Value |
|---|----------------------------|
| San Juan Closure 2022 | |
| San Juan units 1 & 4 closure date | 9/30/2022 |
| San Juan units 2 & 3 closure date | 12/31/2017 |
| Revenue Requirements excludes non-jurisdictional costs associated with 65 MW of unit 4 per BART Stipulation (Cas 00390-UT) | e No. 13- |
| Recovery of 100% of undepreciated assets over a 20-year period | |
| Undepreciated assets excludes costs associated with 132 MW per BART Stipulation (Case No. 13-00390-UT) | |
| Includes costs associated with surface and underground coal mine reclamation and plant decommissioning | |
| San Juan Closure 2053 | |
| San Juan units 1 & 4 closure date | 12/31/2053 |
| San Juan units 2 & 3 closure date | 12/31/2017 |
| Revenue Requirements excludes non-jurisdictional costs associated with 65 MW of unit 4 per BART Stipulation (Cas 00390-UT) | e No. 13- |
| Includes costs associated with surface and underground coal mine reclamation and plant decommissioning | |
| Four Corners | |
| Scenarios assume exit date | 12/31/2031 & 12/31/2041 |
| Recovery of 100% of undepreciated assets over a 10-year period | |

Includes costs associated with coal mine reclamation and plant decommissioning

Palo Verde

Palo Verde leased capacity revenue requirement includes lease expense through the end of the lease agreement plus all retained obligations

Retained obligations include: Undepreciated asset recovery of leasehold improvements (return on and of) and Plant decommissioning (NDT Funding)

Retained obligations start in 2023 (104 MW Unit 1) and 2024 (10 MW Unit 2)

Lease retention revenue requirement includes purchase of leased capacity, ongoing capital, and operating expenses starting the first year after the lease agreements expires

Undepreciated assets includes NBV of leasehold improvements

Recovery of 100% of undepreciated assets over a 20-year period

Revenue requirement includes transmission wheeling costs

Palo Verde units 1 & 2 costs are allocated between owned capacity and leased capacity on a per MW prorated share

All Owned Generation Resource Assumptions

| Ongoing capital and outage O&M are based on an average of the most recently available budgeted data for 2017 thru 2021 an | d |
|---|---|
| normalized | |

Bonus Tax Depreciation ADIT through 2019

| 2017 = 50% | |
|------------|--|
| 2018 = 40% | |
| 2019 = 30% | |

Ongoing O&M is based on the most recently available budgeted data for 2017 through 2021 and escalated 1.5% starting in 2022 Average Rate Base

Ongoing capital is depreciated at a rate to be fully depreciated by the end of the assets projected useful life

Property Tax rates are based on NMPRC Case No. 16-00276-UT

Assume recovery of balanced draft technology, Palo Verde unit 2 leasehold improvements for the purchased 64MW, and Palo Verde NDT Contributions

Operating Expenses include O&M, Fuel handling, gas transportation, Coal mine reclamation (underground and surface),

depreciation, plant decommissioning, amortization of undepreciated assets (if applicable), property tax, payroll tax and income tax Operating Expenses exclude fuel, DOE spent fuel refund amortization, and corporate allocated A&G

Rate base includes net plant, accumulated deferred income taxes, undepreciated assets (if applicable), inventory, fuel stock, prepaids and ARO liability

WACC

| WACC | |
|---|-------|
| Approved WACC from NMPRC case no. 15-00261-UT final order | |
| Weighted average cost of debt | 2.94% |
| Weighted average cost of preferred stock | 0.02% |

| Weighted average cost of common stock | 4.75% |
|---|--------|
| After Tax WACC | 7.71% |
| Pre-Tax WACC | 10.71% |
| Combined Statutory Tax Rate | 38.62% |
| * Definitions: | |
| NDT - Nuclear Decommissioning Trust | |
| ARO - Asset Retirement Obligation | |
| WACC - Weighted Average Cost of Capital | |

APPENDIX I. DETAILS OF CO₂ AND GAS PRICE FORECASTS

This information was presented at PNM's September 22, 2017 IRP meeting.

SIEMENS

Forecasts and Assumptions for IRP

Prepared for PNM

September 2016

Restricted © Siemens AG 2016 All rights reserved.

Answers for infrastructure and cities.



Pace Global Disclaimer

This Report was produced by Pace Global, a Siemens business ("Pace Global"), and is meant to be read as a whole and in conjunction with this disclaimer. Any use of this Report other than as a whole and in conjunction with this disclaimer is forbidden. Any use of this Report outside of its stated purpose without the prior written consent of Pace Global is forbidden. Except for its stated purpose, this Report may not be copied or distributed in whole or in part without Pace Global's prior written consent.

This Report and the information and statements herein are based in whole or in part on information obtained from various sources as of September 20, 2016. While Pace Global believes such information to be accurate, it makes no assurances, endorsements or warranties, express or implied, as to the validity, accuracy or completeness of any such information, any conclusions based thereon, or any methods disclosed in this Report. Pace Global assumes no responsibility for the results of any actions and inactions taken on the basis of this Report. By a party using, acting or relying on this Report, such party consents and agrees that Pace Global, its employees, directors, officers, contractors, advisors, members, affiliates, successors and agents shall have no liability with respect to such use, actions, inactions, or reliance.

This Report does contain some forward-looking opinions. Certain unanticipated factors could cause actual results to differ from the opinions contained herein. Forward-looking opinions are based on historical and/or current information that relate to future operations, strategies, financial results or other developments. Some of the unanticipated factors, among others, that could cause the actual results to differ include regulatory developments, technological changes, competitive conditions, new products, general economic conditions, changes in tax laws, adequacy of reserves, credit and other risks associated with PNM and/or other third parties, significant changes in interest rates and fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Further, certain statements, findings and conclusions in this Report are based on Pace Global's interpretations of various contracts. Interpretations of these contracts by legal counsel or a jurisdictional body could differ.



Agenda

- Introduction
- Tools and Methodology
 - AuroraXMP electric market dispatch analysis
 - GPCM natural gas pipeline flow analysis
- Baseline Scenario Assumptions and Forecasts
- Alternate Scenario Assumptions and Forecasts
 - Approach
 - High
 - $-\operatorname{Low}$
- Questions and Discussion



Introduction

- Pace Global developed market assumptions for PNM's 2017 IRP process.
- The key inputs are natural gas prices, carbon prices and power prices.
- Pace Global used its comprehensive power market modeling tools to generate these forecasts under a Baseline and High and Low scenarios to reflect uncertainty of market conditions over the long-term planning horizon.

| Scenario | High Level Description |
|----------|---|
| Baseline | Reference view based on market forwards and longer term by fundamentals accounting for expected policy |
| High | High expected power pricing based on high natural gas and carbon pricing throughput the forecast period |
| Low | Low expected power pricing based on low natural gas and carbon pricing throughout the forecast period |

 This presentation summarizes the methodology used, assumptions and forecasts for the IRP process.



Tools and Methodology

Restricted © Siemens AG 2016 All rights reserved.

Answers for infrastructure and cities.

Natural Gas Prices Generated Using GPCM Integrated with Aurora XMP to Provide A Balanced and Iterative View of Gas Burn and Prices





Restricted © Siemens AG 2016 All rights reserved.

Pace Global Zonal Market Analysis, Aurora XMP Comprehensive Tool for Analyzing Power Markets



Restricted © Siemens AG 2016 All rights reserved.

SEM / Pace Global

Analysis Was Performed with Full WECC Footprint AuroraXMP Regional and National Analysis







Restricted © Siemens AG 2016 All rights reserved.



Approach to Pricing Carbon Under the Clean Power Plan Starts with the Shadow Price for Carbon



The shadow price represents the marginal cost of carbon reduction for a given set of conditions – in this case limits under the Clean Power Plan (CPP).

- Accounts for operational parameters but does *not* directly account for capital costs and other longer-term costs that may be needed to comply with carbon limits
- Measured in terms of \$/short ton CO2 can be converted to \$/MWh to represent ERC prices in rate regime
- Pace Global relies on AURORAxmp's capabilities to solve for carbon shadow pricing within dispatch simulations
- Pace Global solves for the shadow price of carbon based on national dispatch analysis to determine Baseline carbon price.



Baseline Scenario

Restricted © Siemens AG 2016 All rights reserved.

Answers for infrastructure and cities.



Overview Baseline Assumptions

The Baseline Scenario

- Key assumptions driving the Baseline scenario are:
 - In the short-term (2016-2018), the Baseline assumes a business-as-usual perspective for all market drivers, consistent with market forwards.
 - By 2018, it is assumed that most states, including New Mexico will opt for a mass-based CPP compliance path, effective in 2022:
 - Easier to administer than rate-based
 - Retirements aid NM compliance
 - States will join to create liquid trading market
 - Gas prices increase somewhat from current low levels beginning around 2018 as demand catches up to shale supply.
 - Power prices move up with gas and as CPP compliance begins in 2022.
 - Long term, gas and power prices tend to level out in real terms.

| | Baseline | | |
|--------------------|----------|----|----|
| Time Frame: | ѕт | мт | LT |
| Load Growth | в | 7 | 7 |
| Gas Prices | в | 7 | • |
| Coal Prices | в | 7 | 7 |
| CO2 Prices | в | 7 | 7 |
| Power Prices | в | 7 | 7 |
| Capital Cost - Gas | в | • | N |
| Capital Cost - RE | в | 1 | 3 |
| Retirements | в | 7 | 7 |
| Additions | в | 7 | 7 |
| Economy | в | 7 | 7 |

ST=2016-18, MT=2019-25, LT=2026-36

Page 11

Restricted © Siemens AG 2016 All rights reserved.



Natural Gas Supply Outlook by Source

Near Term – 2016

Production growth finally slows in 2016 as continued low gas prices begin to take a toll on gas producers.

Midterm - 2017-2020

L48 production growth will face some difficulty as low gas prices persist and producers face bankruptcy, but anticipated demand will help to buoy an increase in supply.

Long Term – 2021-2040

Production growth resumes robust growth in the long-term, with the majority of growth coming from shale.



Source: Pace Global

Page 12

Restricted © Siemens AG 2016 All rights reserved.


Natural Gas Demand Outlook by End Use Sector

Near Term – 2016

Power burn YTD in 2016 has averaged 24.2 Bcf/d, on a similar path to 2012 when gas prices were low. Summer burn is expected to push this average upward.

Midterm - 2017-2020

A ramp-up in demand from LNG exports, Mexican exports, and industrial demand will add 8.7 Bcf/d by 2020 over 2016.

Long Term - 2021-2040

Demand will continue to grow, with the power sector continuing to take market share from coal-fired generation and as a complement to intermittent renewables.





Power Sector Natural Gas Generation Outlook

Power Sector Gas Burn Since 2012

Continued low gas prices are supporting higher gas burn levels, both in the summer trough months and in the peak winter months. Power sector gas consumption is likely to be strong in 2016-17 with a continuation of low gas prices.

Outlook for Power Sector Gas Burn

While the CPP was issued in Aug. 2015, a recent SCOTUS stay has put many states' plans on hold. Expected gas burn will see a ramping up as the plan enters into the compliance period post-2022.

Monthly U.S. Gas Burn Since 2012









Baseline Natural Gas Prices – Henry Hub



Henry Hub Near Term - forwards

- indicate average price near between \$2.50-\$3/MMBtu, shale gas oversupply
- Mid-Term Gulf Coast prices expected to rise as a new demand (LNG and Mexican exports, industrial use) turn the region into a premium market
- Long-Term Ample shale supplies will constrain prices to between \$4.00-5.00, gradually increasing over time.

Note: Forecast based on NYMEX forwards as of July 2016

Baseline Natural Gas Prices – Henry Hub and Key Southwest Hubs





Note: Forecast based on NYMEX forwards as of July 2016

Permian

Longstanding production region in southwest NM / western TX. Permian gas is less competitive than new shale gas sources (Eagle Ford, Utica, Marcellus) that are meeting new Gulf Coast demand (LNG, etc.) driving negative basis for the forecast period.

San Juan

Longstanding conventional production region in northwest NM into southern CO. Production of gas fallen significantly w/ shale economics, expect narrowing basis turning positive with higher prices and increasing demand.

Northern Arizona

 Price point in N. AZ. Commands positive basis over HH due to strong demand in Arizona, Southern California and Mexico; regional is less impacted by eastern shale supply displacement.

Carbon Prices Based on Assumptions Regarding State Approaches to Comply with the CPP



- Noting uncertainties of the CPP, Pace Global defined states' expected approach to comply with the CPP in the Baseline scenario.
- California and RGGI states maintain trading under existing programs

 California maintains caps lower than CPP goal to meet more aggressive state reductions.
- States with strong propensity to meeting a rate goal take this approach – others take mass goal w/ interstate trading.

Page 17

Reference View of State Rate-Mass Designation



Restricted © Siemens AG 2016 All rights reserved.

SEM / Pace Global

Baseline Carbon Prices – U.S. and California



PACE G L O B A L* A Siemens Business

U.S.

•

- Near Term no national price on carbon before 2022
- Mid-Term CPP as finalized becomes effective in 2022 with liquid opt-in national trading, market trades at a premium in early years as the market finds equilibrium
- Long-Term Moderate gas prices and planned coal retirements help to mitigate the carbon price under the \$10/ton level

California

Projections for the currently oversupplied AB32 trading market increase in line with auction price floor through 2020 – beyond this time the program continues to meet the State's 2030 goals of 40% reduction over 1990 levels.

Baseline Zonal Power Prices – Four Corners and Palo Verde



- · Natural gas prices increasing from current levels
- The introduction of a price on carbon in the U.S. in 2022 which increases through 2030
- Steady load growth in New Mexico and southwest (CAAGR ~0.6 percent over forecast period)



Page 19



Alternate Scenarios

Restricted © Siemens AG 2016 All rights reserved.

Answers for infrastructure and cities.



Scenario Development for IRP Analysis

- Pace Global and PNM identified natural gas price and carbon price as the two major drivers of portfolio performance.
- High natural gas and carbon and low gas and carbon price assumptions drove the two alternate scenarios.
- Stochastic distributions were used to develop the high and low assumptions based on a one standard deviation delta from the baseline.



Stochastic Distributions Inform High and Low Scenarios (Illustrative Example)





Restricted © Siemens AG 2016 All rights reserved.

SEM / Pace Global

Carbon Stochastics Developed in Absence of Historical Data – Reflecting CPP



- The technique to develop carbon costs distributions, unlike the previous variables, is based on the "expert-opinion" based projections due to lack of historical data.
 - 1. Stress test analysis to determine range of shadow prices under the CPP high / low
 - High and low treated as 16th, 50th and 84th percentiles from which statistical techniques are used to calculate the standard deviation values.
 - The distributions are then adjusted to incorporate probabilities such as "the probability of a CO2 program not taking effect", "greater chance of a nation-wide CO2 regime starting in, say 2022" etc.

Method for Distribution Generation



High and Low Natural Gas Prices – Henry Hub and Permian Basin



- High natural gas prices could result from restrictions on gas production (i.e. fracking regulations) increasing the cost to
 produce, greater than expected LNG and pipeline exports, and/or a stronger economy driving demand.
- Lower natural gas prices could result from energy storage technology breakthrough (resulting in lower gas demand), a weaker economy, or lower export scenarios.



Page 24



High and Low Carbon Prices – U.S. and California

- The high carbon price scenario reflects the possibility of high gas price trajectory due to less efficient implementation of the CPP (less interstate trading), high natural gas prices, speculation driving prices above actual cost to comply.
- The low carbon price scenario could result from low natural gas prices, a very active national trading market where
 most low cost states decide on a national interstate trading scheme, and/or greater than expected retirements of coal
 and other gas units "affected" under the CPP.





High and Low Carbon Prices – U.S. and California

- The high carbon price scenario reflects the possibility of high gas price trajectory due to less efficient implementation of the CPP (less interstate trading), high natural gas prices, speculation driving prices above actual cost to comply.
- The low carbon price scenario could result from low natural gas prices, a very active national trading market where
 most low cost states decide on a national interstate trading scheme, and/or greater than expected retirements of coal
 and other gas units "affected" under the CPP.



Page 25



Questions

Restricted © Siemens AG 2016 All rights reserved.

Answers for infrastructure and cities.

APPENDIX J. COST AND PERFORMANCE DATA FOR PNM'S EXISTING GENERATING RESOURCES

| Table 22. Resource Performance Data - Existing Resources I | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|------------|--------|--|--|
| | | San J | Juan | | Four (| Corners | | Palo Verde | | | | | |
| Metric | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 | Unit 4 | Unit 5 | Unit 1 Owned | Unit 2 Owned | Unit 3 | Luna | Afton | | |
| Facility Output (MW) | 170 | 170 | 248 | 195* | 100 | 100 | 124 | 30 | 134 | 185 | 230 | | |
| Peak Contribution | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | | |
| Estimate Heat Rate @ Max Output (Btu/kWh) | 10,786 | 10,786 | 10,475 | 10,669 | 10,114 | 10,114 | 10,300 | 10,300 | 10,300 | 7,098 | 7,029 | | |
| Expected Capacity Factor | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0- 100% | 0-100% | | |
| Forced Outage Rate | 10.5- 14.5% | 10.5-14.5% | 10.5- 14.5% | 10.5- 14.5% | 20% | 20% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 3% | 3% | | |
| Emission Rates | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO ₂ Rate (lb/MWh) | 2,182 | 2,103 | 2,182 | 2,182 | 1,864 | 1,864 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 926 | 930 | | |
| CO Rate (lb/MWh) | 2.67 | 4.29 | 2.67 | 2.67 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.14 | | |
| SO ₂ Rate (lb/MWh) | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| NO _x Rate (lb/MWh) | 2.81 | 2.75 | 2.62 | 2.62 | 4.72 | 4.72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.09 | 0.13 | | |
| Hg Rate (lb/GWh) | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| PM ₁₀ Rate (lb/MWh) | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.068 | 0.068 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.026 | 0.062 | | |
| Water Usage (gal/MWh) | 647 | 647 | 647 | 647 | 496 | 496 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 202 | 85 | | |
| Construction Time (months) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expected Retirement Date | Scenario | Year End 2017 | Year End 2017 | Scenario | Scenario | Scenario | 2045 | 2046 | 2047 | 2042 | 2042 | | |
| Facility Life (years) | Scenario | 2 | 2 | Scenario | Scenario | Scenario | 28 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | | |

* Facility output will increase to 327 MW beginning in 2018

| | | Four Corners | | Palo Verde | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|---|--------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|------|-------|
| Metric | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 | Unit 4 | Unit 5 | Unit 1 Owned | Unit 2 Owned | Unit 3 | Luna | Afton |
| Facility Output (MW) | 170 | 170 | 248 | 195* | 100 | 100 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 185 | 230 |
| Utility-Owned | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Preliminary Revenue Requirements - Capital Expenditures (NPV 1,000\$) | | | | see summ | ary table fo | or capital ex | penditures k | oy plant | | | |
| Cost of Capital | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% |
| Reference Year Dollars | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 |
| Fixed O&M Costs (\$/kW/yr) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base O&M (5-year average) | | see summary table for O&M expenses by plant | | | | | | | | | |
| Transmission | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fuel Handling/Gas Reservation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property Taxes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Variable O&M Costs (\$/MWh) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base O&M | | | | | inclu | uded in FO | M | | | | |
| Integration Costs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PPA | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Price (\$/MWh) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base Energy Price | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transmission Service | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integration Costs | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reference Year Dollars | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Escalation | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 23. Resource Cost & Financial Data - Existing Resources I (continued)

* Facility output will increase to 327 MW beginning in 2018

| | Lords | sburg | | Reeves | | | Pio | Rio Solar | | | NM Wind | Red | Dala |
|--|--------|--------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|---------------|----------|----------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Metric | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | La Luz | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Bravo | Fixed Tilt | Tracking | Valencia | Energy Center | Mesa Wind | Burgett |
| Facility Output (MW) | 40 | 40 | 40 | 44 | 44 | 66 | 138 | 40 | 67 | 150 | 200 | 102 | 8 |
| Peak Contribution | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 55% | 71% | 100% | 5% | 5% | 56% |
| Estimate Heat Rate @ Max Output (Btu/kWh) | 9,596 | 9,576 | 9,485 | 12,039 | 12,039 | 12,039 | 10,284 | | | 10,177 | | | |
| Expected Capacity Factor | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-28% | 0-33% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-100% |
| Forced Outage Rate | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | | | 3% | | | |
| Emission Rates | | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| CO ₂ Rate (lb/MWh) | 1,379 | 1,379 | 1,166 | 1,556 | 1,556 | 1,556 | 1,411 | - | - | 1,378 | - | - | - |
| CO Rate (lb/MWh) | 0.724 | 0.724 | 0.009 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.01 | - | - | 0.15 | - | - | - |
| SO ₂ Rate (lb/MWh) | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | - | - | 0.01 | - | - | - |
| NO _x Rate (lb/MWh) | 1.20 | 1.20 | 0.09 | 3.09 | 3.09 | 3.09 | 0.40 | - | - | 0.40 | - | - | - |
| Hg Rate (lb/GWh) | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | - | - | * | - | - | - |
| PM ₁₀ Rate (lb/MWh) | 0.077 | 0.077 | 0.027 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.050 | - | - | 0.198 | - | - | - |
| Water Usage (gal/MWh) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 619 | 619 | 619 | 10 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - |
| Construction Time (months) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expected Retirement | After | After | After | After | After | After | After | After | After | | | | |
| | 2036 | 2036 | 2036 | 2036 | 2036 | 2036 | 2036 | 2036 | 2036 | | | | |
| Contract Expiration | | | | | | | | | | May 2028 | July 2028 | Dec. 2035 | Jan. 2034 |
| Facility Life (years) | 40 | 40 | 40 | Sensitivity | Sensitivity | Sensitivity | 40 | 25 | 25 | | | | |

Table 24. Resource Performance Data - Existing Resources II

* Do not monitor

| | Lord | sburg | | | Reeves | | Rio | Solar | | | NM Wind | Red | Dale |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------------------|--------------|----------|
| Metric | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | La Luz | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Bravo | Fixed Tilt | Tracking | Valencia | Energy Center | Mesa Wind | Burgett |
| Facility Output (MW) | 40 | 40 | 40 | 44 | 44 | 66 | 138 | 40 | 67 | 150 | 200 | 102 | 0 |
| Utility-Owned | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Preliminary Revenue Requirements - Capital | | | | | see su | mmary tabl | e for capita | l expenditu | ures and O&N | A by plant | | | |
| Expenditures (NPV 1,000\$) | | | | | | | | , | | | | | |
| Cost of Capital | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.1% | 1.1% |
| Reference Year Dollars | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | | | | |
| 5-Year Fixed O&M (\$/kWh/yr) | | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| Base O&M | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transmission | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gas Reservation | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property Taxes | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integration | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PPA | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand | | | | | | | | | | \$8.15 | | | |
| Fixed O&M | | | | | | | | | | \$1.79 | | | |
| Gas Reservation Fee | | | | | | | | | | \$1.03 | | | |
| Total (\$/kW/mo) | | | | | | | | | | \$10.97 | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Variable/Energy Price (non-fuel) (\$/MWh) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base Energy Price | | | | | | | | | | | \$27.25 | \$29.05 | \$105.73 |
| Transmission Service | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Variable O&M | | | | | | | | | | \$6.72 | | | |
| Integration | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | \$6.72 | \$27.25 | \$29.05 | \$105.73 |
| Reference Year Dollars | | | | | | | | | | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 |
| Annual Escalation | | | | | | | | | | | Fixed | 2.0% | Fixed |

Table 25. Resource Cost & Financial Data - Existing Resources II (continued)

* Do not monitor

APPENDIX K. COST AND PERFORMANCE DATA FOR NEW SUPPLY-SIDE RESOURCE OPTIONS

| | | Table | 26. New R | esource Al | ternatives Perform | ance Data - Co | nventional F | Resources | | | | |
|--|--------|----------|-----------|------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| | Aerode | rivative | Gas T | urbine | Pociprocating | Pio Bravo | Combin | ed Cycle | Palo V | Verde | Battery | Storage |
| Metric | Small | Large | Mid | Large | Engines | Expansion | New Build | Existing | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | 2-Hour | 4-Hour |
| Expected Facility Output (MW @ 4000 ft, 90 F) | 40 | 85 | 140 | 187 | 41 | 210 | 289 | 250 | 104 | 10 | 2 | 40 |
| Estimated ELCC | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Tier 2: 80 MW | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 3: 120 MW | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 4: >80 MW | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Estimate Heat Rate @ Max Output (Btu/kWh) | 9,800 | 9,800 | 10,400 | 9,600 | 8,800 | 6,999 | 7,200 | 7,000 | 10,300 | 10,300 | * | * |
| Expected Capacity Factor | 5-15% | 5-15% | 5-25% | 5-25% | 5-65% | 25-65% | 25-65% | 25-65% | 0-100% | 0-100% | 0-15% | 0-15% |
| Forced Outage Rate | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 5% | 5% | 5% | 2% | 2% | 2.0% | 2.0% |
| Emission Rates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CO ₂ Rate (lb/MWh) | 1,140 | 1,115 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 980 | 845 | 845 | 845 | - | - | - | - |
| CO Rate (lb/MWh) | 0.0892 | 0.2800 | 0.1800 | 0.1800 | 0.2600 | 0.1200 | 0.1200 | 0.1200 | - | - | - | - |
| SO ₂ Rate (lb/MWh) | 0.1313 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NO _x Rate (lb/MWh) | 0.0098 | 0.1100 | 0.3900 | 0.3900 | 3.6500 | 0.0800 | 0.0800 | 0.0800 | - | - | - | - |
| Hg Rate (lb/GWh) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | - |
| PM ₁₀ Rate (lb/MWh) | 0.0838 | - | - | - | - | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | - |
| Water Usage (gal/MWh) | 100 | 150 | 50 | 50 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 600 | 18 | 18 | - | - |
| Construction Time (months) | 9 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 24 | 24 | | | 24 | 24 |
| Contract Expiration | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2021 | 2024 | 2023 | 2021 | 2021 |
| Facility Life (years) | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 |

* Charging technology

| | Aerode | Aeroderivative | | Gas Turbine | | Pio Bravo | Combined Cycle | | Palo Verde | | Battery Storage | |
|--|----------|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|
| Metric | Small | Large | Mid | Large | Engines | Expansion | New Build | Existing | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | 2- Hour | 4-Hour |
| Expected Facility Output (MW @ 4000 ft, 90 F) | 40 | 85 | 140 | 187 | 41 | 289 | 210 | 250 | 104 | 10 | 2 | 40 |
| Investment Tax Credit - Federal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Production Tax Credit - Federal | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Production Tax Credit - State | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Proxy Property Tax | 2.7% | 2.7% | 2.7% | 2.7% | 2.7% | 2.7% | 2.7% | 1.3% | 1.3% | 1.3% | 2.7% | 2.7% |
| Utility Ownership | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital Cost | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction Cost | \$38,000 | \$78,000 | \$116,000 | \$126,000 | 43,500 | \$145,200 | \$258,000 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$3,700 | \$114,400 |
| Transmission Upgrades/ Interconnection | \$3,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$2,500 | \$5,000 | \$10,000 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| AFUDC | \$1.512 | \$3.043 | \$4.395 | \$4,729 | \$1.678 | \$10.267 | \$17.553 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$84 | \$2,583 |
| Owners' Costs | \$3.500 | \$4.500 | \$5.400 | \$5.000 | \$2.250 | \$7.500 | \$10.200 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Total | \$46,012 | \$90,543 | \$130,795 | \$140,729 | \$49,928 | \$167,967 | \$295,753 | \$175,000 | \$260,000 | \$25,000 | \$3,784 | \$116,983 |
| Total per kW | \$1,150 | \$1,065 | \$934 | \$753 | \$1,218 | \$800 | \$1,023 | \$700 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$1,892 | \$2,925 |
| Revenue Requirements (Capital Only) | \$55,761 | \$109,492 | \$161,188 | \$174,395 | \$61,103 | \$222,764 | \$396,160 | \$248,280 | | | \$4,680 | \$144,707 |
| | \$56,314 | \$110,480 | \$162,424 | \$176,925 | \$122,292 | | | \$247,489 | | | \$5,606 | \$173,326 |
| Cost of Capital | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% |
| Reference Year | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2024 | 2023 | 2017 | 2017 |
| Fixed O&M (\$/kW/yr) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base O&M | \$17.40 | \$16.00 | \$6.250 | \$4.90 | \$4.90 | \$22.20 | \$20.00 | \$22.00 | | | \$28.00 | \$39.00 |
| Gas Reservation | \$26.00 | \$26.00 | \$26.00 | \$26.00 | \$26.00 | \$18.10 | \$26.00 | \$26.00 | | | \$- | \$- |
| Transmission Service | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$40.80 | | | \$- | \$- |
| Property Taxes | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | | | \$- | \$- |
| Total | \$43.40 | \$42.00 | \$32.30 | \$30.90 | \$30.90 | \$40.30 | \$46.00 | \$88.80 | | | \$28.00 | \$39.00 |
| Variable O&M | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base O&M | \$5.26 | \$4.64 | \$4.00 | \$2.56 | \$2.21 | \$2.75 | \$2.57 | \$2.55 | \$- | \$- | * | * |
| Integration | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Total | \$5.26 | \$4.64 | \$4.00 | \$2.56 | \$2.21 | \$2.75 | \$2.57 | \$2.55 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |

Table 27. New Resource Alternatives Cost & Financial Data - Conventional Resources (Continued)

* Charging technology

| Metric | Combined Cycle – H Series (New Build) | Combined Cycle – H Series ½ Owner | Combined Cycle – H Series 1/3 Owner | Combined Cycle – H Series 1/6 Owner |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Expected Facility Output (MW @30 ft, 90 F) | 405 | 202.5 | 135 | 67.5 |
| Estimated ELCC | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Tier 2: 80 MW | | | | |
| Tier 3: 120 MW | | | | |
| Tier 4: >80 MW | | | | |
| Estimate Heat Rate @ Max Output (Btu/kWh) | 6,550 | 6,550 | 6,550 | 6,550 |
| Expected Capacity Factor | 25-65% | 25-65% | 25-65% | 25-65% |
| Forced Outage Rate | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% |
| CO ₂ Rate (lb/MWh) | 845 | 845 | 845 | 845 |
| CO Rate (lb/MWh) | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | 0.0310 | 0.0310 |
| SO ₂ Rate (lb/MWh) | - | - | - | - |
| NO _x Rate (lb/MWh) | 0.0070 | 0.0070 | 0.0070 | 0.0070 |
| Hg Rate (lb/GWh) | - | - | - | _ |
| PM ₁₀ Rate (lb/MWh) | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Water Usage (gal/MWh) | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Construction Time (months) | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Contract Expiration | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 |
| Facility Life (years) | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |

Table 28. New Resource Alternatives Cost & Financial Data – Combined Cycle Sensitivity

| Metric | Combined Cycle – H Series (New Build) | Combined Cycle – H Series ½ Owner | Combined Cycle – H Series 1/3 Owner | Combined Cycle – H Series 1/6 Owner |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Expected Facility Output (MW @ 30 ft, 90 F) | 405 | 202.5 | 135 | 67.5 |
| Investment Tax Credit - Federal | - | - | - | - |
| Production Tax Credit - Federal | No | No | No | No |
| Production Tax Credit - State | No | No | No | No |
| Proxy Property Tax | 2.7% | 2.7% | 2.7% | 2.7% |
| Construction Cost | \$362,566 | \$181,283 | \$120,855 | \$60,428 |
| Transmission Upgrades/ Interconnection | \$10,000 | \$5,000 | \$3,333 | \$1,667 |
| AFUDC | \$24,416 | \$12,208 | \$8,139 | \$4,069 |
| Owners' Costs | \$10,200 | \$5,100 | \$3,400 | \$1,700 |
| Total | \$407,183 | \$203,591 | \$135,728 | \$67,864 |
| Total per kW | \$1,005 | \$1,005 | \$1,005 | \$1,005 |
| Revenue Requirements (Capital Only) | \$532,298 | \$266,149 | \$177,433 | \$88,716 |
| | | | | |
| Cost of Capital | 7.7% | 7.7% | | |
| Reference Year | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 |
| Base Fixed O&M | \$18.46 | \$18.46 | \$18.46 | \$18.46 |
| Gas Reservation | \$26.00 | \$26.00 | \$26.00 | \$26.00 |
| Transmission Service | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Property Taxes | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Total | \$44.50 | \$44.50 | \$44.50 | \$44.50 |
| Base Variable O&M | \$2.43 | \$2.43 | \$2.43 | \$2.43 |
| Integration | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Total | \$2.43 | \$2.43 | \$2.43 | \$2.43 |

Table 29. New Resource Alternatives Cost & Financial Data – Combined Cycle Sensitivity (Continued)

| Metric | Solar P | hotovoltaic | Tracking | Solar Power Tower | Solar Photovoltaic for RPS | Wind for RPS | Wind | Geothermal |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------|------------|
| Nameplate Facility Output (MW) | 10 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 50 | | 100 | 15 |
| Estimated ELCC | | | | | 71% | 0% | 5% | 100% |
| Tier 2: 80 MW | 71% | 71% | 71% | 100% | | | | |
| Tier 3: 140 MW | 52% | 52% | 52% | 100% | | | | |
| Tier 4: >80 MW | 20% | 20% | 20% | 100% | | | | |
| Estimate Heat Rate @ Max Output | | | | | | | | |
| (Btu/kWh) | | | | | | | | |
| Expected Capacity Factor | 33% | 33% | 33% | 45% | 33% | 49% | 40% | 85% |
| Forced Outage Rate | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Emission Rates | | | | | | | | |
| CO ₂ Rate (lb/MWh) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CO Rate (lb/MWh) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SO ₂ Rate (lb/MWh) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| NO _x Rate (lb/MWh) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hg Rate (lb/GWh) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| PM ₁₀ Rate (lb/MWh) | - | - | - | 600 | - | - | - | 100 |
| Water Usage (gal/MWh) | 9 | 15 | 15 | 24 | 15 | 12 | 24 | 24 |
| Construction Time (months) | 2018 | 2019 | 2019 | 2020 | 2020 | 2019 | 2021 | 2021 |
| Expected Retirement Date | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 30 |
| Facility Life (years) | 10 | 50 | 99 | 100 | 50 | | 100 | 15 |
| Nameplate Facility Output (MW) | 10 | 50 | 99 | 100 | 50 | | 100 | 15 |
| Investment Tax Credit - Federal | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | - | - | 10% |
| Production Tax Credit - Federal | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Production Tax Credit - State | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Proxy Property Tax | 2.6% | 2.6% | 2.6% | | | | | |

Table 30. New Resource Alternatives Performance Data - Renewable Resources

| Metric | Solar P | hotovoltaic | Tracking | Solar Power Tower | er Solar Photovoltaic for RPS Wind for F | | Wind | Geothermal |
|--|----------|-------------|-----------|----------------------|--|----------------------|----------|------------|
| Utility Ownership | | | | | | | | |
| Capital Cost (\$1,000s) | | | | | | | | |
| Construction Cost | \$12,494 | \$61,499 | \$122,997 | | \$63,718 | | | |
| Transmission | \$776 | \$3,000 | \$6,000 | | \$3,880 | | | |
| AFUDC | \$250 | \$1,190 | \$2,380 | | \$1,536 | | | |
| Owners' Costs | \$890 | \$3,033 | \$6,066 | | \$3,200 | | | |
| Total | \$14,409 | \$68,722 | \$137,444 | | \$72,334 | | | |
| Total per kW | \$1,441 | \$1,388 | \$1,388 | | \$1,447 | | | |
| Revenue Requirements (Capital Only) | \$16,859 | \$81,117 | \$162,234 | | \$76,616 | | | |
| Cost of Capital | 7.7% | 7.7% | 7.7% | | 7.7% | | | |
| Reference Year Dollars | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | | 2017 | | | |
| Fixed O&M (\$/kW/yr) | | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| Base O&M | \$10.0 | \$10.0 | \$10.0 | | \$10.5 | | | |
| Property Taxes | | | | | \$13.0 | | | |
| Total | \$- | \$- | \$- | | \$23.5 | | | |
| Variable O&M (\$/MWh) | | | | | | | | |
| Base O&M | \$- | \$- | \$- | | \$- | | | |
| Integration | \$1.70 | \$1.70 | \$1.70 | | \$1.70 | | | |
| Total | \$1.70 | \$1.70 | \$1.70 | | \$1.70 | | | |
| PPA | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Price (\$/MWh) | | | | | | | | |
| Base Energy Price | | | | \$185.00 | | | \$34.75 | \$65.30 |
| Transmission Upgrades | | | | \$- | | | \$7.00 | \$17.91 |
| Interconnection | | | | | | | included | included |
| PPA Administration | | | | | | | \$1.04 | \$1.79 |
| Integration Costs | | | | \$- | | | \$4.06 | \$- |
| Total | | | | \$185.00 | | | \$46.85 | \$85.00 |
| Reference Year Dollars | 2016 | 2016 | 2016 | 2016 | | | 2019 | 2021 |
| Annual Escalation | | | | none | Se | ee renewable costs s | sheet | |

Table 31. New Resource Alternatives Cost & Financial Data - Renewable Resources (Continued)

| MotrieNoor | Solar Power Tower | Wind for PPS | Wind | Data Center | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------|-------------|---------|--|
| | 10-Hour Storage | | willu | Solar PV | Wind | |
| Nameplate Facility Output (MW) | 100 | 200 | 100 | Varies | Varies | |
| 2017 | \$185.00 | | | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2018 | \$185.00 | | | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2019 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$46.85 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2020 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$46.93 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2021 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$47.01 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2022 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$47.09 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2023 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$47.17 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2024 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$47.25 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2025 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$47.33 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2026 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$47.41 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2027 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$47.50 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2028 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$47.58 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2029 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$47.67 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2030 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$47.76 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2031 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$47.85 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2032 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$47.94 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2033 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$48.03 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2034 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$48.13 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2035 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$48.22 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |
| 2036 | \$185.00 | \$27.25 | \$48.32 | \$47.50 | \$46.10 | |

Table 32. New Resource Alternatives Performance Data - Renewable Resource Costs

Effective Load Carrying Capacity (ELCC)

The effective load carrying capability (ELCC) of a generator represents its ability to provide full capacity at all times. Dispatchable generators such as gas turbines or combined cycles have high ELCC values because they can be called upon to provide 100% power most of the time. Intermittent resources (nondispatchable) such as solar photovoltaics or wind do not exhibit as high ELCCs since they may not provide maximum capacity at the same time of PNM's peak. Also, capacity must be available to meet demand that exceeds the power provided by baseload and available renewable energy generators. As the sun sets, the solar resource powerbecomes unavailable, therefore if there is no demand reduction, or the demand reduction is less than solar resource supply reduction, the peak need for non-solar resources may shift later than the peak hour of maximum customer demand. The demand that must be served by dispatchable resources after the renewable and other non-dispatchable resource availability is referred to as the net demand.

Net demand is important because increasing solar penetration levels can shift the peak hour and impact portfolio resource selection. As such, assigning ELCC values for all existing and future renewable resources is needed for accurate resource modeling. PNM relies on manufacturer data as well as historical data to set the ELCCs for solar. On PNM's existing system, approximately 65 MW of private PV systems and 107 MW of universal solar are installed. PNM also anticipates an additional 30 MW of universal solar associated with the data center customer and 50 MW for 2020 RPS compliance by the end of 2019.

Because of the lack of historical data for the private PV systems, PNM relied on NREL data to determine the ELCC for fixed tilt PV systems. This assumption is expected to change once PNM has received enough historical data to reflect a more accurate range. Current installations of Integrated Data Recorders have only been in place for over a year and PNM expects to receive more data before determining if the ELCC proxy used from NREL is accurate enough for continued use. Because of the lack of historical data to create a trend for the purposes of this sensitivity PNM maintained a 4:00 PM, 56% ELCC assumption for private solar.

For universal solar (both fixed tilt and tracking); PNM relies on a mixture of historical data and manufacturer bids from a previous RFP to determine the starting point of the ELCC. PNM uses the same ELCC for existing installations on PNM's system: 56% for fixed tilt and 76% for tracking sytems at 4:00 PM. While this is not enough to shift the net peak hour today; by 2020 enough solar will be installed on PNM's system to shift the net peak hour by two hours

PNM examined the hourly load forecast to determine when the net peak hour occurs (Table 33) combined with the solar energy production for the peak month (Figure 9). Using this information, PNM developed solar tiers and the appropriate ELCCs to use for each tier. By 2023, universal solar additions are assumed to have a 35% ELCC that diminishes to 9% after 270 MW of tier 3 universal solar additions.

| Hour | Hour Ending MST | Hour Ending MDT | 2018 PNM Peak | Previous Hour MW Change | Solar PV Peak Contribution | Total Solar PV Needed to Shift Peak | Incremental Solar PV Needed to Shift Peak | Peak | Solar PV Tier |
|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|------------------|
| 1 | 1:00 AM | 2:00 AM | 1,065 | | 0% | | | | |
| 2 | 2:00 AM | 3:00 AM | 1,014 | | 0% | | | | |
| 3 | 3:00 AM | 4:00 AM | 988 | | 0% | | | | |
| 4 | 4:00 AM | 5:00 AM | 973 | | 0% | | | | |
| 5 | 5:00 AM | 6:00 AM | 975 | | 0% | | | | |
| 6 | 6:00 AM | 7:00 AM | 1,045 | | 8% | | | | |
| 7 | 7:00 AM | 8:00 AM | 1,140 | | 49% | | | | |
| 8 | 8:00 AM | 9:00 AM | 1,236 | | 83% | | | | |
| 9 | 9:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 1,361 | | 90% | | | | |
| 10 | 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 1,474 | | 91% | | | | |
| 11 | 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 1,582 | | 89% | | | | |
| 12 | 12:00 PM | 1:00 PM | 1,663 | | 88% | | | | |
| 13 | 1:00 PM | 2:00 PM | 1,756 | | 87% | | | | |
| 14 | 2:00 PM | 3:00 PM | 1,828 | | 84% | | | | |
| 15 | 3:00 PM | 4:00 PM | 1,869 | | 78% | | | | |
| 16 | 4:00 PM | 5:00 PM | 1,900 | 31.1 | 67% | 62 | 62 | Peak Hour | Tier 1 |
| 17 | 5:00 PM | 6:00 PM | 1,877 | -23.1 | 56 % | 161 | 100 | Peak Hour +1 | Tier 2 |
| 18 | 6:00 PM | 7:00 PM | 1,817 | -59.5 | 35% | 431 | 270 | Peak Hour +2 | Tier 3 |
| 19 | 7:00 PM | 8:00 PM | 1,683 | -134.3 | 9% | 0 | 0 | Peak Hour +3 | No ELCC |
| 20 | 8:00 PM | 9:00 PM | 1,641 | -42.4 | 0% | 0 | 0 | Peak Hour +4 | No ELCC |
| 21 | 9:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 1,576 | | 0% | | | | |
| 22 | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 1,419 | | 0% | | | | |
| 23 | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 1,263 | | 0% | | | | |
| 24 | 12:00 AM | 1:00 AM | 1,159 | | 0% | | | | |

Table 33. 2018 Solar Energy Production Over Peak Hours



APPENDIX L. TOP RANKED PORTFOLIOS FOR EACH OF 21 SJGS CONTINUES SCENARIOS

| | Table 34. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = LOW, CO ₂ = LOW) | | | | | | | |
|------|---|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) | | |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,789,274 | 1,301 | 1,682 | \$6,442,966,234 | | |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) | | |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,216,803 | 971 | 1,471 | 24.57 | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) | | |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,826,392 | 890 | 1,290 | \$6,439,770,742 | | |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) | | |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,547,834 | 821 | 1,137 | \$26,871,633 | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) | | |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,413,544 | 779 | 1,061 | 74,366,833 | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) | | |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 2,295,063 | 747 | 985 | \$21,723,545 | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 25.24 | 2,586,265 | 837 | 1,004 | 864 | | |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | |
| 2024 | | 24.54 | 2,645,776 | 866 | 1,022 | 1078 | | |
| 2025 | | 24.06 | 2,555,075 | 841 | 996 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) | | |
| 2026 | | 23.65 | 2,484,900 | 840 | 990 | 42.868 | | |
| 2027 | | 23.02 | 2,548,766 | 853 | 1,012 | Outside Adjustment 1 | | |
| 2028 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 16.09 | 2,508,139 | 843 | 1,001 | \$0 | | |
| 2029 | | 15.41 | 2,406,776 | 825 | 974 | Outside Adjustment 2 | | |
| 2030 | | 14.30 | 2,400,781 | 838 | 980 | \$0 | | |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.85 | 2,436,124 | 830 | 989 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 | | |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.40 | 2,432,784 | 834 | 988 | \$0 | | |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.96 | 2,452,182 | 843 | 995 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 | | |
| 2034 | | 14.61 | 2,444,855 | 839 | 992 | \$0 | | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.95 | 2,412,292 | 828 | 985 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments | | |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.66 | 2,466,467 | 841 | 986 | \$6,442,966,234 | | |
| | | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments | | |
| | | | | | | \$6,439,770,742 | | |

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,789,274 | 1,301 | 1,682 | \$6,618,698,875 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,213,530 | 972 | 1,472 | 24.70 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,818,348 | 892 | 1,292 | \$6,618,642,974 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,535,775 | 824 | 1,138 | \$50,367,651 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,403,789 | 781 | 1,062 | 74,770,652 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 2,290,912 | 751 | 987 | \$132,397,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 25.24 | 2,597,847 | 846 | 1,015 | 868 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2024 | | 24.54 | 2,644,379 | 871 | 1,027 | 1082 |
| 2025 | | 24.06 | 2,597,191 | 857 | 1,019 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2026 | | 23.65 | 2,489,091 | 847 | 998 | 43.165 |
| 2027 | | 23.02 | 2,538,641 | 856 | 1,013 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2028 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 16.09 | 2,497,831 | 846 | 1,002 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 15.41 | 2,415,365 | 833 | 983 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2030 | | 14.30 | 2,404,500 | 844 | 986 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.85 | 2,426,032 | 834 | 990 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.40 | 2,426,667 | 838 | 990 | \$0 |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.96 | 2,453,473 | 848 | 1,000 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2034 | | 14.61 | 2,440,197 | 844 | 995 | \$0 |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.95 | 2,403,923 | 831 | 987 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.66 | 2,458,612 | 844 | 987 | \$6,618,698,875 |
| | | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | | | | | \$6,618,642,974 |

Table 35. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = MID, CO₂ = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,789,212 | 1,301 | 1,682 | \$6,800,808,469 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,209,340 | 973 | 1,473 | 24.69 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,813,106 | 894 | 1,293 | \$6,802,949,822 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,532,923 | 824 | 1,138 | \$76,913,716 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,400,092 | 782 | 1,063 | 74,838,244 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 2,288,109 | 751 | 987 | \$251,846,552 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 25.24 | 2,593,166 | 847 | 1,015 | 869 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2024 | | 24.54 | 2,639,874 | 872 | 1,028 | 1082 |
| 2025 | | 24.06 | 2,592,968 | 858 | 1,019 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2026 | | 23.65 | 2,484,988 | 848 | 998 | 43.216 |
| 2027 | | 23.02 | 2,534,722 | 857 | 1,013 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2028 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 16.09 | 2,494,045 | 847 | 1,002 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 15.41 | 2,411,284 | 834 | 983 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2030 | | 14.30 | 2,400,194 | 845 | 987 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.85 | 2,422,331 | 834 | 990 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.40 | 2,423,787 | 839 | 990 | \$0 |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.96 | 2,450,593 | 849 | 1,000 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2034 | | 14.61 | 2,436,965 | 844 | 996 | \$0 |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.95 | 2,400,587 | 832 | 987 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.66 | 2,451,766 | 843 | 984 | \$6,800,808,469 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | | | | | \$6,802,949,822 |

Table 36. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = HIGH, CO₂ = HIGH)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,789,274 | 1,301 | 1,682 | \$6,467,719,859 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,213,530 | 972 | 1,472 | 24.70 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,818,348 | 892 | 1,292 | \$6,468,229,668 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,535,775 | 824 | 1,138 | \$33,404,583 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,403,789 | 781 | 1,062 | 74,776,856 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 2,290,707 | 751 | 987 | \$0 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 25.24 | 2,597,212 | 846 | 1,015 | 868 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2024 | | 24.54 | 2,643,993 | 872 | 1,028 | 1082 |
| 2025 | | 24.06 | 2,596,968 | 857 | 1,019 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2026 | | 23.65 | 2,488,939 | 847 | 998 | 43.169 |
| 2027 | | 23.02 | 2,538,154 | 856 | 1,013 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2028 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 16.09 | 2,497,512 | 847 | 1,002 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 15.41 | 2,414,931 | 833 | 983 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2030 | | 14.30 | 2,404,045 | 844 | 986 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.85 | 2,425,504 | 834 | 990 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.40 | 2,426,354 | 838 | 990 | \$0 |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.96 | 2,453,038 | 848 | 1,000 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2034 | | 14.61 | 2,439,904 | 844 | 995 | \$0 |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.95 | 2,403,389 | 832 | 987 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.66 | 2,458,075 | 844 | 987 | \$6,467,719,859 |
| | | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | | | | | \$6,468,229,668 |

Table 37. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$0)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,757,443 | 1,290 | 1,666 | \$6,896,169,109 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,163,723 | 958 | 1,446 | 24.92 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,804,507 | 886 | 1,281 | \$6,891,809,192 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,540,289 | 823 | 1,137 | \$93,000,510 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,405,630 | 781 | 1,062 | 74,617,194 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 2,291,879 | 751 | 987 | \$382,759,803 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 25.24 | 2,598,595 | 845 | 1,015 | 866 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2024 | | 24.54 | 2,645,569 | 871 | 1,027 | 1080 |
| 2025 | | 24.06 | 2,598,409 | 857 | 1,018 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2026 | | 23.65 | 2,489,490 | 847 | 998 | 43.050 |
| 2027 | | 23.02 | 2,538,450 | 856 | 1,013 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2028 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 16.09 | 2,497,865 | 846 | 1,002 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 15.41 | 2,415,412 | 833 | 983 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2030 | | 14.30 | 2,404,540 | 844 | 986 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.85 | 2,426,979 | 833 | 990 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.40 | 2,427,620 | 838 | 990 | \$0 |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.96 | 2,454,155 | 848 | 1,000 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2034 | | 14.61 | 2,441,131 | 843 | 995 | \$0 |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.95 | 2,404,877 | 831 | 987 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.66 | 2,459,267 | 844 | 987 | \$6,896,169,109 |
| | | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | | | | | \$6,891,809,192 |

Table 38. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$8 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,515,537 | 1,234 | 1,580 | \$7,530,336,672 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 2,925,973 | 902 | 1,336 | 25.68 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,632,968 | 846 | 1,201 | \$7,507,917,843 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,438,735 | 797 | 1,088 | \$209,045,342 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,319,387 | 759 | 1,021 | 73,019,761 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 2,240,323 | 736 | 962 | \$932,570,746 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 25.24 | 2,532,639 | 826 | 985 | 848 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2024 | | 24.54 | 2,583,832 | 854 | 1,000 | 1049 |
| 2025 | | 24.06 | 2,559,613 | 844 | 1,000 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2026 | | 23.65 | 2,466,402 | 838 | 985 | 41.827 |
| 2027 | | 23.02 | 2,506,985 | 845 | 997 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2028 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 16.09 | 2,477,543 | 838 | 990 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 15.41 | 2,383,515 | 822 | 967 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2030 | | 14.30 | 2,376,310 | 834 | 972 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.85 | 2,404,442 | 825 | 978 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.40 | 2,411,145 | 831 | 981 | \$0 |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.96 | 2,418,342 | 837 | 983 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2034 | | 14.61 | 2,423,548 | 836 | 986 | \$0 |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.95 | 2,381,933 | 823 | 974 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.66 | 2,418,844 | 831 | 967 | \$7,530,336,672 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | | | | | \$7,507,917,843 |

Table 39. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$20 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,043,424 | 1,127 | 1,414 | \$8,531,905,469 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 2,549,248 | 815 | 1,164 | 26.56 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,317,093 | 773 | 1,057 | \$8,492,925,877 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,156,194 | 732 | 961 | \$401,793,360 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,074,898 | 703 | 912 | 67,495,085 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 2,032,733 | 690 | 872 | \$1,722,418,557 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 25.24 | 2,253,929 | 760 | 875 | 781 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2024 | | 24.54 | 2,315,254 | 789 | 895 | 932 |
| 2025 | | 24.06 | 2,314,540 | 785 | 903 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2026 | | 23.65 | 2,257,829 | 787 | 900 | 37.601 |
| 2027 | | 23.02 | 2,279,806 | 789 | 905 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2028 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 16.09 | 2,266,783 | 787 | 904 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 15.41 | 2,190,690 | 774 | 887 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2030 | | 14.30 | 2,166,038 | 782 | 884 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.85 | 2,190,249 | 772 | 889 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2032 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.25 | 2,182,458 | 767 | 869 | |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.82 | 2,166,508 | 767 | 864 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.49 | 2,176,365 | 768 | 867 | \$0 |
| 2035 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.09 | 2,010,925 | 707 | 774 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.05 | 2,081,819 | 742 | 816 | \$8,531,905,469 |
| | | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | | | | | \$8,492,925,877 |

Table 40. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$40 NMPRC)
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,822,171,344 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,383,234 | 986 | 1,476 | 29.68 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,127,484 | 919 | 1,312 | \$6,814,259,211 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,883,798 | 854 | 1,169 | \$44,844,728 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,850,014 | 825 | 1,115 | 92,875,548 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,817,420 | 800 | 1,049 | \$28,513,140 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,404,614 | 916 | 1,100 | 944 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1163 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,561,552 | 953 | 1,128 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,452,248 | 924 | 1,096 | 52.014 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,476,559 | 934 | 1,106 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,668,644 | 962 | 1,144 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,604,438 | 949 | 1,130 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,495,787 | 918 | 1,081 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,579,552 | 937 | 1,094 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,660,129 | 934 | 1,105 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,704,806 | 941 | 1,109 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,857,057 | 959 | 1,125 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,836,322 | 951 | 1,117 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,936,281 | 954 | 1,125 | \$6,822,171,344 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,111,888 | 984 | 1,154 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$6,814,259,211 |

Table 41. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = LOW, CO₂ = LOW)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,146,517,313 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.21 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,143,415,687 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,473,482 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,677,663 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,839,696 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1170 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.646 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,147 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,416 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,937 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,146,517,313 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,431 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,143,415,687 |

Table 42. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO₂ = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,360 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,469,083,531 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,375,457 | 988 | 1,478 | 30.94 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,111,376 | 923 | 1,315 | \$7,468,340,030 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,865,593 | 858 | 1,171 | \$127,289,631 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,830,211 | 829 | 1,117 | 90,463,988 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,810,643 | 806 | 1,054 | \$319,116,099 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,424,871 | 933 | 1,119 | 915 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1108 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,548,525 | 964 | 1,137 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,522,625 | 953 | 1,132 | 51.029 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,488,772 | 952 | 1,124 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,636,883 | 970 | 1,147 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,580,231 | 958 | 1,135 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,492,482 | 931 | 1,093 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.20 | 3,385,757 | 908 | 1,039 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.20 | 3,214,313 | 846 | 959 | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.32 | 3,265,991 | 854 | 966 | \$0 |
| 2033 | | 18.30 | 3,386,216 | 871 | 984 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2034 | | 16.42 | 3,399,884 | 869 | 982 | \$7,469,083,531 |
| 2035 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.55 | 3,206,532 | 813 | 904 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.60 | 3,371,804 | 843 | 935 | \$7,468,340,030 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |

Table 43. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = HIGH, CO₂ = HIGH)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,946,189,906 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.57 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$6,944,021,923 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$71,922,296 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,713,633 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,814,581 | 805 | 1,054 | \$0 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,431,983 | 932 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1170 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,556,424 | 962 | 1,137 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,339 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.657 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,044 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,644,981 | 968 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,361 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,498,859 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,572,996 | 947 | 1,103 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,631,545 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,080 | 949 | 1,115 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,839,582 | 968 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,810 | 962 | 1,126 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,269 | 962 | 1,129 | \$6,946,189,906 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,074,980 | 990 | 1,156 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$6,944,021,923 |

Table 44. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$0)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,788,877 | 1,292 | 1,666 | \$7,465,410,969 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,328,996 | 973 | 1,451 | 32.18 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,098,557 | 913 | 1,301 | \$7,456,872,246 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,873,924 | 856 | 1,170 | \$122,329,346 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,837,603 | 827 | 1,116 | 93,300,529 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,999 | 805 | 1,054 | \$462,475,297 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,435,707 | 931 | 1,118 | 948 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1164 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,560,379 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,533,607 | 950 | 1,131 | 52.413 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,498,889 | 949 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,732 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,769 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,776 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,614 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,635,167 | 939 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,692,348 | 948 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,842,497 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,827,562 | 960 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,912,911 | 960 | 1,128 | \$7,465,410,969 |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.20 | 3,883,336 | 948 | 1,094 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$7,456,872,246 |

Table 45. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$8 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,544,650 | 1,235 | 1,579 | \$8,228,244,547 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,076,490 | 915 | 1,339 | 30.64 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 2,907,933 | 870 | 1,219 | \$8,194,766,799 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,757,481 | 827 | 1,119 | \$242,030,982 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,733,095 | 802 | 1,071 | 88,222,767 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,737,888 | 786 | 1,021 | \$1,100,800,862 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,319,280 | 901 | 1,075 | 893 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1077 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,465,871 | 938 | 1,102 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,455,528 | 930 | 1,102 | 49.263 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,439,614 | 932 | 1,100 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,592,052 | 951 | 1,125 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,543,930 | 942 | 1,116 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,443,551 | 913 | 1,070 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.20 | 3,354,687 | 892 | 1,021 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.20 | 3,181,625 | 832 | 944 | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.32 | 3,235,727 | 842 | 952 | \$0 |
| 2033 | | 18.30 | 3,348,713 | 857 | 967 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2034 | | 16.42 | 3,369,031 | 856 | 968 | \$8,228,244,547 |
| 2035 | | 14.35 | 3,412,811 | 852 | 968 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.60 | 3,335,228 | 829 | 919 | \$8,194,766,799 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |

Table 46. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$20 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,067,887 | 1,127 | 1,413 | \$9,373,826,219 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 2,682,915 | 826 | 1,168 | 23.63 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 2,541,817 | 790 | 1,065 | \$9,321,873,354 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,430,115 | 756 | 984 | \$435,211,503 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,435,268 | 737 | 952 | 76,252,396 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,483,696 | 732 | 925 | \$1,915,872,908 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.99 | 2,716,930 | 771 | 870 | 763 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 870 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2024 | Wind (100 MW) | 18.75 | 2,643,915 | 755 | 820 | 41.366 |
| 2025 | | 17.55 | 2,677,203 | 754 | 830 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2026 | | 16.44 | 2,670,384 | 760 | 831 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 15.18 | 2,753,992 | 767 | 844 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.27 | 2,762,379 | 767 | 845 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.74 | 2,682,357 | 744 | 812 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2030 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.64 | 2,619,878 | 728 | 774 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.15 | 2,605,977 | 698 | 742 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.28 | 2,667,763 | 710 | 753 | \$0 |
| 2033 | | 18.26 | 2,730,866 | 719 | 762 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2034 | | 16.38 | 2,761,899 | 720 | 765 | \$9,373,826,219 |
| 2035 | | 14.31 | 2,786,102 | 715 | 765 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | 15.31 | 2,956,894 | 751 | 806 | \$9,321,873,354 |

Table 47. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$40 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,275 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$7,431,760,922 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,432,369 | 985 | 1,464 | 23.49 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,120,682 | 901 | 1,264 | \$7,420,233,624 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$74,992,256 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,959,011 | 838 | 1,119 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 109,903,731 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$35,092,028 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,139,995 | 831 | 1,094 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 972 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 3,330,147 | 832 | 1,068 | 1161 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 17.82 | 4,047,735 | 933 | 1,093 | 58.769 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | | 14.44 | 4,387,894 | 975 | 1,125 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2025 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.05 | 4,378,465 | 955 | 1,103 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 18.46 | 4,445,043 | 965 | 1,112 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | | 16.76 | 4,662,534 | 988 | 1,140 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 16.25 | 4,659,065 | 982 | 1,134 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | | 14.53 | 4,671,480 | 972 | 1,119 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.13 | 4,777,903 | 984 | 1,122 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.91 | 4,907,741 | 985 | 1,134 | \$7,431,760,922 |
| 2032 | | 16.65 | 5,002,732 | 994 | 1,140 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | | 14.46 | 5,160,942 | 1,003 | 1,143 | \$7,420,233,624 |
| 2034 | Aeroderivatives (80 MW) | 15.20 | 5,202,705 | 999 | 1,142 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.32 | 5,330,895 | 1,000 | 1,142 | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 14.29 | 5,488,282 | 1,013 | 1,149 | |

Table 48. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = LOW, CO₂ = LOW)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,275 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$7,967,321,313 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,428,305 | 986 | 1,465 | 23.09 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,110,649 | 903 | 1,266 | \$7,962,572,037 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$148,465,950 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,942,983 | 842 | 1,120 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 108,407,537 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$211,601,519 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,122,953 | 835 | 1,096 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 959 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 3,325,470 | 839 | 1,074 | 1135 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 17.82 | 4,076,979 | 950 | 1,112 | 58.657 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | | 14.44 | 4,373,806 | 985 | 1,133 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2025 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.05 | 4,455,920 | 982 | 1,135 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 18.46 | 4,467,746 | 983 | 1,130 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | | 16.76 | 4,629,631 | 995 | 1,142 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 16.25 | 4,637,565 | 990 | 1,139 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | | 14.53 | 4,667,982 | 984 | 1,129 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.13 | 4,764,487 | 995 | 1,130 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.91 | 4,884,713 | 995 | 1,140 | \$7,967,321,313 |
| 2032 | Wind (100 MW) | 16.84 | 4,742,597 | 962 | 1,090 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.82 | 4,653,196 | 931 | 1,038 | \$7,962,572,037 |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.14 | 4,696,945 | 931 | 1,039 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.49 | 4,635,166 | 900 | 999 | |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 14.46 | 4,844,047 | 925 | 1,022 | |

Table 49. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, CO₂ = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,207 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$8,431,056,625 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,424,113 | 987 | 1,466 | 20.89 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,105,327 | 904 | 1,267 | \$8,430,176,858 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$159,936,993 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,939,049 | 842 | 1,121 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 96,437,922 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$343,661,755 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,115,323 | 837 | 1,096 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 849 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 3,318,560 | 841 | 1,075 | 969 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.24 | 3,538,160 | 848 | 958 | 53.643 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.81 | 3,764,589 | 874 | 969 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2025 | | 16.63 | 3,904,756 | 883 | 990 | \$0 |
| 2026 | Wind (100 MW) | 15.29 | 3,670,069 | 839 | 920 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.33 | 3,678,486 | 823 | 897 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.07 | 3,498,766 | 782 | 841 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.63 | 3,551,827 | 786 | 846 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2030 | | 17.59 | 3,585,227 | 791 | 841 | \$8,431,056,625 |
| 2031 | | 15.30 | 3,747,652 | 799 | 865 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.40 | 3,721,359 | 784 | 838 | \$8,430,176,858 |
| 2033 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.99 | 3,807,904 | 788 | 839 | |
| 2034 | | 16.81 | 3,906,207 | 798 | 853 | |
| 2035 | | 14.51 | 4,041,847 | 804 | 866 | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.79 | 4,257,782 | 833 | 895 | |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | |

Table 50. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = HIGH, CO₂ = HIGH)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,275 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$7,725,801,906 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,428,305 | 986 | 1,465 | 23.45 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,110,649 | 903 | 1,266 | \$7,721,569,541 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$138,506,487 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,942,983 | 842 | 1,120 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 109,000,382 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,122,953 | 835 | 1,096 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 964 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 3,324,670 | 839 | 1,074 | 1142 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 17.82 | 4,074,581 | 950 | 1,112 | 58.869 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | | 14.44 | 4,371,334 | 986 | 1,133 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2025 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.05 | 4,454,696 | 982 | 1,135 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 18.46 | 4,467,107 | 983 | 1,130 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | | 16.76 | 4,626,860 | 995 | 1,143 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 16.25 | 4,636,566 | 991 | 1,140 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | | 14.53 | 4,666,077 | 985 | 1,130 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.13 | 4,761,140 | 997 | 1,131 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.91 | 4,882,430 | 996 | 1,141 | \$7,725,801,906 |
| 2032 | | 16.65 | 4,995,665 | 1,007 | 1,151 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.64 | 4,897,444 | 974 | 1,095 | \$7,721,569,541 |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.14 | 4,698,894 | 932 | 1,040 | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | |] |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.49 | 4,637,443 | 901 | 1,000 | 1 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | 1 |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 14.46 | 4,838,531 | 926 | 1,022 |] |

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,827,045 | 1,294 | 1,666 | \$8,315,786,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,377,517 | 972 | 1,439 | 22.30 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,093,057 | 896 | 1,254 | \$8,305,444,101 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$176,389,715 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,949,389 | 840 | 1,120 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 106,472,706 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$520,090,844 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,125,407 | 835 | 1,095 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 941 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 3,327,698 | 839 | 1,074 | 1110 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 17.82 | 4,079,163 | 949 | 1,111 | 57.730 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | | 14.44 | 4,377,052 | 985 | 1,132 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2025 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.05 | 4,459,630 | 981 | 1,135 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 18.46 | 4,470,867 | 982 | 1,129 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | | 16.76 | 4,629,822 | 995 | 1,142 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 16.25 | 4,637,877 | 990 | 1,139 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | | 14.53 | 4,668,347 | 984 | 1,129 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.10 | 4,341,095 | 921 | 1,026 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$8,315,786,594 |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.87 | 4,452,969 | 919 | 1,035 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | | 16.62 | 4,561,449 | 930 | 1,045 | \$8,305,444,101 |
| 2033 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.61 | 4,482,408 | 901 | 996 | |
| 2034 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.58 | 4,418,534 | 882 | 972 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | |] |
| 2035 | Aeroderivatives (80 MW) | 15.10 | 4,538,822 | 883 | 976 |] |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15.06 | 4,759,103 | 910 | 1,002 |] |

Table 52. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$8 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,580,356 | 1,237 | 1,579 | \$9,165,350,906 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,146,485 | 919 | 1,339 | 19.32 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 2,919,986 | 857 | 1,182 | \$9,129,204,277 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$279,333,155 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,822,039 | 810 | 1,067 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 99,251,186 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$1,212,175,288 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 2,998,535 | 806 | 1,047 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 875 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 3,216,729 | 813 | 1,034 | 1014 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 17.82 | 3,956,892 | 921 | 1,073 | 53.867 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.65 | 4,028,052 | 915 | 1,035 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.20 | 3,690,926 | 832 | 922 | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2026 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.29 | 3,706,958 | 836 | 922 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 18.56 | 3,885,916 | 854 | 945 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.03 | 3,902,708 | 851 | 942 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 16.28 | 3,918,114 | 846 | 936 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2030 | | 14.29 | 4,041,769 | 865 | 949 | \$9,165,350,906 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.25 | 4,051,094 | 845 | 933 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$9,129,204,277 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.95 | 4,153,699 | 856 | 944 | |
| 2033 | | 16.72 | 4,333,554 | 873 | 959 | |
| 2034 | | 14.58 | 4,372,747 | 872 | 959 | 1 |
| 2035 | Aeroderivatives (80 MW) | 15.10 | 4,484,676 | 872 | 962 | 1 |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15.06 | 4,717,541 | 900 | 990 | |

Table 53. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$20 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,098,453 | 1,128 | 1,413 | \$10,400,759,000 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 2,742,724 | 829 | 1,167 | 19.86 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 2,553,399 | 778 | 1,033 | \$10,341,291,297 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$461,943,287 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,476,653 | 738 | 935 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 84,203,344 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$2,075,756,067 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 2,661,177 | 737 | 927 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 738 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 2,869,261 | 746 | 920 | 813 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.46 | 2,852,275 | 707 | 758 | 44.929 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.65 | 2,847,651 | 689 | 713 | \$0 |
| | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | | 17.46 | 2,983,162 | 701 | 736 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2026 | | 15.90 | 3,039,540 | 712 | 748 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.23 | 3,119,839 | 714 | 751 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.46 | 3,123,442 | 708 | 743 | \$10,400,759,000 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.12 | 3,048,413 | 684 | 711 | \$10,341,291,297 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.30 | 3,090,921 | 691 | 710 | |
| 2031 | | 16.98 | 3,215,848 | 696 | 728 | |
| 2032 | | 14.76 | 3,345,254 | 713 | 746 | |
| 2033 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.09 | 3,422,345 | 716 | 747 | 1 |
| 2034 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.61 | 3,502,680 | 724 | 758 | 1 |
| 2035 | Ŭ X / | 16.28 | 3,632,845 | 729 | 771 | 1 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.12 | 3,841,276 | 758 | 800 |] |

Table 54. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$40 NMPRC)

APPENDIX M. TOP RANKED PORTFOLIOS FOR EACH OF 21 SJGS RETIRES SCENARIOS

| | Table 33. 2017 INT . 3300 Netlies III 2022 (LOAD - LOW, OAD - LOW, $O_2 - LOW$) | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) | | | |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,789,274 | 1,301 | 1,682 | \$5,803,282,750 | | | |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) | | | |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,256,735 | 978 | 1,482 | 9.39 | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) | | | |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,786,239 | 883 | 1,278 | \$5,796,418,336 | | | |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) | | | |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,598,976 | 829 | 1,150 | \$66,225,810 | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) | | | |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,505,585 | 793 | 1,085 | 54,215,922 | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) | | | |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 1,940,595 | 679 | 855 | \$13,670,602 | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) | | | |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 18.50 | 1,148,102 | 527 | 470 | 646 | | | |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 694 | | | |
| 2024 | | 17.81 | 1,165,853 | 546 | 475 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) | | | |
| 2025 | | 17.35 | 1,108,325 | 528 | 461 | 26.914 | | | |
| 2026 | | 16.98 | 1,053,983 | 529 | 448 | Outside Adjustment 1 | | | |
| 2027 | | 16.38 | 1,081,345 | 529 | 456 | \$0 | | | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 17.32 | 1,007,480 | 520 | 436 | Outside Adjustment 2 | | | |
| 2029 | | 16.64 | 1,002,323 | 517 | 435 | \$0 | | | |
| 2030 | | 15.52 | 1,002,586 | 528 | 436 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 | | | |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 16.05 | 986,628 | 510 | 431 | \$0 | | | |
| 2032 | | 14.53 | 956,938 | 512 | 422 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 | | | |
| 2033 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.15 | 996,398 | 518 | 432 | \$0 | | | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.81 | 959,577 | 514 | 423 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments | | | |
| 2035 | | 14.16 | 979,550 | 508 | 428 | \$5,803,282,750 | | | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.13 | 1,082,104 | 545 | 472 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments | | | |
| | | | | | | \$5,796,418,336 | | | |

Table 55. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = LOW, CO₂ = LOW)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,789,274 | 1,301 | 1,682 | \$6,184,130,719 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,253,464 | 979 | 1,483 | 8.53 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,778,060 | 885 | 1,280 | \$6,177,892,527 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,587,131 | 832 | 1,152 | \$132,243,170 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,495,425 | 795 | 1,086 | 53,652,788 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 1,926,309 | 685 | 857 | \$80,267,478 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 18.50 | 1,100,014 | 539 | 460 | 637 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 658 |
| 2024 | | 17.81 | 1,118,420 | 558 | 466 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.35 | 1,053,490 | 542 | 449 | 27.108 |
| 2026 | | 16.98 | 997,393 | 542 | 435 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 16.38 | 1,034,695 | 541 | 446 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 17.32 | 959,734 | 532 | 425 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | | 16.64 | 954,734 | 529 | 424 | \$0 |
| 2030 | | 15.52 | 955,674 | 540 | 425 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.18 | 783,728 | 479 | 345 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.79 | 747,746 | 479 | 333 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.21 | 737,941 | 470 | 320 | \$0 |
| 2034 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.94 | 707,395 | 467 | 310 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.16 | 677,868 | 446 | 293 | \$6,184,130,719 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.13 | 765,833 | 480 | 332 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | | | | | \$6,177,892,527 |

Table 56. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = MID, CO₂ = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,789,212 | 1,301 | 1,682 | \$6,488,710,063 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,249,282 | 980 | 1,484 | 7.84 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,772,693 | 887 | 1,280 | \$6,489,712,844 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,584,543 | 833 | 1,152 | \$114,965,662 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,492,456 | 796 | 1,086 | 47,662,900 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 1,917,470 | 687 | 856 | \$123,893,207 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.22 | 590,429 | 410 | 239 | 555 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 511 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | 25.806 |
| 2024 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.78 | 559,449 | 413 | 223 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2025 | | 15.34 | 543,671 | 408 | 222 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 14.97 | 516,863 | 413 | 215 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2027 | | 14.38 | 498,831 | 397 | 205 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.34 | 481,782 | 401 | 202 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2029 | | 14.67 | 484,199 | 401 | 205 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.73 | 449,126 | 399 | 188 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2031 | | 14.13 | 464,759 | 391 | 197 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.68 | 461,072 | 399 | 197 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.11 | 422,427 | 380 | 174 | \$6,488,710,063 |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.79 | 420,529 | 387 | 176 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.02 | 417,782 | 372 | 171 | \$6,489,712,844 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.22 | 358,808 | 357 | 142 | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |

Table 57. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = HIGH, CO₂ = HIGH)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,789,274 | 1,301 | 1,682 | \$6,092,810,438 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,253,464 | 979 | 1,483 | 8.91 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,778,060 | 885 | 1,280 | \$6,086,474,054 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,587,131 | 832 | 1,152 | \$129,284,208 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,495,425 | 795 | 1,086 | 53,924,848 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 1,926,104 | 685 | 857 | \$0 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 18.50 | 1,095,004 | 540 | 459 | 641 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 663 |
| 2024 | | 17.81 | 1,112,551 | 559 | 465 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.35 | 1,050,661 | 543 | 448 | 27.209 |
| 2026 | | 16.98 | 996,466 | 542 | 435 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 16.38 | 1,029,707 | 542 | 444 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 17.32 | 955,313 | 534 | 424 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | | 16.64 | 950,257 | 530 | 423 | \$0 |
| 2030 | | 15.52 | 951,628 | 542 | 425 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.18 | 780,349 | 480 | 345 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.79 | 745,637 | 480 | 333 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.40 | 779,484 | 486 | 342 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 14.06 | 750,385 | 484 | 334 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.41 | 763,094 | 477 | 338 | \$6,092,810,438 |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.13 | 763,559 | 482 | 332 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$6,086,474,054 |

Table 58. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = MID, $CO_2 =$ \$0)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,757,443 | 1,290 | 1,666 | \$6,421,886,969 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,202,872 | 965 | 1,456 | 8.57 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,759,240 | 878 | 1,266 | \$6,412,339,241 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,591,644 | 831 | 1,151 | \$153,855,861 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,497,264 | 795 | 1,086 | 53,270,726 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 1,928,655 | 685 | 857 | \$294,758,834 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 18.50 | 1,104,195 | 537 | 460 | 632 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 652 |
| 2024 | | 17.81 | 1,122,632 | 557 | 467 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.35 | 1,060,853 | 540 | 450 | 26.917 |
| 2026 | | 16.98 | 1,002,709 | 541 | 436 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 16.38 | 1,035,463 | 541 | 446 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 17.32 | 960,261 | 532 | 425 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | | 16.64 | 955,521 | 528 | 424 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Wind (100 MW) | 15.78 | 789,400 | 493 | 347 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | | 14.18 | 787,946 | 478 | 347 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.79 | 751,973 | 478 | 334 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.21 | 741,424 | 469 | 321 | \$0 |
| 2034 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.94 | 711,378 | 466 | 311 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.16 | 682,191 | 445 | 294 | \$6,421,886,969 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.13 | 769,116 | 479 | 333 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | | | | | \$6,412,339,241 |

Table 59. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$8 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,515,537 | 1,234 | 1,580 | \$6,898,057,516 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 2,985,659 | 914 | 1,356 | 7.44 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,579,168 | 835 | 1,183 | \$6,881,643,369 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,495,181 | 806 | 1,105 | \$208,909,999 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,420,570 | 775 | 1,049 | 49,778,306 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 1,906,660 | 674 | 842 | \$692,965,379 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 18.77 | 944,467 | 486 | 387 | 587 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 580 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2024 | | 18.08 | 954,761 | 505 | 391 | 25.409 |
| 2025 | | 17.63 | 901,348 | 490 | 376 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2026 | | 17.25 | 849,542 | 490 | 363 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 16.65 | 875,958 | 488 | 370 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 17.59 | 815,268 | 482 | 352 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 16.90 | 805,080 | 477 | 350 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2030 | Wind (100 MW) | 16.04 | 658,970 | 445 | 281 | \$0 |
| 2031 | | 14.44 | 657,238 | 432 | 281 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2032 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.04 | 625,092 | 432 | 270 | \$0 |
| 2033 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.46 | 616,479 | 423 | 259 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2034 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.01 | 567,421 | 412 | 237 | \$6,898,057,516 |
| 2035 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.41 | 568,771 | 402 | 237 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.37 | 647,489 | 434 | 272 | \$6,881,643,369 |

Table 60. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$20 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,043,424 | 1,127 | 1,414 | \$7,630,563,813 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 2,609,954 | 827 | 1,185 | 7.46 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,261,336 | 762 | 1,036 | \$7,614,744,445 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,213,053 | 742 | 979 | \$341,245,007 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,180,205 | 721 | 944 | 46,570,815 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 1,734,275 | 634 | 764 | \$1,287,887,611 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 18.77 | 964,114 | 482 | 392 | 547 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 519 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2024 | Wind (100 MW) | 18.36 | 812,886 | 456 | 325 | 23.388 |
| 2025 | | 17.90 | 770,118 | 442 | 313 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2026 | | 17.52 | 721,222 | 442 | 299 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 16.92 | 741,346 | 439 | 305 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 17.86 | 695,425 | 434 | 291 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 17.17 | 680,232 | 429 | 287 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2030 | | 16.04 | 679,851 | 440 | 287 | \$0 |
| 2031 | | 14.44 | 678,009 | 426 | 287 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2032 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.04 | 646,159 | 427 | 276 | \$0 |
| 2033 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.46 | 636,789 | 418 | 265 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2034 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.01 | 586,707 | 407 | 243 | \$7,630,563,813 |
| 2035 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.41 | 588,159 | 398 | 243 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.13 | 609,758 | 407 | 245 | \$7,614,744,445 |

Table 61. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$40 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,369,241,156 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,422,782 | 993 | 1,487 | 17.02 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,086,454 | 912 | 1,299 | \$6,365,591,056 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,928,001 | 861 | 1,182 | \$113,134,043 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,928,592 | 837 | 1,136 | 68,149,922 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,429,765 | 727 | 917 | \$18,690,673 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Aeroderivatives (80 MW) | 14.07 | 1,665,784 | 573 | 549 | 696 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 759 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 30.351 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.67 | 1,737,030 | 596 | 563 | \$0 |
| 2025 | | 20.42 | 1,725,015 | 583 | 559 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | | 19.28 | 1,727,429 | 590 | 560 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 17.98 | 1,793,958 | 598 | 572 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.03 | 1,754,635 | 592 | 563 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 16.67 | 1,806,061 | 594 | 571 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2030 | | 14.97 | 1,867,034 | 610 | 582 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.19 | 1,906,992 | 602 | 588 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | | 19.11 | 1,924,025 | 607 | 588 | \$6,369,241,156 |
| 2033 | | 17.10 | 2,040,410 | 623 | 607 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2034 | | 15.22 | 2,045,152 | 620 | 607 | \$6,365,591,056 |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.81 | 2,127,422 | 623 | 618 | |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.62 | 2,093,190 | 619 | 598 |] |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | |

Table 62. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = LOW, CO₂ = LOW)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,982,684,359 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 16.55 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,992,040,928 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$184,746,914 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 61,884,891 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$97,203,172 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Aeroderivatives (80 MW) | 14.07 | 1,619,630 | 583 | 543 | 629 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 638 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 29.402 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2024 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.33 | 1,556,513 | 576 | 511 | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.24 | 1,290,574 | 511 | 421 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.05 | 1,287,469 | 517 | 420 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.03 | 1,183,638 | 480 | 376 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.14 | 1,162,792 | 479 | 370 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.34 | 1,195,492 | 480 | 377 | \$0 |
| 2030 | | 19.58 | 1,245,235 | 495 | 388 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 17.46 | 1,284,033 | 488 | 396 | \$6,982,684,359 |
| 2032 | | 15.44 | 1,297,019 | 494 | 397 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.24 | 1,344,569 | 494 | 399 | \$6,992,040,928 |
| 2034 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.26 | 1,350,810 | 497 | 400 | |
| 2035 | | 18.12 | 1,418,254 | 497 | 413 | |
| 2036 | | 15.73 | 1,581,959 | 532 | 454 | |

Table 63. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO₂ = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,360 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,378,949,828 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,415,016 | 995 | 1,489 | 16.41 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,069,838 | 915 | 1,301 | \$7,396,797,795 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,910,535 | 865 | 1,183 | \$197,969,570 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,910,054 | 841 | 1,137 | 55,532,008 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,409,932 | 738 | 922 | \$153,953,272 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.05 | 916,275 | 430 | 298 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 520 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | 28.197 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2024 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.84 | 772,848 | 400 | 242 | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2025 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.62 | 779,562 | 398 | 246 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 14.53 | 795,286 | 408 | 251 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.10 | 774,345 | 389 | 237 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.21 | 784,838 | 396 | 242 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.41 | 828,324 | 401 | 254 | \$7,378,949,828 |
| 2030 | | 19.65 | 830,029 | 405 | 251 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 17.52 | 886,831 | 404 | 266 | \$7,396,797,795 |
| 2032 | | 15.51 | 918,281 | 414 | 274 | |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.26 | 953,595 | 415 | 278 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.10 | 984,542 | 424 | 287 | 1 |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.69 | 1,063,922 | 428 | 306 | 1 |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15.29 | 1,089,673 | 437 | 309 | |

Table 64. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = HIGH, CO2 = HIGH)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,873,440,516 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 16.60 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,880,952,891 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$199,112,232 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 62,309,380 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,417,507 | 736 | 922 | \$0 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Aeroderivatives (80 MW) | 14.07 | 1,614,968 | 584 | 542 | 634 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 643 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 29.501 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2024 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.33 | 1,550,900 | 578 | 510 | \$0 |
| 2025 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.07 | 1,530,798 | 567 | 505 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.22 | 1,342,005 | 531 | 441 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.03 | 1,178,917 | 481 | 375 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.14 | 1,159,014 | 480 | 369 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.34 | 1,191,665 | 481 | 377 | \$0 |
| 2030 | | 19.58 | 1,241,906 | 496 | 388 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 17.46 | 1,280,192 | 490 | 395 | \$6,873,440,516 |
| 2032 | | 15.44 | 1,295,579 | 496 | 397 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.24 | 1,343,979 | 496 | 400 | \$6,880,952,891 |
| 2034 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.26 | 1,350,469 | 499 | 401 | |
| 2035 | | 18.12 | 1,420,134 | 499 | 414 |] |
| 2036 | | 15.73 | 1,584,760 | 535 | 456 | |

Table 65. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$0)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,788,877 | 1,292 | 1,666 | \$7,240,964,781 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,366,586 | 979 | 1,462 | 16.43 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,055,264 | 905 | 1,286 | \$7,247,436,170 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,918,469 | 863 | 1,183 | \$203,626,615 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,915,926 | 840 | 1,137 | 61,138,580 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,419,326 | 736 | 922 | \$329,374,316 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.07 | 1,301,320 | 511 | 432 | 622 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 627 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 29.139 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2024 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.57 | 1,366,472 | 532 | 446 | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.24 | 1,296,565 | 510 | 422 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.05 | 1,292,488 | 516 | 421 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.03 | 1,183,892 | 480 | 376 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.14 | 1,163,144 | 479 | 370 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.34 | 1,196,027 | 480 | 377 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2030 | | 19.58 | 1,245,929 | 495 | 388 | \$7,240,964,781 |
| 2031 | | 17.46 | 1,287,528 | 488 | 396 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | | 15.44 | 1,300,681 | 494 | 398 | \$7,247,436,170 |
| 2033 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.24 | 1,347,728 | 494 | 400 | |
| 2034 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.26 | 1,352,684 | 496 | 400 |] |
| 2035 | | 18.12 | 1,421,098 | 496 | 413 |] |
| 2036 | | 15.73 | 1,584,802 | 531 | 454 |] |

Table 66. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$8 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,544,650 | 1,235 | 1,579 | \$7,758,111,797 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,133,530 | 926 | 1,359 | 17.21 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 2,860,167 | 861 | 1,202 | \$7,758,075,950 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,807,502 | 836 | 1,133 | \$234,581,508 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,818,860 | 816 | 1,095 | 55,000,706 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,391,251 | 724 | 906 | \$752,702,618 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.34 | 1,035,861 | 444 | 338 | 556 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 529 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 27.315 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.88 | 1,028,482 | 451 | 330 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.55 | 995,089 | 437 | 319 | \$0 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.36 | 1,014,480 | 447 | 325 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.34 | 870,003 | 402 | 270 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 879,929 | 409 | 274 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.94 | 928,084 | 414 | 287 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.05 | 889,031 | 408 | 269 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.28 | 944,985 | 407 | 284 | \$7,758,111,797 |
| 2032 | | 18.22 | 979,825 | 418 | 292 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | | 16.22 | 1,014,966 | 418 | 296 | \$7,758,075,950 |
| 2034 | | 14.36 | 1,049,711 | 427 | 306 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.69 | 1,086,105 | 420 | 309 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | |] |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15.29 | 1,126,759 | 430 | 316 | |

Table 67. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$20 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,067,887 | 1,127 | 1,413 | \$8,557,164,422 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 2,741,048 | 837 | 1,188 | 16.01 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 2,491,750 | 780 | 1,047 | \$8,558,942,133 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,480,594 | 765 | 1,000 | \$372,270,583 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,525,339 | 753 | 979 | 51,312,645 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 17.16 | 2,016,307 | 636 | 746 | \$1,392,139,401 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | 516 |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.58 | 892,560 | 403 | 286 | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 473 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 24.997 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2024 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.13 | 891,533 | 410 | 280 | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.79 | 867,513 | 397 | 272 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2026 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.53 | 849,062 | 397 | 263 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.10 | 822,046 | 379 | 248 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.21 | 832,882 | 385 | 252 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.41 | 875,479 | 389 | 264 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2030 | | 19.65 | 875,650 | 394 | 260 | \$8,557,164,422 |
| 2031 | | 17.52 | 930,083 | 393 | 275 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | | 15.51 | 963,364 | 403 | 283 | \$8,558,942,133 |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.26 | 996,221 | 404 | 286 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.10 | 1,030,047 | 413 | 296 |] |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.69 | 1,107,582 | 416 | 313 |] |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15.29 | 1,152,429 | 425 | 320 |] |

Table 68. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$40 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,275 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$7,128,486,672 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,471,672 | 993 | 1,476 | 15.97 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,081,239 | 894 | 1,252 | \$7,118,365,693 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$147,563,295 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 3,006,100 | 846 | 1,131 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 77,934,319 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$22,394,702 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,224,166 | 845 | 1,116 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 689 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 2,908,200 | 756 | 936 | 737 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | Aeroderivatives (80 MW) | 14.65 | 1,934,440 | 552 | 524 | 41.735 |
| | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$0 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.21 | 2,133,262 | 581 | 550 | \$0 |
| 2025 | | 17.02 | 2,209,547 | 578 | 558 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2026 | | 15.47 | 2,245,380 | 587 | 563 | \$7,128,486,672 |
| 2027 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.30 | 2,337,463 | 594 | 574 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.72 | 2,348,891 | 593 | 573 | \$7,118,365,693 |
| 2029 | | 18.93 | 2,422,568 | 597 | 582 | |
| 2030 | | 16.90 | 2,517,285 | 612 | 593 | |
| 2031 | | 14.62 | 2,589,331 | 608 | 600 | |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.32 | 2,646,111 | 615 | 605 | |
| 2033 | | 17.08 | 2,794,922 | 629 | 621 | |
| 2034 | | 14.94 | 2,844,069 | 630 | 625 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.06 | 2,961,038 | 635 | 636 | |
| 2036 | Small GT (85 MW) | 14.48 | 3,160,522 | 663 | 665 | |

Table 69. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = LOW, CO₂ = LOW)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,275 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$7,956,383,250 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,467,606 | 993 | 1,477 | 15.92 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,070,905 | 896 | 1,253 | \$7,949,786,936 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$250,176,385 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,990,417 | 849 | 1,133 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 70,102,498 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$116,360,413 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,206,960 | 848 | 1,117 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 619 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 2,901,000 | 766 | 944 | 619 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 14.18 | 1,640,309 | 516 | 451 | 37.738 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.75 | 1,777,012 | 535 | 464 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2025 | | 16.57 | 1,875,826 | 543 | 482 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 15.02 | 1,927,103 | 554 | 491 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.78 | 1,853,217 | 525 | 460 | \$7,956,383,250 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.50 | 1,712,285 | 500 | 422 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$7,949,786,936 |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.55 | 1,621,441 | 475 | 392 | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2030 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.12 | 1,652,200 | 481 | 392 | |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.89 | 1,752,077 | 484 | 409 | |
| 2032 | | 16.64 | 1,822,083 | 495 | 420 | |
| 2033 | | 14.45 | 1,905,554 | 499 | 427 | |
| 2034 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.97 | 1,979,088 | 509 | 440 | |
| 2035 | | 16.63 | 2,113,412 | 515 | 458 | |
| 2036 | | 14.11 | 2,261,929 | 538 | 480 | |

Table 70. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, CO₂ = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,207 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$8,577,938,031 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,463,429 | 994 | 1,478 | 15.45 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,065,382 | 897 | 1,254 | \$8,572,219,692 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$344,460,287 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,986,882 | 850 | 1,133 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 67,654,787 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$207,902,149 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,201,386 | 850 | 1,118 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 597 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 2,893,348 | 768 | 944 | 581 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 14.13 | 1,341,156 | 465 | 368 | 36.410 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.33 | 1,270,654 | 445 | 329 | \$0 |
| | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | | | | | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$8,577,938,031 |
| 2025 | | 17.15 | 1,359,500 | 452 | 347 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2026 | | 15.59 | 1,409,649 | 464 | 357 | \$8,572,219,692 |
| 2027 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.58 | 1,442,731 | 457 | 357 | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.09 | 1,484,846 | 465 | 366 | |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.64 | 1,571,091 | 473 | 382 | |
| 2030 | | 18.58 | 1,601,267 | 479 | 382 | |
| 2031 | | 16.27 | 1,702,943 | 480 | 399 | |
| 2032 | | 14.07 | 1,774,752 | 493 | 411 | |
| 2033 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.66 | 1,858,974 | 497 | 418 | |
| 2034 | | 16.49 | 1,926,444 | 507 | 430 | |
| 2035 | | 14.20 | 2,067,914 | 513 | 450 | |
| 2036 | Small GT (85 MW) | 14.62 | 2,201,776 | 533 | 469 | |

Table 71. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = HIGH, CO₂ = HIGH)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,275 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$7,819,999,719 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,467,606 | 993 | 1,477 | 15.68 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,070,905 | 896 | 1,253 | \$7,811,435,867 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$288,790,746 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,990,417 | 849 | 1,133 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 75,337,757 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,206,960 | 848 | 1,117 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 666 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 2,900,217 | 766 | 944 | 687 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | Aeroderivatives (80 MW) | 14.65 | 1,877,743 | 563 | 518 | 40.192 |
| | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$0 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.21 | 2,076,564 | 592 | 544 | \$0 |
| 2025 | | 17.02 | 2,142,497 | 591 | 552 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2026 | | 15.47 | 2,180,304 | 599 | 556 | \$7,819,999,719 |
| 2027 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.02 | 2,091,019 | 569 | 520 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2028 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.13 | 2,097,372 | 571 | 520 | \$7,811,435,867 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.87 | 1,980,573 | 541 | 482 | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2030 | | 18.81 | 2,068,671 | 556 | 494 | |
| 2031 | | 16.49 | 2,140,503 | 553 | 503 | |
| 2032 | | 14.29 | 2,196,994 | 561 | 509 | |
| 2033 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.88 | 2,342,131 | 574 | 527 | |
| 2034 | | 16.70 | 2,383,178 | 578 | 532 | |
| 2035 | | 14.41 | 2,509,361 | 581 | 545 | |
| 2036 | Small GT (85 MW) | 14.82 | 2,701,311 | 609 | 575 | |

Table 72. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, $CO_2 =$ \$0)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,827,045 | 1,294 | 1,666 | \$8,231,061,531 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,413,728 | 979 | 1,450 | 15.55 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,050,676 | 888 | 1,240 | \$8,221,225,037 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$249,013,890 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,996,736 | 848 | 1,132 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 68,105,777 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$358,194,702 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,209,477 | 848 | 1,117 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 601 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 2,902,408 | 766 | 944 | 594 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 14.13 | 1,364,713 | 460 | 371 | 36.642 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.70 | 1,495,219 | 479 | 387 | \$0 |
| 2025 | | 16.53 | 1,590,753 | 486 | 406 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2026 | | 14.98 | 1,638,788 | 498 | 415 | \$8,231,061,531 |
| 2027 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.18 | 1,494,773 | 459 | 368 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$8,221,225,037 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.70 | 1,538,599 | 468 | 378 | |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.55 | 1,622,284 | 475 | 392 | |
| 2030 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.12 | 1,653,195 | 481 | 392 | |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.89 | 1,755,368 | 483 | 410 | |
| 2032 | | 16.64 | 1,825,368 | 494 | 420 | |
| 2033 | | 14.45 | 1,911,749 | 498 | 427 | |
| 2034 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.97 | 1,983,715 | 508 | 440 | |
| 2035 | | 16.63 | 2,118,741 | 514 | 458 | |
| 2036 | | 14.11 | 2,268,367 | 537 | 481 | |

Table 73. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$8 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,580,356 | 1,237 | 1,579 | \$8,819,688,781 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,201,935 | 930 | 1,358 | 15.49 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 2,871,672 | 848 | 1,165 | \$8,799,660,385 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$300,204,051 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,873,062 | 819 | 1,081 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 66,327,519 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$867,746,450 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,083,911 | 819 | 1,069 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 585 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 2,843,772 | 749 | 920 | 575 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 14.13 | 1,378,957 | 457 | 373 | 35.459 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.91 | 1,337,359 | 444 | 343 | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2025 | · · · · · | 16.74 | 1,430,997 | 451 | 362 | \$8,819,688,781 |
| 2026 | | 15.19 | 1,480,148 | 463 | 371 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2027 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.18 | 1,509,295 | 457 | 370 | \$8,799,660,385 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.70 | 1,553,215 | 465 | 379 | |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.55 | 1,635,927 | 472 | 394 | |
| 2030 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.12 | 1,666,542 | 478 | 394 | |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.89 | 1,765,907 | 480 | 411 | |
| 2032 | | 16.64 | 1,835,589 | 492 | 421 | |
| 2033 | | 14.45 | 1,918,305 | 496 | 428 | |
| 2034 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.97 | 1,992,428 | 506 | 441 | |
| 2035 | | 16.63 | 2,125,029 | 512 | 459 | |
| 2036 | | 14.11 | 2,273,164 | 535 | 481 | |

Table 74. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$20 NMPRC)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,098,453 | 1,128 | 1,413 | \$9,751,297,250 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 2,799,363 | 840 | 1,187 | 15.25 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 2,503,345 | 768 | 1,016 | \$9,728,805,064 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$427,901,213 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,528,213 | 746 | 950 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 62,793,140 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$1,626,183,184 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 2,748,714 | 751 | 951 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 554 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 17.18 | 2,382,171 | 654 | 758 | 535 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | 33.116 |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 14.35 | 1,242,825 | 419 | 332 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$0 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.33 | 1,332,878 | 432 | 338 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | | | | | \$9,751,297,250 |
| 2025 | | 17.15 | 1,423,457 | 439 | 357 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2026 | | 15.59 | 1,475,157 | 451 | 367 | \$9,728,805,064 |
| 2027 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.58 | 1,503,592 | 445 | 366 | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.09 | 1,545,166 | 453 | 374 | |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.64 | 1,629,662 | 460 | 389 | |
| 2030 | | 18.58 | 1,658,608 | 467 | 389 | |
| 2031 | | 16.27 | 1,754,270 | 469 | 405 | |
| 2032 | | 14.07 | 1,827,130 | 481 | 416 | |
| 2033 | Large GT (187 MW) | 18.66 | 1,907,597 | 485 | 423 | |
| 2034 | | 16.49 | 1,976,918 | 495 | 434 | |
| 2035 | | 14.20 | 2,112,539 | 502 | 453 | |
| 2036 | Small GT (85 MW) | 14.62 | 2,246,005 | 522 | 472 | |

Table 75. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, CO₂ = \$40 NMPRC)

APPENDIX N. MCEP LOADS (MW) AND RESOURCES TABLE

| | | | | | | Т | able 76. | MCEP L | .oads (N | IW) and | Resour | ces | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|--------|----------|---------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |
| Forecasted System Peak | 1,911 | 1,961 | 2,009 | 2,056 | 2,108 | 2,154 | 2,189 | 2,219 | 2,249 | 2,280 | 2,312 | 2,344 | 2,378 | 2,413 | 2,448 | 2,485 | 2,522 | 2,560 | 2,599 | 2,638 |
| Forecasted Incremental | (23) | (36) | (51) | (63) | (77) | (89) | (103) | (113) | (120) | (129) | (136) | (138) | (146) | (147) | (142) | (138) | (135) | (134) | (129) | (122) |
| Forecasted Incremental Customer Sited PV | (18) | (25) | (32) | (32) | (32) | (33) | (34) | (35) | (36) | (37) | (38) | (39) | (40) | (41) | (42) | (43) | (44) | (45) | (47) | (48) |
| Net System Peak Demand | 1,871 | 1,900 | 1,926 | 1,961 | 1,999 | 2,033 | 2,053 | 2,071 | 2,093 | 2,114 | 2,138 | 2,168 | 2,193 | 2,225 | 2,265 | 2,304 | 2,343 | 2,381 | 2,423 | 2,468 |
| Four Corners | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Juan | 783 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | | | | | | | | | | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Coal Resources | 983 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Verde I Inits 1 & 2 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 |
| Palo Verde Unit 3 | 200 | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 13/ | 134 |
| Total Nuclear | 269 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 104 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 104 | 402 |
| Resources | 200 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 |
| Reeves | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 |
| Afton | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 |
| Lordsburg | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Luna | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 |
| Rio Bravo | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | - |
| Valencia | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | | | - | - | | | - | - | - |
| | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Natural Gas-Fired | | +0 | | +0 | | +0 | | | +0 | | | | | +0 | +0 | | | +0 | | 210 |
| Resource (intermediate) | | | _ | | | | | - | | - | - | | | | | | | | | 210 |
| Natural Gas-Fired Resource (neaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | 40 |
| Natural Gas-Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Natural Gas-Fired | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Netural Cap Fired | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 |
| Resource (peaking) | | - | | - | | - | | - | | | | - T | | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 |
| Natural Gas-Fired | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Resource (peaking) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas-Fired | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Resource (peaking) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas-Fired | - | - | - | - | - | - | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Resource (peaking) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas-Fired | - | - | - | - | - | - | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Resource (peaking) | | | | | | | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 | 407 |
| Natural Gas-Fired | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Natural Cas Fired | | | | | | | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 | 197 |
| Resource (neaking) | | - | - | - | - | - | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 |
| Total Natural Gas | 981 | 981 | 981 | 981 | 981 | 981 | 1,437 | 1,437 | 1,437 | 1,477 | 1,477 | 1,514 | 1,514 | 1,701 | 1,701 | 1,888 | 1,888 | 1,928 | 1,968 | 2,040 |
| Total Demand | 45 | 47 | 48 | 50 | 51 | 53 | 54 | 56 | 57 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Response Programs (Net of losses) | | | | | | | 2. | 2.0 | | 20 | 20 | 10 | 20 | | | 2.0 | 20 | | | 50 |
| Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Wind Purchase (NMWEC) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Wind Purchase (Red Mesa) | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | - |
| Prosperity Battery Demo | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (22 MW - 2012 REPP) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (20 MW - 2013 REPP) | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (23 MW - 2014 REPP) | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (40 MW - 2015 REPP) | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 |
| PNM Sky Blue - 1.5 MW Solar | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Dale Burgett Geothermal Plant | 1 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 20 MW | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 40 MW | - | - | - | - | - | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 30 MW | - | - | - | - | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 30 MW | - | - | - | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 40 MW | - | - | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 30 MW | - | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Data Center 1 Wind - 30 MW | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Data Center 1 Wind - 50 MW | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Data Center 1 Wind - 50 MW | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Data Center 1 Wind - 50 MW | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Solar PV for 2020 RPS | - | - | 7 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Wind for 2020 RPS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Renewable Resources | 86 | 108 | 151 | 189 | 213 | 244 | 255 | 272 | 307 | 306 | 306 | 305 | 327 | 327 | 326 | 331 | 330 | 330 | 346 | 341 |
| Total System Resources | 2,363 | 2,235 | 2,279 | 2,318 | 2,344 | 2,377 | 2,348 | 2,367 | 2,403 | 2,444 | 2,445 | 2,481 | 2,503 | 2,690 | 2,689 | 2,681 | 2,680 | 2,720 | 2,776 | 2,843 |
| Reserve Margin | 492 | 335 | 353 | 357 | 344 | 344 | 296 | 296 | 310 | 330 | 307 | 313 | 310 | 465 | 425 | 377 | 337 | 339 | 353 | 375 |
| Reserve Margin (%) | 26.3% | 17.6% | 18.3% | 18.2% | 17.2% | 16.9% | 14.4% | 14.3% | 14.8% | 15.6% | 14.4% | 14.5% | 14.2% | 20.9% | 18.7% | 16.4% | 14.4% | 14.2% | 14.6% | 15.2% |

| | | | | Table | e 77. Alte | ernate Po | ortfolio · | - PVNGS | S Leased | d Capac | ity Load | s and R | esource | s Table | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------|-----------|------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |
| Forecasted System Peak Demand | 1,911 | 1,961 | 2,009 | 2,056 | 2,108 | 2,154 | 2,189 | 2,219 | 2,249 | 2,280 | 2,312 | 2,344 | 2,378 | 2,413 | 2,448 | 2,485 | 2,522 | 2,560 | 2,599 | 2,638 |
| Forecasted Incremental Energy Efficiency | (23) | (36) | (51) | (63) | (77) | (89) | (103) | (113) | (120) | (129) | (136) | (138) | (146) | (147) | (142) | (138) | (135) | (134) | (129) | (122) |
| Forecasted Incremental Customer Sited PV | (18) | (25) | (32) | (32) | (32) | (33) | (34) | (35) | (36) | (37) | (38) | (39) | (40) | (41) | (42) | (43) | (44) | (45) | (47) | (48) |
| Net System Peak Demand | 1,871 | 1,900 | 1,926 | 1,961 | 1,999 | 2,033 | 2,053 | 2,071 | 2,093 | 2,114 | 2,138 | 2,168 | 2,193 | 2,225 | 2,265 | 2,304 | 2,343 | 2,381 | 2,423 | 2,468 |
| Four Corners | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Juan | 783 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Coal Resources | 983 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Verde Units 1 & 2 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 164 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 |
| Palo Verde Unit 3 | - | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 |
| Total Nuclear Resources | 268 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 298 | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 | 288 |
| Reeves | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 |
| Afton | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 |
| Lordsburg | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Luna | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 |
| Rio Bravo | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | - |
| Valencia | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| La Luz | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 41 | 41 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (intermediate) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Total Natural Gas | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resources | 981 | 981 | 981 | 981 | 981 | 981 | 1,605 | 1,605 | 1,605 | 1,605 | 1,605 | 1,642 | 1,642 | 1,642 | 1,829 | 2,016 | 2,016 | 2,057 | 2,098 | 2,138 |
| Total Demand | 45 | 47 | 48 | 50 | 51 | 53 | 54 | 56 | 57 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| Response Programs (Net of losses) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Wind Purchase | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| (NMWEC) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wind Purchase (Red | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | - |
| Mesa) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prosperity Battery Demo | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (22 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| MW - 2012 REPP) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (20 MW - 2013 REPP) | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (23 MW - 2014 REPP) | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (40 MW - 2015 REPP) | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 |
| PNM Sky Blue - 1.5 MW Solar | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Dale Burgett Geothermal | 1 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 20 MW | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - | - | - | - | - | - | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 40 MW | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 MW | - | - | - | - | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 30 MW | - | - | - | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 40 MW | - | - | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 30 MW | - | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Total Renewable | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resources | 86 | 108 | 151 | 189 | 213 | 244 | 255 | 255 | 254 | 271 | 288 | 293 | 315 | 349 | 349 | 348 | 348 | 347 | 346 | 341 |
| Total System | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resources | 2,363 | 2,235 | 2,279 | 2,318 | 2,344 | 2,377 | 2,412 | 2,403 | 2,404 | 2,423 | 2,441 | 2,483 | 2,505 | 2,539 | 2,726 | 2,712 | 2,712 | 2,752 | 2,792 | 2,827 |
| Reserve Margin | 492 | 335 | 353 | 357 | 344 | 344 | 360 | 332 | 311 | 309 | 303 | 315 | 312 | 314 | 461 | 408 | 369 | 372 | 369 | 359 |
| Reserve Margin (%) | 26.3% | 17.6% | 18.3% | 18.2% | 17.2% | 16.9% | 17.5% | 16.1% | 14.9% | 14.6% | 14.2% | 14.5% | 14.2% | 14.1% | 20.4% | 17.7% | 15.7% | 15.6% | 15.2% | 14.5% |

| | | | | Table | e 78. Alte | ernate P | ortfolio | – Contir | iue Coal | Baselo | ad Load | s and Re | esource | s Table | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|---------|----------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |
| Forecasted System Peak Demand | 1,911 | 1,961 | 2,009 | 2,056 | 2,108 | 2,154 | 2,189 | 2,219 | 2,249 | 2,280 | 2,312 | 2,344 | 2,378 | 2,413 | 2,448 | 2,485 | 2,522 | 2,560 | 2,599 | 2,638 |
| Forecasted Incremental Energy Efficiency | (23) | (36) | (51) | (63) | (77) | (89) | (103) | (113) | (120) | (129) | (136) | (138) | (146) | (147) | (142) | (138) | (135) | (134) | (129) | (122) |
| Forecasted Incremental Customer Sited PV | (18) | (25) | (32) | (32) | (32) | (33) | (34) | (35) | (36) | (37) | (38) | (39) | (40) | (41) | (42) | (43) | (44) | (45) | (47) | (48) |
| Net System Peak Demand | 1,871 | 1,900 | 1,926 | 1,961 | 1,999 | 2,033 | 2,053 | 2,071 | 2,093 | 2,114 | 2,138 | 2,168 | 2,193 | 2,225 | 2,265 | 2,304 | 2,343 | 2,381 | 2,423 | 2,468 |
| Four Corners | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| San Juan | 783 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 |
| Total Coal Resources | 983 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 |
| Palo Verde Units 1 & 2 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 |
| Palo Verde Unit 3 | - | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 |
| Total Nuclear | 268 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 |
| Resources | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reeves | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 |
| Afton | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 |
| Lordsburg | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Luna | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 |
| Rio Bravo | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | - |
| Valencia | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| La Luz | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Natural Gas Fired | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 |
| Resource (peaking) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Fired | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Resource (peaking) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Fired | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Resource (peaking) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Fired | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Resource (peaking) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Fired | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Resource (peaking) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Fired | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Resource (peaking) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Iotal Natural Gas | 004 | 004 | 004 | 004 | 004 | 004 | 4 4 0 0 | 4 4 0 0 | 4 4 0 0 | 4 400 | 4 4 6 6 | 4 005 | 4 005 | 4.040 | 4 007 | 4 474 | 4 474 | 4 474 | 4 474 | 4 5 4 4 |
| Resources | 981 | 981 | 981 | 981 | 981 | 981 | 1,168 | 1,168 | 1,168 | 1,168 | 1,168 | 1,205 | 1,205 | 1,246 | 1,287 | 1,474 | 1,474 | 1,474 | 1,474 | 1,514 |
| Iotal Demand Response Programs (Net of losses) | 45 | 47 | 48 | 50 | 51 | 53 | 54 | 56 | 57 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |

| Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| Wind Purchase NMWEC) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Wind Purchase(RdMesa) | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | - |
| Prosperity Battery Demo | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (22 MW - 2012 REPP) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (20 MW - 2013 REPP) | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (23 MW - 2014 REPP) | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (40 MW - 2015 REPP) | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 |
| PNM Sky Blue - 1.5 MW Solar | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Dale Burgett Geothermal | 1 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 20 MW | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 40 MW | - | - | - | - | - | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 30 MW | - | - | - | - | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 30 MW | - | - | - | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 40 MW | - | - | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 30 MW | - | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Data Center 1 Wind - 30 MW | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Data Center 1 Wind - 50 MW | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Data Center 1 Wind - 50 MW | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Data Center 1 Wind - 50 MW | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | - | - | 7 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| NMWEC Repower/RPS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Renewable | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resources Total System | 86 | 108 | 151 | 189 | 213 | 244 | 255 | 255 | 254 | 254 | 253 | 253 | 270 | 269 | 269 | 268 | 268 | 267 | 266 | 278 |
| Resources | 2 363 | 2 235 | 2 279 | 2 318 | 2 344 | 2 377 | 2 472 | 2 463 | 2 464 | 2 465 | 2 466 | 2 503 | 2 520 | 2 560 | 2 601 | 2 787 | 2 787 | 2 786 | 2 785 | 2 837 |
| Reserve Margin | 492 | 335 | 353 | 357 | 344 | 344 | 336 | 408 | 381 | 360 | 340 | 317 | 323 | 315 | 324 | 472 | 432 | 394 | 350 | 357 |
| Reserve Margin (%) | 26.3% | 17.6% | 18.3% | 18.2% | 17.2% | 16.5% | 19.8% | 18.3% | 17.1% | 16.0% | 14 7% | 14.8% | 14.3% | 14.5% | 14.3% | 20.4% | 18.4% | 16.5% | 14.4% | 14 4% |
| Receive margin (70) | 20.070 | 17.070 | 10.070 | 10.270 | 11.270 | 10.078 | 10.078 | 10.070 | 11.170 | 10.070 | 14.170 | 17.070 | 14.070 | 14.070 | 17.070 | 20.770 | 10.470 | 10.070 | 77.77 | 17.770 |

| | | | Table | e 79. Alto | ernate P | ortfolio · | – Higheı | r than 50 | % Rene | wable E | nergy U | se Load | s and R | esource | s Table | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |
| Forecasted System Peak Demand | 1,911 | 1,961 | 2,009 | 2,056 | 2,108 | 2,154 | 2,189 | 2,219 | 2,249 | 2,280 | 2,312 | 2,344 | 2,378 | 2,413 | 2,448 | 2,485 | 2,522 | 2,560 | 2,599 | 2,638 |
| Forecasted Incremental Energy Efficiency | (23) | (36) | (51) | (63) | (77) | (89) | (103) | (113) | (120) | (129) | (136) | (138) | (146) | (147) | (142) | (138) | (135) | (134) | (129) | (122) |
| Forecasted Incremental Customer Sited PV | (18) | (25) | (32) | (32) | (32) | (33) | (34) | (35) | (36) | (37) | (38) | (39) | (40) | (41) | (42) | (43) | (44) | (45) | (47) | (48) |
| Net System Peak Demand | 1,871 | 1,900 | 1,926 | 1,961 | 1,999 | 2,033 | 2,053 | 2,071 | 2,093 | 2,114 | 2,138 | 2,168 | 2,193 | 2,225 | 2,265 | 2,304 | 2,343 | 2,381 | 2,423 | 2,468 |
| Four Corners | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | - | - | - | - | - |
| San Juan | 783 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | 497 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Coal Resources | 983 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 697 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Palo Verde Units 1 & 2 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 | 268 |
| Palo Verde Unit 3 | - | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 | 134 |
| Total Nuclear Resources | 268 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 | 402 |
| Reeves | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 |
| Afton | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 |
| Lordsburg | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Luna | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 | 189 |
| Rio Bravo | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 138 | - |
| Valencia | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| La Luz | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Natural Gas Fired Resource (peaking) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 | 187 |
| Total Natural Gas | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resources | 981 | 981 | 981 | 981 | 981 | 981 | 1,396 | 1,437 | 1,437 | 1,437 | 1,437 | 1,474 | 1,474 | 1,474 | 1,514 | 1,741 | 1,741 | 1,781 | 1,968 | 1,968 |
| Total Demand | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Response Programs (Net of losses) | 45 | 47 | 48 | 50 | 51 | 53 | 54 | 56 | 57 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |

| Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Wind Purchase NMWEC) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Wind Purchase(RdMesa) | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | - |
| Prosperity Battery Demo | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (22 MW - 2012 REPP) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (20 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (23 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Utility Scale Solar PV (40 MW - 2015 REPP) | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 |
| PNM Sky Blue - 1.5 MW | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Dale Burgett Geothermal | 1 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Wind | | | - | | | | | | | | - | | | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | | | - | | | | | | | | - | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | | | | | | | | | | | - | | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Solar PV | | | - | | | | | | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 50 MW/ Solar PV/ | | | | | | | | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 100 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 100 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 MW Solar PV | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 100 MW Wind | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 20 MW | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 40 MW | - | - | - | - | - | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 30 MW | - | - | - | - | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 30 MW | - | - | - | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 40 MW | - | - | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Data Center 1 Solar PV - 30 MW | - | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Data Center 1 Wind - 30 MW | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Data Center 1 Wind - 50 MW | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Data Center 1 Wind - 50 MW | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Data Center 1 Wind - 50 | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

| Description | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| MW | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | - | - | 7 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| NMWEC Repower for | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RPS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Renewable | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resources | 86 | 108 | 151 | 189 | 213 | 254 | 293 | 292 | 327 | 361 | 361 | 360 | 395 | 429 | 429 | 428 | 480 | 480 | 479 | 473 |
| Total System | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resources | 2,363 | 2,235 | 2,279 | 2,318 | 2,344 | 2,387 | 2,345 | 2,387 | 2,423 | 2,459 | 2,460 | 2,496 | 2,531 | 2,565 | 2,605 | 2,631 | 2,683 | 2,723 | 2,909 | 2,903 |
| Reserve Margin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 492 | 335 | 353 | 357 | 344 | 354 | 292 | 316 | 330 | 345 | 322 | 328 | 338 | 340 | 340 | 327 | 340 | 342 | 486 | 435 |
| Reserve Margin (%) | 26.3% | 17.6% | 18.3% | 18.2% | 17.2% | 17.4% | 14.2% | 15.3% | 15.8% | 16.3% | 15.1% | 15.1% | 15.4% | 15.3% | 15.0% | 14.2% | 14.5% | 14.4% | 20.0% | 17.6% |

APPENDIX O. Detailed Results of Sensitivity Analysis

Table 80. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - With PVNGS Lease Purchases included (U1 & U2) (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,285,698,016 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.6 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 31.90 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,283,879,132 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$71,808,495 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 85,225,792 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$155,383,927 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 15.73 | 2,839,310 | 795 | 903 | 860 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 1021 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.77 | 2,823,533 | 794 | 877 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.55 | 2,928,693 | 812 | 913 | 48.688 |
| 2026 | | 14.46 | 2,921,854 | 817 | 915 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.13 | 2,966,230 | 815 | 911 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.22 | 2,983,043 | 818 | 919 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.71 | 3,019,098 | 822 | 926 | \$0 |
| 2030 | | 14.04 | 3,008,410 | 823 | 913 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.24 | 3,116,862 | 828 | 937 | \$0 |
| 2032 | | 18.18 | 3,212,095 | 845 | 955 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 16.20 | 3,272,998 | 848 | 952 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 14.35 | 3,312,799 | 854 | 963 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.68 | 3,322,331 | 839 | 946 | \$7,285,698,016 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.68 | 3,418,391 | 855 | 955 | \$7,283,879,132 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,145,621,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 31.65 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,142,442,737 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,947,265 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,715,837 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,943,162 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1171 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.622 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.99 | 3,580,246 | 947 | 1,104 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | | 18.85 | 3,642,256 | 942 | 1,110 | \$0 |
| 2032 | | 16.82 | 3,699,112 | 951 | 1,117 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 14.86 | 3,850,884 | 969 | 1,134 | \$0 |
| 2034 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.74 | 3,830,596 | 962 | 1,127 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,145,621,594 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,431 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,142,442,737 |

Table 81. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases not available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,113,190,234 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.25 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,110,276,444 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,441,407 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,677,782 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,840,006 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1170 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.646 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,615 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,724 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,147 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,476 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,937 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,113,190,234 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,431 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,110,276,444 |

Table 82. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - SJGS Low Coal Pricing (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,218,745,688 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 31.87 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,215,108,777 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,581,272 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,677,103 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,838,291 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1170 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,822 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.646 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,675 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,477 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,633,005 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,128 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,283 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,788 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,781 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,218,745,688 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,341 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,215,108,777 |

Table 83. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - SJGS High Coal Pricing (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 6,487,258 | 1,360 | 1,658 | \$6,895,562,375 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 4,715,118 | 1,065 | 1,458 | 2.91 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 4,799,986 | 1,044 | 1,386 | \$6,827,550,883 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 4,626,821 | 997 | 1,291 | \$108,991,636 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 4,582,667 | 971 | 1,247 | 124,183,660 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 4,703,814 | 965 | 1,223 | \$230,590,720 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 4,902,924 | 1,025 | 1,200 | 1042 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1241 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 4,916,367 | 1,045 | 1,209 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 4,972,202 | 1,043 | 1,214 | 66.035 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 4,867,590 | 1,038 | 1,204 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 4,925,429 | 1,043 | 1,208 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 5,081,964 | 1,047 | 1,214 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 4,944,307 | 1,018 | 1,172 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 4,934,430 | 1,021 | 1,165 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 5,054,399 | 1,020 | 1,174 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 5,151,955 | 1,031 | 1,186 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 5,147,616 | 1,031 | 1,178 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 5,180,499 | 1,034 | 1,186 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 5,175,512 | 1,022 | 1,174 | \$6,895,562,375 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 5,303,700 | 1,042 | 1,187 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$6,827,550,883 |

Table 84. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - With PACE REF Market (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,092,972,609 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 30.91 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,090,349,146 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$95,404,324 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 89,994,615 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$167,151,382 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 915 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1147 |
| 2024 | · | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 50.409 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.21 | 4,449,674 | 873 | 1,137 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$0 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,092,972,609 |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.20 | 4,305,391 | 837 | 1,076 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$7,090,349,146 |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.05 | 4,313,730 | 830 | 1,073 | |
| 2035 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.18 | 4,057,480 | 770 | 992 | |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.42 | 4,340,080 | 817 | 1,043 | |

Table 85. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,010,699,469 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.21 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,007,597,983 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,473,542 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,677,663 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,839,696 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1170 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.646 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,147 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,416 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,937 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,010,699,469 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,431 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,007,597,983 |

Table 86. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - PVNGS Low Fuel and O&M (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,233,283,953 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.21 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,230,182,572 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,473,560 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,677,663 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,839,696 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1170 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.646 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,147 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,416 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,937 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,233,283,953 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,431 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,230,182,572 |

Table 87. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - PVNGS High Fuel and O&M (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,145,293,672 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.33 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,162,541,946 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$82,473,551 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,478,414 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,417,373 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 950 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1166 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.545 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,147 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,416 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,937 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,145,293,672 |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.20 | 3,881,317 | 949 | 1,094 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$7,162,541,946 |

Table 88. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - No Renewable Integration Costs (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,123,068,422 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 15.16 | 3,379,018 | 987 | 1,476 | 25.97 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 15.83 | 3,117,255 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,196,397,408 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 15.65 | 2,868,248 | 857 | 1,171 | \$87,803,633 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 14.66 | 2,834,863 | 828 | 1,116 | 94,555,795 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 15.87 | 2,879,463 | 820 | 1,080 | \$178,141,499 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 961 |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.12 | 3,428,838 | 930 | 1,117 | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 1185 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2024 | | 17.58 | 3,552,888 | 961 | 1,135 | 53.134 |
| 2025 | | 16.31 | 3,525,933 | 950 | 1,129 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2026 | | 15.15 | 3,492,309 | 949 | 1,122 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.74 | 3,637,390 | 966 | 1,143 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.82 | 3,578,293 | 954 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 14.49 | 3,592,672 | 950 | 1,125 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2030 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.62 | 3,679,855 | 969 | 1,138 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.35 | 3,737,274 | 963 | 1,143 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.12 | 3,794,682 | 972 | 1,150 | \$0 |
| 2033 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.15 | 3,945,132 | 989 | 1,164 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2034 | | 18.23 | 3,929,504 | 983 | 1,159 | \$7,123,068,422 |
| 2035 | | 16.13 | 4,023,507 | 983 | 1,163 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.50 | 4,173,557 | 1,009 | 1,185 | \$7,196,397,408 |

Table 89. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - No Demand Response (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.28 | 4,822,956 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,156,090,516 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.55 | 3,384,223 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.82 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.23 | 3,125,787 | 922 | 1,315 | \$7,157,484,106 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.03 | 2,880,528 | 858 | 1,172 | \$88,584,891 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.01 | 2,852,546 | 830 | 1,118 | 95,296,369 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.16 | 2,837,619 | 808 | 1,057 | \$180,004,113 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.37 | 3,465,373 | 934 | 1,121 | 961 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1182 |
| 2024 | | 17.82 | 3,596,641 | 965 | 1,140 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 16.54 | 3,576,331 | 954 | 1,135 | 53.359 |
| 2026 | | 15.36 | 3,551,463 | 954 | 1,128 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.02 | 3,708,941 | 972 | 1,151 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.03 | 3,655,563 | 961 | 1,139 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.03 | 3,679,592 | 958 | 1,134 | \$0 |
| 2030 | | 19.21 | 3,774,179 | 977 | 1,146 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | | 17.08 | 3,838,901 | 971 | 1,152 | \$0 |
| 2032 | | 15.07 | 3,900,094 | 980 | 1,159 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.85 | 4,044,639 | 996 | 1,171 | \$0 |
| 2034 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.68 | 4,027,299 | 989 | 1,165 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.06 | 3,910,328 | 947 | 1,103 | \$7,156,090,516 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.08 | 4,076,363 | 975 | 1,130 | \$7,157,484,106 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |

Table 90. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - 2017 IRP Low EE Forecast (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,419 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,122,257,281 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.60 | 3,379,667 | 987 | 1,477 | 31.84 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.33 | 3,117,070 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,129,335,300 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.19 | 2,866,498 | 857 | 1,171 | \$86,400,291 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.25 | 2,831,168 | 827 | 1,116 | 93,791,940 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.50 | 2,808,364 | 805 | 1,053 | \$176,182,497 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.87 | 3,421,587 | 930 | 1,117 | 959 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1185 |
| 2024 | | 18.46 | 3,541,254 | 961 | 1,135 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.32 | 3,508,251 | 949 | 1,129 | 52.732 |
| 2026 | | 16.29 | 3,467,721 | 947 | 1,121 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 15.11 | 3,609,601 | 965 | 1,143 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.29 | 3,543,092 | 952 | 1,130 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | | 14.07 | 3,549,211 | 948 | 1,124 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.36 | 3,622,003 | 966 | 1,135 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.21 | 3,667,119 | 958 | 1,138 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.07 | 3,715,530 | 966 | 1,144 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.23 | 3,860,209 | 984 | 1,160 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 18.41 | 3,835,955 | 977 | 1,154 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 16.35 | 3,921,078 | 977 | 1,157 | \$7,122,257,281 |
| 2036 | | 14.04 | 4,176,440 | 1,026 | 1,214 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | | | | | \$7,129,335,300 |

Table 91. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - 2017 IRP High EE Forecast (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| | | - | | <u> </u> | \ / | |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 24.76 | 4,972,419 | 1,310 | 1,682 | \$7,217,109,000 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | 2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.06 | 3,585,369 | 1,003 | 1,480 | 27.72 |
| | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,423,837,042 |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.85 | 3,418,692 | 952 | 1,342 | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$95,183,478 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.04 | 3,247,248 | 898 | 1,219 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 104,000,681 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 14.33 | 3,280,270 | 875 | 1,172 | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | \$198,115,195 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.76 | 3,331,593 | 864 | 1,130 | 982 |
| | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 1191 |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 17.14 | 3,955,810 | 970 | 1,155 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 57.324 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2024 | | 15.16 | 4,103,627 | 998 | 1,169 | \$0 |
| 2025 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.47 | 4,112,508 | 990 | 1,167 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.69 | 4,122,597 | 991 | 1,161 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.10 | 4,276,973 | 1,005 | 1,176 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.11 | 4,235,060 | 997 | 1,170 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.46 | 4,252,700 | 991 | 1,159 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2030 | | 18.74 | 4,334,471 | 1,005 | 1,166 | \$0 |
| 2031 | | 17.03 | 4,401,098 | 1,002 | 1,174 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | | 15.33 | 4,452,373 | 1,010 | 1,182 | \$7,217,109,000 |
| 2033 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.34 | 4,455,728 | 1,000 | 1,157 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2034 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14 | 4,239,800 | 959 | 1,101 | \$7,423,837,042 |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 4,036,950 | 907 | 1,030 | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19 | 4,277,910 | 951 | 1,079 | |

Table 92. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - No EE or DR beginning 2018 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 24.76 | 4,972,419 | 1,310 | 1,682 | \$7,147,454,781 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 15.40 | 3,572,726 | 1,001 | 1,475 | 44.34 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 15.26 | 3,389,038 | 945 | 1,330 | \$7,363,303,134 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.51 | 3,204,190 | 889 | 1,201 | \$87,799,092 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 14.86 | 3,281,229 | 876 | 1,172 | 100,254,278 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | \$188,703,961 |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 14.30 | 3,238,776 | 843 | 1,092 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 945 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 16.74 | 3,844,878 | 947 | 1,121 | 1134 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 55.574 |
| 2024 | | 14.84 | 3,999,416 | 977 | 1,137 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.17 | 3,915,987 | 950 | 1,106 | \$0 |
| 2026 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.51 | 3,911,872 | 949 | 1,099 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2027 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.76 | 4,079,204 | 966 | 1,118 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.76 | 4,034,363 | 957 | 1,110 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.84 | 4,051,271 | 952 | 1,102 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.88 | 4,133,781 | 967 | 1,110 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2031 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.16 | 3,834,822 | 892 | 1,014 | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.12 | 3,882,383 | 900 | 1,020 | \$7,147,454,781 |
| 2033 | | 18.36 | 4,006,192 | 915 | 1,034 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2034 | | 17 | 3,980,030 | 909 | 1,029 | \$7,363,303,134 |
| 2035 | | 15 | 4,037,084 | 907 | 1,030 | |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14 | 4,088,961 | 914 | 1,027 | |

Table 93. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - No EE (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,360 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,128,009,703 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,375,457 | 988 | 1,478 | 32.36 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,111,376 | 923 | 1,315 | \$7,145,098,367 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,865,593 | 858 | 1,171 | \$82,532,147 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,830,211 | 829 | 1,117 | 93,135,487 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,810,008 | 806 | 1,054 | \$28,645,975 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,424,108 | 933 | 1,119 | 946 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1158 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,547,298 | 965 | 1,138 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,522,756 | 953 | 1,132 | 52.406 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,488,777 | 952 | 1,124 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,635,772 | 970 | 1,147 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,580,073 | 958 | 1,135 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,492,133 | 932 | 1,093 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,563,025 | 950 | 1,104 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,624,337 | 942 | 1,108 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,682,179 | 951 | 1,116 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,830,203 | 970 | 1,132 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,816,664 | 964 | 1,127 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.59 | 3,623,608 | 907 | 1,044 | \$7,128,009,703 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.10 | 3,622,564 | 902 | 1,022 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$7,145,098,367 |

Table 94. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 (LOAD = MID, GAS = HIGH, CO2 = LOW)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,145,621,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 31.65 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,142,442,737 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,947,265 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,715,837 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,943,162 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1171 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.622 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.99 | 3,580,246 | 947 | 1,104 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | | 18.85 | 3,642,256 | 942 | 1,110 | \$0 |
| 2032 | | 16.82 | 3,699,112 | 951 | 1,117 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 14.86 | 3,850,884 | 969 | 1,134 | \$0 |
| 2034 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.74 | 3,830,596 | 962 | 1,127 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,145,621,594 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,431 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,142,442,737 |
| | | | | | | |

Table 95. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - Solar Sensitivity Base - 50 MW Distribution, 2x50 MW and 1x100 MW Transmission Solar PV Available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,143,405,844 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 31.88 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,140,264,226 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$84,304,785 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,319,648 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,076,613 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 948 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1164 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.416 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.99 | 3,580,246 | 947 | 1,104 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | | 18.85 | 3,642,256 | 942 | 1,110 | \$0 |
| 2032 | | 16.82 | 3,699,112 | 951 | 1,117 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 14.86 | 3,850,884 | 969 | 1,134 | \$0 |
| 2034 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.74 | 3,830,596 | 962 | 1,127 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.14 | 3,718,730 | 920 | 1,067 | \$7,143,405,844 |
| 2036 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.20 | 3,881,317 | 949 | 1,094 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,140,264,226 |

Table 96. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - Solar Sensitivity 0% Cost Escalation - 50 MW Distribution, 2x50 MW and 1x100 MW Transmission Solar PV Available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,164,132,016 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 43.38 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,162,158,904 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$73,071,453 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 88,087,967 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$161,929,617 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.66 | 3,426,656 | 930 | 1,116 | 878 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 1046 |
| 2024 | Solar PV Transmission (50 MW) -2024 PRICING | 14.07 | 3,454,513 | 938 | 1,099 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | Solar PV Transmission (100 MW) -2024 PRICING | 14.58 | 3,277,592 | 887 | 1,030 | 49.880 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.38 | 3,237,572 | 886 | 1,021 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.14 | 3,356,546 | 900 | 1,041 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.24 | 3,336,981 | 893 | 1,035 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) -2024 PRICING | 14.51 | 3,183,041 | 849 | 965 | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Transmission (50 MW) -2024 PRICING | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2030 | Solar PV Transmission (100 MW) -2024 PRICING | 14.42 | 3,134,258 | 831 | 921 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.16 | 3,211,783 | 828 | 932 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2032 | Solar PV Transmission (50 MW) -2024 PRICING | 14.47 | 3,151,162 | 794 | 872 | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Transmission (100 MW) -2024 PRICING | | | | | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.25 | 3,219,167 | 804 | 881 | \$7,164,132,016 |
| 2034 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15.44 | 3,236,314 | 803 | 880 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | Solar PV Transmission (50 MW) -2024 PRICING | 14 | 3,237,517 | 785 | 860 | \$7,162,158,904 |
| 2036 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19 | 3,412,996 | 824 | 904 | |

Table 97. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - Solar Sensitivity Declining Cost Curve - 50 MW Distribution, 2x50 MW and 1x100 MW Transmission Solar PV Available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,144,517,797 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.33 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,141,430,898 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$84,198,420 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,478,414 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,417,373 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 950 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1166 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.545 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,147 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,416 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,937 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,144,517,797 |
| 2036 | | 14.20 | 3,881,317 | 949 | 1,094 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | | | | | \$7,141,430,898 |

Table 98. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - Solar Sensitivity 0% Cost Escalation - 50 MW Distribution, 3x50 MW and 2x100 MW Transmission Solar PV Available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,146,517,313 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.21 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,143,415,687 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,473,482 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,677,663 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,839,696 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1170 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.646 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,147 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,416 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,937 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,146,517,313 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,431 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,143,415,687 |

Table 99. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 -Wind Sensitivity Base (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,145,605,688 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 31.63 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,142,557,225 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$82,529,469 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,216,868 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$174,863,012 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 947 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1162 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.429 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,147 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,416 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,937 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,145,605,688 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.10 | 3,632,162 | 899 | 1,021 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (200 MW) | | | | | \$7,142,557,225 |

Table 100. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - New Wind pricing at \$40/MWh (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWb1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | Tons1 | | lbs/MWh1 | |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,132,666,156 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 28.20 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,129,994,379 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$76,958,962 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 90,331,645 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$167,246,768 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 916 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1112 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 50.942 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.53 | 3,327,723 | 891 | 1,034 | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2030 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.08 | 3,137,001 | 850 | 955 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.28 | 3,205,574 | 846 | 963 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2032 | | 18.23 | 3,257,040 | 854 | 969 | \$0 |
| 2033 | | 16.24 | 3,403,664 | 875 | 992 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2034 | | 14.39 | 3,398,960 | 870 | 987 | \$7,132,666,156 |
| 2035 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.04 | 3,462,366 | 869 | 991 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14 | 3,632,162 | 899 | 1,021 | \$7,129,994,379 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | |

Table 101. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 -New Wind pricing at \$30/MWh (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,103,770,578 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 22.95 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,101,970,927 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$72,457,378 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 87,047,110 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$159,685,738 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.99 | 3,156,115 | 868 | 1,018 | 879 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1052 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2024 | Wind (100 MW) | 18.75 | 3,018,641 | 838 | 942 | 49.268 |
| 2025 | | 17.55 | 3,013,860 | 829 | 940 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2026 | | 16.44 | 2,970,703 | 827 | 931 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 15.18 | 3,097,262 | 843 | 955 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.27 | 3,077,378 | 836 | 947 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.76 | 3,068,047 | 832 | 942 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2030 | | 14.08 | 3,137,001 | 850 | 955 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.28 | 3,205,574 | 846 | 963 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2032 | | 18.23 | 3,257,040 | 854 | 969 | \$0 |
| 2033 | | 16.24 | 3,403,664 | 875 | 992 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2034 | | 14.39 | 3,398,960 | 870 | 987 | \$7,103,770,578 |
| 2035 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.04 | 3,462,366 | 869 | 991 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2036 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14 | 3,632,162 | 899 | 1,021 | \$7,101,970,927 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | |

Table 102. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 -New Wind pricing at \$20/MWh (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,146,517,313 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.21 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,143,415,687 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,473,482 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,677,663 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,839,696 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1170 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.646 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,147 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,416 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,937 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,146,517,313 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,431 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,143,415,687 |

Table 103. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 -New Wind pricing at \$46.85/MWh, 100 MW Sizing (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,146,517,313 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.21 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,143,415,687 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,473,482 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,677,663 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,839,696 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1170 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.646 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,147 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,416 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,937 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,146,517,313 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,431 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,143,415,687 |

Table 104. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - New Wind pricing at \$46.85/MWh, 50 MW Sizing (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,146,517,313 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.21 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,143,415,687 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,473,482 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,677,663 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,839,696 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1170 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.646 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,147 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,416 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,937 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,146,517,313 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,431 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,143,415,687 |

Table 105. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 -New Wind pricing at \$46.85/MWh, 150 MW Sizing (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,147,277,219 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.06 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,144,142,864 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,613,791 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,700,138 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,897,046 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1170 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.632 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.20 | 3,637,020 | 941 | 1,108 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.32 | 3,694,140 | 950 | 1,116 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.30 | 3,844,967 | 968 | 1,132 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.41 | 3,830,575 | 962 | 1,127 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.35 | 3,916,019 | 962 | 1,130 | \$7,147,277,219 |
| 2036 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,431 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,144,142,864 |

Table 106. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 -New Wind pricing at \$46.85/MWh, 200 MW Sizing (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,146,517,313 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,379,700 | 987 | 1,477 | 32.21 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,117,899 | 921 | 1,314 | \$7,143,415,687 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,868,846 | 857 | 1,171 | \$85,473,482 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,835,608 | 828 | 1,116 | 93,677,663 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.43 | 2,815,337 | 805 | 1,054 | \$175,839,696 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.75 | 3,433,484 | 931 | 1,118 | 952 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1170 |
| 2024 | | 18.27 | 3,558,066 | 962 | 1,136 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.07 | 3,530,832 | 951 | 1,131 | 52.646 |
| 2026 | | 15.97 | 3,497,393 | 950 | 1,123 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.72 | 3,646,457 | 967 | 1,146 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.81 | 3,586,607 | 956 | 1,134 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.28 | 3,499,729 | 929 | 1,092 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.46 | 3,575,507 | 946 | 1,102 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.24 | 3,632,957 | 940 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.37 | 3,690,147 | 949 | 1,114 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.34 | 3,840,416 | 967 | 1,131 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.46 | 3,825,937 | 961 | 1,125 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.39 | 3,910,751 | 961 | 1,128 | \$7,146,517,313 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.40 | 4,075,431 | 990 | 1,155 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,143,415,687 |

Table 107. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - New Wind pricing at \$46.85/MWh, 100 MW Sizing, 45% Capacity Factor (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,105,623,344 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,384,155 | 988 | 1,479 | 41.21 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.27 | 3,174,747 | 936 | 1,342 | \$7,101,566,554 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.06 | 2,973,323 | 883 | 1,222 | \$94,133,257 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.06 | 2,987,795 | 865 | 1,187 | 97,198,201 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.24 | 2,998,145 | 850 | 1,139 | \$183,773,969 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.55 | 3,664,060 | 982 | 1,199 | 990 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1231 |
| 2024 | | 18.08 | 3,783,870 | 1,012 | 1,215 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 16.88 | 3,755,569 | 1,001 | 1,210 | 54.360 |
| 2026 | | 15.78 | 3,725,861 | 1,000 | 1,202 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.53 | 3,870,675 | 1,016 | 1,222 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.63 | 3,807,778 | 1,005 | 1,211 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.10 | 3,717,581 | 977 | 1,166 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.29 | 3,795,447 | 993 | 1,175 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.07 | 3,851,913 | 987 | 1,179 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.19 | 3,911,110 | 996 | 1,187 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | | 18.18 | 4,055,914 | 1,012 | 1,198 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.29 | 4,042,358 | 1,006 | 1,194 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.22 | 4,132,389 | 1,005 | 1,195 | \$7,105,623,344 |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.04 | 4,087,700 | 991 | 1,157 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$7,101,566,554 |

Table 108. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - New Wind pricing at \$46.85/MWh, 100 MW Sizing, New Wind = 25% Capacity Factor (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,170,559,188 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,377,450 | 986 | 1,476 | 28.70 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.35 | 3,089,587 | 914 | 1,300 | \$7,167,898,473 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.23 | 2,817,585 | 844 | 1,145 | \$80,138,987 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.29 | 2,762,338 | 810 | 1,081 | 91,820,939 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.52 | 2,729,176 | 784 | 1,013 | \$171,662,407 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.84 | 3,319,093 | 906 | 1,077 | 932 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 1137 |
| 2024 | | 18.37 | 3,444,273 | 937 | 1,097 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | | 17.17 | 3,419,630 | 925 | 1,091 | 51.722 |
| 2026 | | 16.07 | 3,383,987 | 924 | 1,083 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 14.81 | 3,532,509 | 942 | 1,107 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.90 | 3,477,729 | 931 | 1,095 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.37 | 3,391,140 | 905 | 1,054 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.55 | 3,464,540 | 922 | 1,065 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.33 | 3,524,151 | 916 | 1,071 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.10 | 3,580,022 | 925 | 1,078 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.13 | 3,730,018 | 944 | 1,096 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 18.21 | 3,717,320 | 938 | 1,090 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 16.11 | 3,798,113 | 938 | 1,094 | \$7,170,559,188 |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.48 | 3,967,502 | 968 | 1,123 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | | | | | \$7,167,898,473 |

Table 109. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - New Wind pricing at \$46.85/MWh, 100 MW Sizing, New Wind = 55% Capacity Factor (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,121,478,984 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,377,450 | 986 | 1,476 | 19.58 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.35 | 3,089,587 | 914 | 1,300 | \$7,120,209,321 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.23 | 2,817,585 | 844 | 1,145 | \$69,797,431 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.29 | 2,762,338 | 810 | 1,081 | 82,690,939 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.52 | 2,729,176 | 784 | 1,013 | \$149,135,663 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 19.84 | 3,319,093 | 906 | 1,077 | 826 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 965 |
| 2024 | | 18.37 | 3,444,273 | 937 | 1,097 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2025 | Wind (200 MW) | 17.75 | 2,826,518 | 782 | 866 | 47.040 |
| 2026 | | 16.64 | 2,781,447 | 780 | 856 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2027 | | 15.38 | 2,888,418 | 793 | 878 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.47 | 2,889,439 | 790 | 873 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2029 | | 14.14 | 2,865,398 | 784 | 867 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.32 | 2,923,085 | 800 | 878 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.10 | 2,995,888 | 797 | 888 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.23 | 3,044,596 | 805 | 894 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2033 | Wind (200 MW) | 18.73 | 2,643,139 | 698 | 727 | \$0 |
| 2034 | | 16.84 | 2,690,287 | 702 | 732 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2035 | | 14.76 | 2,696,957 | 696 | 732 | \$7,121,478,984 |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.06 | 2,902,846 | 741 | 786 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | | | | | \$7,120,209,321 |

Table 110. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - New Wind pricing at \$20/MWh, 200 MW Sizing, New Wind = 55% Capacity Factor (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,275 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$8,005,475,797 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,428,305 | 996 | 1,492 | 26.94 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.30 | 3,130,333 | 966 | 1,438 | \$8,003,436,978 |
| | Data Center2 Solar1 (150 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$89,380,945 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 16.07 | 2,760,007 | 892 | 1,287 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 90,661,596 |
| | Data Center2 Solar2 (150 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 18.16 | 2,792,482 | 866 | 1,225 | \$168,774,655 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 15.85 | 2,677,611 | 835 | 1,151 | 980 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center2 Wind1 (200 MW) | | | | | 1242 |
| | Data Center2 Wind2 (100 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 16.96 | 3,069,505 | 947 | 1,180 | 50.466 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Data Center2 Wind3 (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.67 | 3,107,513 | 971 | 1,187 | \$0 |
| 2025 | | 19.44 | 3,188,041 | 968 | 1,192 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2026 | | 17.85 | 3,185,366 | 969 | 1,186 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 16.16 | 3,308,223 | 985 | 1,204 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.66 | 3,357,670 | 982 | 1,202 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.63 | 3,297,753 | 955 | 1,155 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.23 | 3,383,410 | 973 | 1,163 | \$8,005,475,797 |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.00 | 3,500,574 | 971 | 1,174 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | | 17 | 3,587,754 | 982 | 1,183 | \$8,003,436,978 |
| 2033 | | 15 | 3.721.720 | 998 | 1,193 | |
| 2034 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19 | 3.792.822 | 998 | 1,194 | |
| 2035 | | 17 | 3.869.073 | 994 | 1,191 | |
| 2036 | | 14 | 4.151.888 | 1.046 | 1.251 | |

Table 111. 2017 IRP: SJGS Continues Beyond 2022 - Data Center2 Resources Included (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,789,274 | 1,301 | 1,682 | \$5,912,073,797 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,256,735 | 978 | 1,482 | 8.35 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,786,239 | 883 | 1,278 | \$5,909,254,807 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,598,976 | 829 | 1,150 | \$52,534,401 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,505,585 | 793 | 1,085 | 45,352,511 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 1,940,595 | 679 | 855 | \$10,426,205 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 16.19 | 787,891 | 435 | 316 | 537 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 581 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 23.423 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 16.06 | 723,632 | 431 | 287 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2025 | | 15.61 | 707,562 | 424 | 287 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 15.24 | 680,876 | 429 | 282 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2027 | | 14.65 | 654,053 | 415 | 268 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.61 | 627,927 | 417 | 263 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2029 | | 14.93 | 639,796 | 417 | 270 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.99 | 597,265 | 415 | 251 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2031 | | 14.39 | 610,589 | 408 | 258 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.27 | 1,102,209 | 273 | 386 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | | , - , | | | \$5,912,073,797 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | _ | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1.091.251 | 271 | 378 | \$5,909,254,807 |
| 2034 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14 | 1.032.612 | 255 | 360 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1.057.713 | 261 | 369 | |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14 | 1,021,925 | 251 | 350 | |
| 2036 | | 14 | 4,151,888 | 1,046 | 1,251 | |

Table 112. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = LOW, CO2 = LOW)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,789,274 | 1,301 | 1,682 | \$6,200,277,438 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,253,464 | 979 | 1,483 | 8.00 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,778,060 | 885 | 1,280 | \$6,198,490,336 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,587,131 | 832 | 1,152 | \$97,409,659 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,495,425 | 795 | 1,086 | 44,615,948 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 1,926,309 | 685 | 857 | \$58,445,331 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 16.19 | 742,813 | 446 | 304 | 527 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 552 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 23.460 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 16.06 | 680,722 | 441 | 275 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2025 | | 15.61 | 661,757 | 435 | 274 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 15.24 | 633,614 | 440 | 268 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2027 | | 14.65 | 614,065 | 425 | 256 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.61 | 587,500 | 427 | 251 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2029 | | 14.93 | 597,157 | 428 | 257 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.99 | 555,096 | 426 | 237 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2031 | | 14.39 | 568,441 | 418 | 245 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.53 | 926,327 | 229 | 323 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$6,200,277,438 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$6,198,490,336 |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 913,974 | 226 | 315 | |
| 2034 | Wind (100 MW) | 14 | 756.506 | 185 | 261 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 776.044 | 190 | 267 | |
| 2036 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14 | 743,974 | 181 | 251 | |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | |

Table 113. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,789,212 | 1,301 | 1,682 | \$6,449,045,656 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,249,282 | 980 | 1,484 | 7.34 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,772,693 | 887 | 1,280 | \$6,450,097,752 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,584,543 | 833 | 1,152 | \$126,355,736 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,492,456 | 796 | 1,086 | 42,790,511 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 1,917,470 | 687 | 856 | \$102,508,862 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.22 | 590,429 | 410 | 239 | 500 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 503 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | 22.945 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.09 | 540,832 | 407 | 215 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2025 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.88 | 516,248 | 400 | 210 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 15.51 | 491,946 | 405 | 204 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2027 | | 14.92 | 475,262 | 389 | 194 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.88 | 456,674 | 393 | 190 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2029 | | 15.20 | 460,487 | 393 | 193 | \$0 |
| 2030 | | 14.09 | 430,191 | 392 | 179 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2031 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.65 | 439,874 | 383 | 185 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 14.53 | 681,377 | 165 | 230 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,449,045,656 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$6,450,097,752 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 671,212 | 162 | 224 | |
| 2034 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 15 | 642,354 | 154 | 214 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 657,480 | 158 | 220 | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 711,121 | 171 | 237 | |

Table 114. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = HIGH, CO2 = HIGH)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.65 | 4,789,274 | 1,301 | 1,682 | \$6,133,348,813 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 22.13 | 3,253,464 | 979 | 1,483 | 8.40 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 25.88 | 2,778,060 | 885 | 1,280 | \$6,131,484,222 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 27.22 | 2,587,131 | 832 | 1,152 | \$102,084,751 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 27.92 | 2,495,425 | 795 | 1,086 | 45,393,850 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 30.03 | 1,926,104 | 685 | 857 | \$0 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 16.19 | 740,258 | 446 | 304 | 536 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 567 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 23.637 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 16.06 | 678,075 | 442 | 275 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2025 | | 15.61 | 660,779 | 435 | 273 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 15.24 | 633,148 | 440 | 268 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2027 | | 14.65 | 611,627 | 425 | 255 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.61 | 585,633 | 427 | 250 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2029 | | 14.93 | 595,616 | 428 | 256 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.99 | 553,162 | 426 | 237 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2031 | | 14.39 | 566,453 | 419 | 245 | \$0 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 14.02 | 989,214 | 244 | 343 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,133,348,813 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$6,131,484,222 |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 977,859 | 241 | 336 | |
| 2034 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14 | 931,932 | 228 | 321 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 955,262 | 234 | 329 | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,021,959 | 251 | 350 | |

Table 115. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = LOW, GAS = MID, CO2 = \$0)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,427,919,734 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,422,782 | 993 | 1,487 | 17.74 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,086,454 | 912 | 1,299 | \$6,427,417,593 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,928,001 | 861 | 1,182 | \$100,336,514 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,928,592 | 837 | 1,136 | 59,231,122 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,429,765 | 727 | 917 | \$15,422,364 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,347,051 | 503 | 442 | 605 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 674 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.937 |
| 2024 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.37 | 1,315,402 | 504 | 424 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | | 14.19 | 1,341,133 | 501 | 433 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.00 | 1,365,867 | 513 | 441 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.62 | 1,372,602 | 506 | 435 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.70 | 1,372,374 | 509 | 438 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 14.37 | 1,443,120 | 517 | 454 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.11 | 1,443,266 | 521 | 448 | \$0 |
| 2031 | | 18.96 | 1,514,632 | 520 | 465 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 2,216,198 | 437 | 570 | \$6,427,919,734 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | | 14 | 2,273,221 | 444 | 572 | \$6,427,417,593 |
| 2034 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14 | 2,159,596 | 418 | 541 | |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | , , | | | |
| 2035 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14 | 2,034,596 | 389 | 502 | |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | , , | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 2,020,301 | 383 | 489 | |

Table 116. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = MID, GAS = LOW, CO2 = LOW)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,997,576,344 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.16 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$7,007,311,589 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$156,844,314 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 58,217,357 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$88,469,777 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 591 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 576 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 28.891 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,997,576,344 |
| 2032 | | 16.70 | 1,088,219 | 449 | 330 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | Wind (100 MW) | 15 | 970,886 | 414 | 284 | \$7,007,311,589 |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,001,607 | 423 | 293 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,082,947 | 427 | 311 | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,120,915 | 437 | 318 | |

Table 117. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included (U1 & U2) (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,982,684,359 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 16.55 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,992,040,928 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$184,746,914 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 61,884,891 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$97,203,172 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Aeroderivatives (80 MW) | 14.07 | 1,619,630 | 583 | 543 | 629 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 638 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 29.402 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2024 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.33 | 1,556,513 | 576 | 511 | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.24 | 1,290,574 | 511 | 421 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.05 | 1,287,469 | 517 | 420 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.03 | 1,183,638 | 480 | 376 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.14 | 1,162,792 | 479 | 370 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.34 | 1,195,492 | 480 | 377 | \$0 |
| 2030 | | 19.58 | 1,245,235 | 495 | 388 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 17.46 | 1,284,033 | 488 | 396 | \$6,982,684,359 |
| 2032 | | 15.44 | 1,297,019 | 494 | 397 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14 | 1,344,569 | 494 | 399 | \$6,992,040,928 |
| 2034 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20 | 1,350,810 | 497 | 400 | |
| 2035 | | 18 | 1,418,254 | 497 | 413 | |
| 2036 | | 16 | 1,581,959 | 532 | 454 | |

Table 118. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases not available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,793,374,641 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,804,062,552 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,811 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,793,374,641 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,804,062,552 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 119. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Low Fuel and O&M (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,065,005,016 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$7,075,692,978 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,834 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$7,065,005,016 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$7,075,692,978 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | · · · · · · | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | . , - | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 120. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS High Fuel and O&M (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 6,487,258 | 1,360 | 1,658 | \$6,757,740,031 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 4,883,426 | 1,085 | 1,485 | 1.83 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 4,628,629 | 1,026 | 1,361 | \$6,660,563,666 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 4,739,965 | 1,012 | 1,312 | \$139,408,101 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 4,811,991 | 998 | 1,288 | 67,174,009 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 3,767,484 | 871 | 1,095 | \$90,052,334 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,323,641 | 522 | 444 | 633 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 694 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 31.264 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,257,315 | 512 | 408 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,242,854 | 492 | 390 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,209,739 | 498 | 387 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,215,216 | 487 | 382 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,367,160 | 510 | 416 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 1,315,262 | 477 | 377 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 1,312,723 | 481 | 371 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,392,585 | 482 | 390 | \$6,757,740,031 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,572,684 | 309 | 403 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,660,563,666 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,559,672 | 307 | 396 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,648,157 | 319 | 413 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,636,429 | 315 | 407 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,943,180 | 351 | 443 | |

Table 121. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Leases included, FCPP Exit in 2031, Electric Market (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,949,096,531 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.40 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,959,558,723 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$176,054,218 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 55,101,488 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$81,046,954 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.09 | 1,189,627 | 489 | 395 | 560 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 594 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 26.261 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2024 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14.64 | 1,184,062 | 495 | 386 | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.31 | 1,142,426 | 480 | 372 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.06 | 1,111,915 | 477 | 360 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.69 | 1,128,211 | 468 | 358 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.78 | 1,131,508 | 474 | 361 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.25 | 1,143,214 | 468 | 359 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.00 | 1,143,592 | 472 | 354 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Wind (100 MW) | 19.07 | 1,043,667 | 435 | 317 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.68 | 1,500,488 | 294 | 383 | \$6,949,096,531 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$6,959,558,723 |
| 2033 | | 15 | 1,543,669 | 300 | 386 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,595,833 | 307 | 397 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,670,916 | 319 | 411 | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,677,295 | 317 | 405 | |

Table 122. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,941,727,703 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 16.90 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,939,881,397 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$143,426,595 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,443,061 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$79,130,924 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 553 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 584 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.159 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.02 | 1,214,309 | 498 | 399 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.17 | 1,059,874 | 469 | 346 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.57 | 975,550 | 435 | 308 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.67 | 979,310 | 440 | 311 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Wind (100 MW) | 18.96 | 898,757 | 403 | 271 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | \$6,941,727,703 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | \$6,939,881,397 |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,687 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 123. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - No Renewable Integration Costs (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,946,832,547 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 15.16 | 3,418,566 | 994 | 1,488 | 18.14 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 15.83 | 3,075,947 | 914 | 1,300 | \$7,033,210,624 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 15.65 | 2,912,794 | 864 | 1,183 | \$170,395,750 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 14.66 | 2,913,194 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,245,020 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 14.33 | 2,417,581 | 736 | 922 | \$79,134,927 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.31 | 1,116,134 | 471 | 369 | 551 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 581 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 27.720 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.14 | 1,044,807 | 460 | 335 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.83 | 1,057,046 | 459 | 341 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2026 | | 20.61 | 1,077,752 | 470 | 348 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 19.23 | 1,093,958 | 461 | 346 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.26 | 1,094,646 | 465 | 349 | \$0 |
| 2029 | | 17.88 | 1,155,645 | 472 | 365 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2030 | | 16.17 | 1,155,329 | 476 | 359 | \$6,946,832,547 |
| 2031 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.32 | 1,050,173 | 438 | 321 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 15 | 1,422,463 | 279 | 364 | \$7,033,210,624 |
| | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,455,592 | 283 | 365 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,504,699 | 290 | 375 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,516,581 | 289 | 373 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20 | 1,630,615 | 308 | 394 | |

Table 124. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - No Demand Response (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.28 | 4,822,956 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,982,079,281 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.55 | 3,423,771 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.76 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.23 | 3,084,366 | 915 | 1,301 | \$6,993,311,385 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.03 | 2,924,867 | 865 | 1,184 | \$169,082,087 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.01 | 2,930,745 | 842 | 1,139 | 55,024,933 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.64 | 2,438,834 | 738 | 925 | \$80,629,049 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.02 | 1,320,731 | 516 | 438 | 555 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 585 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.422 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.68 | 1,174,263 | 490 | 379 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.26 | 1,134,448 | 475 | 365 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.98 | 1,160,900 | 486 | 374 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.45 | 1,134,712 | 466 | 355 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.46 | 1,139,823 | 471 | 358 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.05 | 998,896 | 429 | 305 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.66 | 1,005,085 | 434 | 301 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.49 | 1,064,376 | 434 | 317 | \$6,982,079,281 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.10 | 1,530,656 | 296 | 384 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,993,311,385 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,577,091 | 302 | 388 | |
| 2034 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,521,833 | 289 | 372 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,594,227 | 300 | 385 | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,714,523 | 320 | 407 | |

Table 125. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - 2017 IRP Low EE Forecast (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,419 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,926,975,266 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.60 | 3,419,220 | 994 | 1,488 | 16.98 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.33 | 3,075,774 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,951,197,580 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.19 | 2,911,084 | 864 | 1,183 | \$169,262,616 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.25 | 2,909,534 | 840 | 1,136 | 53,808,773 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.99 | 2,411,838 | 735 | 921 | \$77,555,016 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.51 | 1,289,591 | 513 | 432 | 550 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 580 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.082 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.46 | 1,187,416 | 498 | 389 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.19 | 1,141,355 | 482 | 375 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.02 | 1,105,787 | 480 | 362 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.73 | 1,118,168 | 470 | 359 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.14 | 952,017 | 436 | 305 | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.16 | 850,999 | 406 | 267 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.05 | 847,539 | 410 | 262 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.96 | 896,548 | 408 | 276 | \$6,926,975,266 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.42 | 1,519,744 | 302 | 395 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,951,197,580 |
| 2033 | | 15 | 1,558,534 | 308 | 398 | |
| 2034 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14 | 1,482,584 | 289 | 375 | |
| 2035 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,455,269 | 281 | 364 | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1.564.706 | 300 | 385 | |

Table 126. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - 2017 IRP High EE Forecast (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| | Table 127. 2017 IRP: 5JG5 Retires in 2 | 2022 - No Deman | a Response of EE | beginning 2018 (LO | AD = WID, GAS = WID, | COZ = WID |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 24.76 | 4,972,419 | 1,310 | 1,682 | \$7,105,650,875 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | 2nd Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.06 | 3,626,477 | 1,011 | 1,493 | 20.70 |
| | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | \$7,294,416,268 |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.85 | 3,371,013 | 942 | 1,325 | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$172,701,348 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.04 | 3,288,664 | 905 | 1,231 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 60,606,965 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 14.33 | 3,361,458 | 889 | 1,194 | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | \$90,808,724 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 14.16 | 2,795,379 | 767 | 955 | 572 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | 607 |
| 2023 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.18 | 1,368,130 | 487 | 402 | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 30.213 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$0 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.25 | 1,372,666 | 492 | 393 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | | 19.63 | 1,411,112 | 493 | 403 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2026 | | 18.01 | 1,461,182 | 506 | 415 | \$7,105,650,875 |
| 2027 | Wind (100 MW) | 16.60 | 1,320,400 | 463 | 364 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 17 | 1,325,810 | 468 | 367 | \$7,294,416,268 |
| 2029 | | 15 | 1,396,946 | 475 | 382 | |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21 | 1,227,136 | 444 | 328 | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2031 | | 20 | 1,285,414 | 443 | 342 | |
| 2032 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 20 | 1,822,477 | 327 | 415 | |
| | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 18 | 1,849,646 | 330 | 415 | |
| 2034 | | 16 | 1,886,640 | 334 | 423 | |
| 2035 | | 15 | 1,947,566 | 343 | 432 | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 2,060,896 | 360 | 451 | |

Table 127. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - No Demand Response or EE beginning 2018 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 128. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,951,746,203 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 18.22 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,961,349,919 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$219,685,073 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 59,490,555 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$91,125,796 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 17.50 | 1,608,215 | 579 | 538 | 607 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 666 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2024 | | 16.04 | 1,659,741 | 598 | 546 | 31.858 |
| 2025 | | 14.86 | 1,647,588 | 592 | 545 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2026 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.60 | 1,577,442 | 582 | 521 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.17 | 1,585,729 | 569 | 511 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.51 | 1,375,580 | 529 | 446 | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.21 | 1,192,697 | 481 | 378 | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2030 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.12 | 1,141,375 | 470 | 353 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.35 | 1,177,915 | 463 | 360 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 17.72 | 1,777,770 | 350 | 456 | \$6,951,746,203 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2033 | | 16 | 1,872,173 | 365 | 470 | \$6,961,349,919 |
| 2034 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 16 | 1,878,046 | 363 | 470 | |
| 2035 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15 | 1,916,606 | 367 | 473 | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 2,096,198 | 397 | 508 | |

Table 129. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - FCPP Exit in 2031, No PVNGS Leases available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

Table 130. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - FCPP Exit in 2031, PVNGS Leases Included, No EE (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 24.76 | 4,972,419 | 1,310 | 1,682 | \$7,028,944,125 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 15.40 | 3,613,835 | 1,008 | 1,488 | 29.28 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 15.26 | 3,341,364 | 936 | 1,313 | \$7,214,607,986 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.51 | 3,245,601 | 895 | 1,213 | \$174,904,091 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 14.86 | 3,362,411 | 889 | 1,195 | 60,735,209 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | \$91,219,465 |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 14.75 | 2,796,329 | 767 | 955 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 574 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.01 | 1,423,972 | 499 | 420 | 610 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 30.225 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.15 | 1,426,831 | 504 | 411 | \$0 |
| | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2025 | | 19.61 | 1,467,115 | 505 | 421 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 18.05 | 1,518,318 | 518 | 433 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2027 | Wind (100 MW) | 16.71 | 1,373,528 | 475 | 380 | \$7,028,944,125 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 16.68 | 1,377,637 | 479 | 383 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2029 | Wind (100 MW) | 15 | 1,272,490 | 451 | 347 | \$7,214,607,986 |
| 2030 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14 | 1,226,905 | 444 | 328 | |
| 2031 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,285,176 | 443 | 342 | |
| 2032 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 15 | 1,821,993 | 327 | 415 | |
| | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | | | | | |
| 2033 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21 | 1,849,111 | 330 | 415 | |
| 2034 | | 19 | 1,886,162 | 334 | 423 | |
| 2035 | | 17 | 1,947,042 | 343 | 432 | |
| 2036 | | 15 | 2,060,380 | 360 | 451 | |

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,109,063 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.31 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,966,669,171 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$182,164,172 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 55,481,781 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$81,912,614 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 565 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 602 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.423 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.02 | 1,214,309 | 498 | 399 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | 2-Hr Battery (2 MW) | 14.03 | 1,235,547 | 509 | 406 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.43 | 1,141,778 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.53 | 1,141,254 | 477 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.00 | 1,154,300 | 472 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.75 | 1,153,737 | 476 | 359 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Wind (100 MW) | 18.83 | 1,048,323 | 438 | 320 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.44 | 1,517,622 | 298 | 388 | \$6,956,109,063 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$6,966,669,171 |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,562,472 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,611,877 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,629,646 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,639,900 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 131. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031- Battery Sensitivity - 2hr, 2MW battery available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | \$0 |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,515,573 |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 132. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Exit in 2031 - Battery Sensitivity - 4hr, 40 MW battery available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

Table 133. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - Battery Sensitivity - 2hr, 2MW battery included in 2023 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| נטווא | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) | | | | |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,720,250 | | | | |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) | | | | |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.29 | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) | | | | |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,298,242 | | | | |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) | | | | |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$182,176,617 | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) | | | | |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 55,479,740 | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) | | | | |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$81,908,526 | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | | |
| 2023 | 2-Hr Battery (2 MW) | 14.48 | 1,297,386 | 514 | 433 | 565 | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | | |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 602 | | | | |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) | | | | |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 26.424 | | | | |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 | | | | |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.38 | 1,198,319 | 499 | 392 | \$0 | | | | |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 | | | | |
| 2025 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.12 | 1,213,513 | 498 | 398 | \$0 | | | | |
| 2026 | | 14.03 | 1,235,547 | 509 | 406 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 | | | | |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.43 | 1,141,778 | 473 | 364 | \$0 | | | | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.53 | 1,141,254 | 477 | 366 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 | | | | |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.00 | 1,154,300 | 472 | 364 | \$0 | | | | |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.75 | 1,153,737 | 476 | 359 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments | | | | |
| 2031 | Wind (100 MW) | 18.83 | 1,048,323 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,720,250 | | | | |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.44 | 1,517,622 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments | | | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,298,242 | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,562,472 | 304 | 392 | | | | | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,611,877 | 311 | 402 | | | | | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,629,646 | 311 | 401 | | | | | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,639,900 | 310 | 396 | | | | | |

Table 134. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Exit in 2031 - Battery Sensitivity - 4hr, 40 MW battery included in 2023 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,990,859,344 | | | | | |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) | | | | | |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.12 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$7,001,592,055 | | | | | |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$172,495,968 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) | | | | | |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,707,627 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$79,929,615 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) | | | | | |
| 2023 | 4-Hr Battery (40 MW) | 14.34 | 1,302,896 | 514 | 435 | 557 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | | | |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 589 | | | | | |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) | | | | | |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 26.299 | | | | | |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 | | | | | |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.23 | 1,199,425 | 500 | 392 | \$0 | | | | | |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 | | | | | |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.74 | 1,095,579 | 471 | 357 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2026 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.59 | 1,106,078 | 479 | 361 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 | | | | | |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,123,775 | 470 | 359 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,122,959 | 475 | 362 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 | | | | | |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 967,289 | 431 | 303 | \$0 | | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments | | | | | |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 965,543 | 435 | 298 | \$6,990,859,344 | | | | | |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,025,059 | 435 | 314 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments | | | | | |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16 | 1,502,552 | 295 | 384 | \$7,001,592,055 | | | | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,548,064 | 302 | 388 | | | | | | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,598,137 | 308 | 399 | | | | | | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,613,324 | 308 | 397 | | | | | | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,630,286 | 308 | 394 | | | | | | |

| | | T Retires in | 2001 - Dattery Oci | $131(1)(2) - (2) \times 2111, 2$ | www.battery availabl | c(LOAD - MID, OAO - MID, OOZ - MID) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,538,750 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.14 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,224,170 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$175,658,292 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 55,024,394 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,706,333 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 560 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 594 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.301 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,538,750 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,224,170 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,690,358 | 323 | 417 | |
| | (2) x 2-Hr Battery (4 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,699,651 | 322 | 411 | |

Table 135. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - Battery Sensitivity - (2) x 2hr, 2MW battery available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,750,375 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.13 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,438,308 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$175,667,531 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 55,023,032 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,703,326 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 560 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 594 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.301 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,750,375 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,438,308 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,689,464 | 323 | 416 | |
| | (3) x 2-Hr Battery (6 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,699,182 | 322 | 411 | |

Table 136. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - Battery Sensitivity - (3) x 2hr, 2MW battery available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 137. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - Battery Sensitivity - (4) x 2hr, 2MW battery available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 138. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - Solar Power Tower Available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

Table 139. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Exit in 2031 - PA Scenario (LOAD = MID, GAS = HIGH, CO2 = LOW)

| Maria | | Reserve | PNM NM CPP | PNM CPP | PNM NM CPP | |
|-------|--|---------|------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Year | Resource | Margin | CO2 Tons1 | CO2 lbs/MWh1 | CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,360 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,237,033,391 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,415,016 | 995 | 1,489 | 16.35 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,069,838 | 915 | 1,301 | \$7,254,676,877 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,910,535 | 865 | 1,183 | \$226,259,538 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,910,054 | 841 | 1,137 | 52,428,107 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,409,686 | 738 | 922 | \$12,770,779 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.34 | 995,356 | 453 | 330 | 530 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 546 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 25.638 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.47 | 776,644 | 404 | 245 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2025 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.26 | 782,171 | 401 | 249 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 14.17 | 800,336 | 412 | 255 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2027 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.80 | 810,183 | 403 | 253 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.90 | 819,433 | 409 | 258 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.37 | 830,858 | 404 | 257 | \$7,237,033,391 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.11 | 832,628 | 408 | 253 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 19 | 886,296 | 407 | 268 | \$7,254,676,877 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 17 | 1,472,186 | 288 | 375 | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 15 | 1,516,703 | 295 | 379 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,561,513 | 300 | 389 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,642,725 | 313 | 404 | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,364 | 310 | 396 | |

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 140. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - 250 MW CC and 500 MW CC available by 2021 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,005,072,016 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 18.04 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$7,015,839,143 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$172,501,719 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,644,939 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$79,970,382 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 14.31 | 1,178,277 | 486 | 392 | 556 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 589 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 29.677 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 15.34 | 1,136,398 | 484 | 371 | \$0 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2025 | | 14.16 | 1,157,269 | 486 | 380 | \$0 |
| 2026 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.02 | 1,173,616 | 495 | 385 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.59 | 1,134,159 | 472 | 363 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.69 | 1,138,928 | 477 | 367 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.16 | 1,148,584 | 471 | 364 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.08 | 1,052,923 | 451 | 324 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.31 | 1,107,399 | 449 | 339 | \$7,005,072,016 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 17.89 | 1,539,789 | 303 | 394 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$7,015,839,143 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | Wind (100 MW) | 16 | 1,401,126 | 272 | 350 | |
| 2034 | | 14 | 1,449,065 | 279 | 361 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivatives (80 MW) | 16 | 1,516,211 | 289 | 373 | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,293 | 308 | 394 | |

Table 141. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - 250 MW CC (\$700/kW) included in 2023 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,098,359,094 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 26.39 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$7,109,171,609 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,445,007 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,740,010 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,141,568 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | 2x1 NGCC (500 MW) | 16.53 | 1,227,575 | 496 | 409 | 558 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 591 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 15.56 | 1,184,561 | 495 | 388 | 27.042 |
| 2025 | | 14.38 | 1,206,482 | 497 | 397 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2026 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.13 | 1,164,618 | 493 | 382 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.52 | 1,074,665 | 457 | 342 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.62 | 1,080,651 | 463 | 346 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.09 | 1,090,920 | 457 | 344 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.84 | 1,084,890 | 460 | 337 | \$0 |
| 2031 | | 18.69 | 1,141,711 | 458 | 351 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.31 | 1,572,689 | 310 | 403 | \$0 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$7,098,359,094 |
| 2033 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.56 | 1,433,118 | 279 | 359 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2034 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14 | 1,478,618 | 285 | 369 | \$7,109,171,609 |
| 2035 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14 | 1,541,306 | 294 | 380 | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,592,063 | 301 | 385 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |

Table 142. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - 500 MW CC (\$700/kW) included in 2023 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

Table 143. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - H-Class NGCC's available by 2021 (405 MW and 202.5 MW) (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) | | | | | |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,943,522,391 | | | | | |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) | | | | | |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.59 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,954,222,380 | | | | | |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$184,500,589 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) | | | | | |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 56,395,623 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$84,431,912 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | | | |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC-H Participation (202.5 MW) | 20.26 | 1,242,501 | 493 | 409 | 574 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | | | |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 618 | | | | | |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) | | | | | |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 27.461 | | | | | |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 19.25 | 1,214,359 | 493 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 | | | | | |
| 2025 | | 18.03 | 1,233,015 | 493 | 400 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2026 | | 16.92 | 1,252,697 | 503 | 406 | Outside Adjustment 2 | | | | | |
| 2027 | | 15.64 | 1,265,414 | 494 | 402 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.73 | 1,270,965 | 499 | 406 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 | | | | | |
| 2029 | | 14.39 | 1,330,364 | 505 | 421 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2030 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.30 | 1,223,610 | 483 | 377 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 | | | | | |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.53 | 1,280,279 | 481 | 392 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments | | | | | |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 18.11 | 1,730,449 | 340 | 443 | \$6,943,522,391 | | | | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments | | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$6,954,222,380 | | | | | |
| 2033 | Wind (100 MW) | 16 | 1,586,145 | 309 | 397 | | | | | | |
| 2034 | | 14 | 1,634,745 | 315 | 408 | | | | | | |
| 2035 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14 | 1,694,947 | 324 | 417 | | | | | | |
| 2036 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14 | 1,745,190 | 330 | 421 | | | | | | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ן טווא | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,924,754,563 | | | | | |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) | | | | | |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.58 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,935,069,121 | | | | | |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$182,352,529 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) | | | | | |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 56,135,919 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$83,685,377 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) | | | | | |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC-H Participation (135 MW) | 16.97 | 1,258,268 | 500 | 417 | 571 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) | | | | | |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 613 | | | | | |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) | | | | | |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 27.278 | | | | | |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 15.99 | 1,229,310 | 500 | 399 | Outside Adjustment 1 | | | | | |
| 2025 | | 14.81 | 1,247,009 | 500 | 407 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2026 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.55 | 1,208,118 | 496 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 2 | | | | | |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.13 | 1,171,745 | 474 | 371 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.23 | 1,176,165 | 479 | 374 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 | | | | | |
| 2029 | 1x1 NGCC-H Participation (67.5 MW) | 15.99 | 1,223,579 | 479 | 383 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2030 | | 14.30 | 1,222,153 | 482 | 377 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 | | | | | |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.53 | 1,278,874 | 481 | 391 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 18.11 | 1,729,079 | 340 | 443 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments | | | | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,924,754,563 | | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments | | | | | |
| 2033 | Wind (100 MW) | 16 | 1,584,717 | 308 | 397 | \$6,935,069,121 | | | | | |
| 2034 | | 14 | 1,633,362 | 315 | 407 | | | | | | |
| 2035 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14 | 1,693,660 | 323 | 417 | | | | | | |
| 2036 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14 | 1,743,944 | 330 | 421 | | | | | | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 144. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - H-Class NGCC's available by 2021 (135 MW and 67.5 MW) (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID.)

| | עשוא איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז אי | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,781 | | | | | |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) | | | | | |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 18.04 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,594,854 | | | | | |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$172,501,719 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) | | | | | |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,644,939 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$79,970,382 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | | | |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 14.31 | 1,178,277 | 486 | 392 | 556 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 589 | | | | | |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) | | | | | |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 29.677 | | | | | |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 | | | | | |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 15.34 | 1,136,398 | 484 | 371 | \$0 | | | | | |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 | | | | | |
| 2025 | | 14.16 | 1,157,269 | 486 | 380 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2026 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.02 | 1,173,616 | 495 | 385 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 | | | | | |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.59 | 1,134,159 | 472 | 363 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.69 | 1,138,928 | 477 | 367 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 | | | | | |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.16 | 1,148,584 | 471 | 364 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2030 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.08 | 1,052,923 | 451 | 324 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments | | | | | |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.31 | 1,107,399 | 449 | 339 | \$6,956,827,781 | | | | | |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 17.89 | 1,539,789 | 303 | 394 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments | | | | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,594,854 | | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2033 | Wind (100 MW) | 16 | 1,401,126 | 272 | 350 | | | | | | |
| 2034 | | 14 | 1,449,065 | 279 | 361 | | | | | | |
| 2035 | | 16 | 1,516,211 | 289 | 373 | | | | | | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1.630.293 | 308 | 394 | | | | | | |

Table 145. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - 500 MW NGCC (F-Class) at \$237/kW included in 2023 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| | МІО) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) | | | | | | |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,641 | | | | | | |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) | | | | | | |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 26.39 | | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) | | | | | | |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,640,072 | | | | | | |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) | | | | | | |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,445,007 | | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) | | | | | | |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,740,010 | | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) | | | | | | |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,141,568 | | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | | | | |
| 2023 | 2x1 NGCC (500 MW) | 16.53 | 1,227,575 | 496 | 409 | 558 | | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | | | | |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 591 | | | | | | |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) | | | | | | |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 15.56 | 1,184,561 | 495 | 388 | 27.042 | | | | | | |
| 2025 | | 14.38 | 1,206,482 | 497 | 397 | Outside Adjustment 1 | | | | | | |
| 2026 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.13 | 1,164,618 | 493 | 382 | \$0 | | | | | | |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.52 | 1,074,665 | 457 | 342 | Outside Adjustment 2 | | | | | | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.62 | 1,080,651 | 463 | 346 | \$0 | | | | | | |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.09 | 1,090,920 | 457 | 344 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 | | | | | | |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.84 | 1,084,890 | 460 | 337 | \$0 | | | | | | |
| 2031 | | 18.69 | 1,141,711 | 458 | 351 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 | | | | | | |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.31 | 1,572,689 | 310 | 403 | \$0 | | | | | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments | | | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$6,956,827,641 | | | | | | |
| 2033 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.56 | 1,433,118 | 279 | 359 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments | | | | | | |
| 2034 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14 | 1,478,618 | 285 | 369 | \$6,967,640,072 | | | | | | |
| 2035 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 14 | 1,541,306 | 294 | 380 | | | | | | | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,592,063 | 301 | 385 | | | | | | | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 146. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Retires in 2031 - NGCC Sensitivity - 500 MW included at crossover cost (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 =

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | , , | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 147. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Exit in 2031 - 48 MW SMR Available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 148. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Exit in 2031 - 96 MW SMR Available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 149. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Exit in 2031 - 114 MW SMR Available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

Table 150. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Included - FCPP Exit in 2031 - High Renewable Penetration Scenario (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,077,091,891 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 12.20 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$7,086,475,234 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$106,014,363 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 46,067,891 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 17.40 | 2,043,456 | 640 | 742 | \$58,768,654 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Wind (200 MW) | | | | | 453 |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.22 | 629,658 | 354 | 198 | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 432 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 23.794 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Wind (200 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 15.25 | 610,878 | 355 | 187 | \$0 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 15.74 | 560,000 | 336 | 169 | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2026 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 16.30 | 526,526 | 329 | 155 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 15.04 | 533,138 | 320 | 154 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.13 | 542,288 | 327 | 158 | \$7,077,091,891 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 15.39 | 537,227 | 316 | 152 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2030 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 15 | 507,750 | 304 | 138 | \$7,086,475,234 |
| 2031 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 544,527 | 303 | 147 | |
| 2032 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 986,124 | 188 | 239 | |
| | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | | | | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | Solar PV Large (150 MW) | 15 | 954,939 | 179 | 222 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 989,278 | 184 | 229 | |
| 2035 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20 | 1,042,137 | 192 | 240 | |
| 2036 | | 18 | 1,139,004 | 208 | 259 | |

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | , -, | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 151. 2017 IRP: MCEP - Solar Sensitivity Base - 250 MW Solar available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,951,170,734 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,961,858,642 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,951,170,734 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,961,858,642 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 152. 2017 IRP: MCEP - Solar Sensitivity Base - Solar Cost Curve 2 (0% cost escalation) - 250 MW Solar PV available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,903,336,422 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 19.58 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,913,377,764 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$168,607,257 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,098,806 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$78,493,996 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 15.24 | 1,235,182 | 499 | 411 | 545 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 571 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 27.698 |
| | Solar PV Transmission (50 MW) -2020 PRICING | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,205,176 | 500 | 394 | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) -2024 PRICING | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | Solar PV Transmission (50 MW) -2024 PRICING | | | | | \$0 |
| 2026 | Solar PV Transmission (100 MW) -2024 PRICING | 15.36 | 1,035,740 | 458 | 332 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | | 14.10 | 1,050,718 | 449 | 330 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.21 | 1,052,169 | 454 | 333 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Transmission (100 MW) -2024 PRICING | 14.48 | 1,034,602 | 438 | 318 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Solar PV Transmission (100 MW) -2024 PRICING | 14.39 | 983,520 | 424 | 290 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.13 | 1,031,279 | 422 | 303 | \$6,903,336,422 |
| 2032 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 14.34 | 1,510,194 | 294 | 382 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$6,913,377,764 |
| 2033 | Solar PV Transmission (150 MW) -2024 PRICING | 15 | 1,429,598 | 272 | 347 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,469,629 | 277 | 356 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,529,080 | 286 | 367 | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,580,479 | 294 | 374 | |

Table 153. 2017 IRP: MCEP - Solar Sensitivity Base - Solar Cost Curve 3 (declining cost) - 1,250 MW Solar available (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| PNM CPP | PNM NM CPP | |
|--------------------|---|---|
|)2 lbs/iwn1 | CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
| 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,943,936,953 |
| | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 994 | 1,488 | 17.49 |
| | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 914 | 1,300 | \$6,954,262,992 |
| | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 864 | 1,183 | \$171,037,877 |
| | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 840 | 1,137 | 54,086,084 |
| | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 736 | 922 | \$78,397,631 |
| | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 514 | 433 | 548 |
| | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | | 576 |
| | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | | 25.968 |
| 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | | \$0 |
| 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 470 | 348 | \$0 |
| 449 | 330 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 454 | 333 | \$0 |
| 460 | 347 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 418 | 278 | \$0 |
| | | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 417 | 292 | \$6,943,936,953 |
| 275 | 358 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | | \$6,954,262,992 |
| | | |
| 281 | 361 | |
| 287 | 371 | |
| 278 | 358 | |
| | | |
| 300 | 382 | |
| | 1,302 994 914 864 840 736 514 514 40 499 471 470 449 454 460 418 454 460 418 417 275 281 281 287 278 300 | 1,302 1,682 994 1,488 914 1,300 864 1,183 840 1,137 736 922 514 433 514 433 499 392 471 359 470 348 449 330 454 333 460 347 418 278 281 361 281 361 287 371 278 358 300 382 |

Table 154. 2017 IRP: MCEP - Solar Sensitivity Base - Solar Cost Curve 2 (0% cost escalation) - Add additional 1x50 MW and 1x100 MW solar PV to database (400 MW total) (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| | | (==== : | | | | |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,951,277,422 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.55 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,961,163,202 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$163,955,331 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 53,521,899 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$77,106,555 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 540 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 561 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 25.895 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.02 | 1,214,309 | 498 | 399 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.77 | 1,178,214 | 495 | 385 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,400 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.28 | 992,666 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.01 | 1,049,948 | 438 | 320 | \$6,951,277,422 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 14.75 | 1,323,495 | 256 | 331 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,961,163,202 |
| | Solar PV Large (200 MW) | | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14 | 1,291,383 | 245 | 312 | |
| 2034 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 15 | 1,248,432 | 233 | 293 | |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2035 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14 | 1,267,634 | 233 | 291 | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,273,009 | 233 | 289 | |

Table 155. 2017 IRP: MCEP - Solar Sensitivity Base - Solar Cost Curve 2 (0% cost escalation) - Add additional 2x50 MW and 5x100 MW solar PV to database (850 MW total) (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 156. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,939,937,016 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 16.63 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,950,723,018 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$157,899,830 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 53,129,043 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$75,958,529 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.09 | 1,189,627 | 489 | 395 | 538 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 562 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 25.797 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 15.13 | 1,147,767 | 487 | 374 | \$0 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2025 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.19 | 993,229 | 446 | 322 | \$0 |
| 2026 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.17 | 815,276 | 408 | 258 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2027 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.80 | 826,009 | 398 | 257 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.90 | 833,165 | 404 | 260 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.37 | 844,139 | 400 | 259 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.11 | 846,566 | 404 | 256 | \$6,939,937,016 |
| 2031 | | 18.96 | 898,757 | 403 | 271 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | \$6,950,723,018 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,687 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 157. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 - Wind at \$40/MWh (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,897,194,547 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 16.15 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,908,330,515 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$154,665,502 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 52,536,966 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$74,775,677 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.87 | 943,729 | 434 | 311 | 532 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 553 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 25.668 |
| | Wind (200 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.76 | 863,906 | 421 | 276 | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2025 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.50 | 880,953 | 420 | 284 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 14.41 | 899,367 | 431 | 290 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.80 | 826,009 | 398 | 257 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.90 | 833,165 | 404 | 260 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.37 | 844,139 | 400 | 259 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.11 | 846,566 | 404 | 256 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.96 | 898,757 | 403 | 271 | \$6,897,194,547 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,908,330,515 |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,687 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 158. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 - Wind at \$30/MWh (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,850,646,906 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 12.70 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,862,037,431 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$155,101,766 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 52,145,674 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 17.40 | 2,043,456 | 640 | 742 | \$73,698,293 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Wind (200 MW) | | | | | 528 |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.87 | 943,729 | 434 | 311 | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 546 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 25.495 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.76 | 863,906 | 421 | 276 | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2025 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.50 | 880,953 | 420 | 284 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 14.41 | 899,367 | 431 | 290 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.80 | 826,009 | 398 | 257 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.90 | 833,165 | 404 | 260 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.37 | 844,139 | 400 | 259 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.11 | 846,566 | 404 | 256 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.96 | 898,757 | 403 | 271 | \$6,850,646,906 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,862,037,431 |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,687 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 159. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 - Wind at \$20/MWh (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,827,594 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,515,573 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | . , - | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 160. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 - Wind at \$46.85/MWh (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,961,696,188 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.29 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,972,374,259 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$182,161,949 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 56,113,571 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$83,386,979 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 571 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 612 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.526 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.02 | 1,214,309 | 498 | 399 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Wind (50 MW) | 14.06 | 1,146,286 | 489 | 375 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.45 | 1,057,163 | 454 | 335 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.55 | 1,058,793 | 458 | 338 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.03 | 1,071,707 | 453 | 336 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.77 | 1,071,739 | 457 | 331 | \$0 |
| 2031 | | 18.63 | 1,131,420 | 456 | 347 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.14 | 1,715,647 | 338 | 440 | \$6,961,696,188 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (50 MW) | | | | | \$6,972,374,259 |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,764,462 | 344 | 443 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,814,713 | 351 | 454 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,831,270 | 350 | 451 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,826,160 | 346 | 442 | |

Table 161. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 - Wind at \$46.85/MWh, 50 MW sizing (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,952,770,219 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 16.99 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,963,446,330 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$163,794,714 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 53,838,737 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$77,726,123 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 547 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 574 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.041 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.25 | 915,847 | 417 | 284 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (150 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.00 | 917,385 | 421 | 280 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.85 | 972,192 | 420 | 295 | \$6,952,770,219 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.57 | 1,333,184 | 261 | 339 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,963,446,330 |
| | Wind (150 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 15 | 1,373,835 | 267 | 343 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,421,698 | 273 | 353 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,496,890 | 285 | 368 | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,518,672 | 287 | 367 | |

Table 162. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 - Wind at \$46.85/MWh, 150 MW sizing (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,951,168,016 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.24 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,961,923,797 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$168,796,372 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 53,782,927 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$77,984,304 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 547 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 573 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.056 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.94 | 1,207,325 | 485 | 383 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2030 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.05 | 1,155,224 | 476 | 359 | \$0 |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.28 | 1,216,834 | 475 | 375 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 18.52 | 1,161,172 | 226 | 293 | \$6,951,168,016 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (400 MW) | | | | | \$6,961,923,797 |
| 2033 | | 17 | 1,198,173 | 231 | 297 | |
| 2034 | | 15 | 1,244,486 | 238 | 307 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,314,090 | 249 | 321 | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,345,687 | 254 | 324 | |

Table 163. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 - Wind at \$46.85/MWh, 200 MW sizing (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) | | | | | |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,956,827,594 | | | | | |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) | | | | | |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,967,515,573 | | | | | |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$174,726,831 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) | | | | | |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,905,569 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) | | | | | |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,418,242 | 736 | 922 | \$80,446,356 | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | | | |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,298,049 | 514 | 433 | 558 | | | | | |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | | | |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 | | | | | |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) | | | | | |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.270 | | | | | |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,199,177 | 499 | 392 | Outside Adjustment 1 | | | | | |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,104,127 | 471 | 359 | Outside Adjustment 2 | | | | | |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,125,148 | 482 | 366 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,142,917 | 473 | 364 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 | | | | | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,142,401 | 478 | 367 | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 991,724 | 435 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 | | | | | |
| | Wind (200 MW) | | | | | \$0 | | | | | |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 992,444 | 439 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments | | | | | |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,049,826 | 438 | 320 | \$6,956,827,594 | | | | | |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,518,550 | 298 | 388 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments | | | | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,967,515,573 | | | | | |
| | Wind (200 MW) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,563,348 | 304 | 392 | | | | | | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,612,695 | 311 | 402 | | | | | | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,630,686 | 311 | 401 | | | | | | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,497 | 310 | 396 | | | | | | |

Table 164. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 - Wind at \$46.85/MWh, 100 MW sizing, 45% capacity factor (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| | | | 1110, 002 III | | | |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,950,985,281 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,423,703 | 995 | 1,490 | 22.83 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.27 | 3,132,575 | 928 | 1,328 | \$6,961,036,444 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.06 | 3,015,658 | 890 | 1,234 | \$192,339,731 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.06 | 3,065,101 | 877 | 1,209 | 57,736,083 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.73 | 2,583,311 | 775 | 997 | \$86,489,857 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.19 | 1,452,495 | 547 | 486 | 588 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 637 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.967 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.09 | 1,349,830 | 533 | 443 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.59 | 1,244,428 | 503 | 407 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.34 | 1,213,119 | 501 | 395 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.05 | 1,089,945 | 458 | 343 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.15 | 1,090,487 | 463 | 346 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.36 | 1,149,262 | 469 | 361 | \$0 |
| 2030 | | 19.60 | 1,149,954 | 473 | 356 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | Wind (100 MW) | 17.59 | 1,116,760 | 451 | 341 | \$6,950,985,281 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 15.01 | 1,791,510 | 353 | 459 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,961,036,444 |
| 2033 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,841,391 | 359 | 462 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,891,166 | 365 | 473 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,974,597 | 378 | 487 | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,957,706 | 371 | 474 | 1 |

Table 165. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 - Wind at \$46.85/MWh, 100 MW sizing, 25% capacity factor (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,959,185,016 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,416,998 | 993 | 1,487 | 15.35 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.35 | 3,048,589 | 907 | 1,286 | \$6,970,085,823 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.23 | 2,863,378 | 851 | 1,158 | \$167,872,603 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.29 | 2,841,939 | 822 | 1,102 | 54,072,171 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 17.01 | 2,339,375 | 717 | 886 | \$78,894,694 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.48 | 1,222,252 | 497 | 407 | 550 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 578 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.051 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.38 | 1,126,014 | 482 | 367 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.12 | 1,141,800 | 482 | 374 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | | 14.03 | 1,163,310 | 492 | 381 | \$0 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.43 | 1,073,331 | 457 | 341 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.53 | 1,074,542 | 462 | 343 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.00 | 1,087,542 | 457 | 342 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.75 | 1,087,431 | 461 | 337 | \$0 |
| 2031 | | 18.61 | 1,147,519 | 460 | 353 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.54 | 1,359,686 | 266 | 346 | \$6,959,185,016 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (200 MW) | | | | | \$6,970,085,823 |
| 2033 | | 15 | 1,400,939 | 272 | 350 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,449,017 | 279 | 360 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,524,883 | 291 | 375 | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,544,947 | 292 | 373 | 1 |

Table 166. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 - Wind at \$46.85/MWh, 100 MW sizing, 55% capacity factor (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

| | | | 1000, COZ - 1000 |) | | |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,746,933,906 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,416,998 | 993 | 1,487 | 11.08 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.35 | 3,048,589 | 907 | 1,286 | \$6,757,709,844 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.23 | 2,863,378 | 851 | 1,158 | \$109,558,295 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.29 | 2,841,939 | 822 | 1,102 | 46,201,547 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 17.61 | 1,920,435 | 605 | 679 | \$59,690,894 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Wind (200 MW) | | | | | 459 |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 15.68 | 523,224 | 326 | 161 | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 445 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 23.877 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Wind (200 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.72 | 514,584 | 328 | 154 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2025 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.46 | 526,278 | 327 | 159 | \$0 |
| 2026 | | 14.37 | 538,647 | 337 | 163 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2027 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.99 | 546,580 | 328 | 162 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15.08 | 560,052 | 335 | 167 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | 14.55 | 552,820 | 328 | 163 | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.29 | 559,675 | 333 | 162 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 19.14 | 600,282 | 331 | 174 | \$6,746,933,906 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.53 | 1,096,687 | 214 | 278 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,757,709,844 |
| 2033 | | 15 | 1,132,533 | 219 | 282 | |
| 2034 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14 | 1,080,692 | 206 | 265 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,097,606 | 207 | 265 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,137,741 | 213 | 271 | |

Table 167. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 - Wind at \$20/MWh, 200 MW sizing, 55% capacity factor (LOAD = MID, GAS =

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,360 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$7,380,834,000 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,415,016 | 995 | 1,489 | 16.41 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,069,838 | 915 | 1,301 | \$7,400,660,738 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,910,535 | 865 | 1,183 | \$223,218,223 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,910,054 | 841 | 1,137 | 51,753,386 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,409,932 | 738 | 922 | \$137,452,108 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.34 | 996,936 | 453 | 330 | 522 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 533 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 25.674 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.47 | 777,607 | 404 | 246 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 757,173 | 392 | 238 | \$0 |
| 2026 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 15.00 | 766,561 | 401 | 241 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.57 | 747,191 | 382 | 228 | \$0 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.67 | 755,226 | 389 | 232 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 21.87 | 799,785 | 394 | 244 | \$7,380,834,000 |
| 2030 | | 20.10 | 802,022 | 398 | 241 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18 | 855,043 | 396 | 256 | \$7,400,660,738 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 15 | 1,472,324 | 288 | 375 | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 17 | 1,408,150 | 274 | 352 | |
| 2034 | | 15 | 1,455,142 | 280 | 362 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,524,068 | 291 | 375 | |
| 2036 | Aeroderivatives (80 MW) | 15 | 1,640,370 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 168. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = MID, GAS = HIGH, CO2 = HIGH)

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 Ibs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.30 | 4,821,424 | 1,302 | 1,682 | \$6,867,003,828 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 17.61 | 3,419,248 | 994 | 1,488 | 17.11 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 18.32 | 3,076,591 | 914 | 1,300 | \$6,876,007,262 |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 18.17 | 2,913,392 | 864 | 1,183 | \$175,897,684 |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 17.21 | 2,913,939 | 840 | 1,137 | 54,975,461 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.91 | 2,417,507 | 736 | 922 | \$0 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | 14.39 | 1,293,158 | 515 | 433 | 559 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | 592 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | 26.274 |
| 2024 | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | 14.28 | 1,193,589 | 500 | 391 | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 14.79 | 1,101,284 | 472 | 358 | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| 2026 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.59 | 1,124,386 | 482 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2027 | | 14.34 | 1,138,180 | 474 | 363 | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14.44 | 1,138,355 | 479 | 366 | \$0 |
| 2029 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14.14 | 989,147 | 436 | 309 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.89 | 989,734 | 440 | 305 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2031 | | 18.74 | 1,046,465 | 439 | 320 | \$6,867,003,828 |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 16.35 | 1,524,301 | 299 | 390 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$6,876,007,262 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 1,569,557 | 306 | 393 | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,618,925 | 312 | 404 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,639,892 | 313 | 403 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 15 | 1,640,627 | 310 | 396 | |

Table 169. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = \$0)

| | Table 170. 2017 IKP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 | | | | (LOAD - HIGH, GAS - LOVV, COZ - LOVV) | | |
|------|---|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) | |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,275 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$7,159,761,094 | |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) | |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,471,672 | 993 | 1,476 | 16.53 | |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) | |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,081,239 | 894 | 1,252 | \$7,151,767,141 | |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) | |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$142,561,385 | |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 3,006,100 | 846 | 1,131 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) | |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 71,146,700 | |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$19,958,253 | |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,224,166 | 845 | 1,116 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) | |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 629 | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 2,908,200 | 756 | 936 | 695 | |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) | |
| 2023 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15.14 | 1,755,411 | 518 | 475 | 38.296 | |
| | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 | |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | \$0 | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 | |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$0 | |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 | |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | \$0 | |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20.11 | 1,856,276 | 530 | 477 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 | |
| | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | | | | | \$0 | |
| 2025 | | 17.91 | 1,965,282 | 534 | 496 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments | |
| 2026 | | 16.34 | 2,021,773 | 546 | 506 | \$7,159,761,094 | |
| 2027 | | 14.67 | 2,056,586 | 544 | 504 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14 | 2,102,427 | 549 | 512 | \$7,151,767,141 | |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 2,195,185 | 557 | 526 | | |
| 2030 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19 | 2,232,415 | 563 | 525 | | |
| 2031 | | 17 | 2,335,594 | 564 | 540 | | |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 14 | 3,057,037 | 495 | 612 | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | | |
| 2033 | Small GT (85 MW) | 15 | 3,125,009 | 499 | 611 | | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 3,215,229 | 506 | 622 | | |
| 2035 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 14 | 3,126,535 | 486 | 594 | | |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 14 | 3,147,213 | 482 | 585 | | |

Table 170. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = LOW, CO2 = LOW)

| able 171. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - With Data Center2 Resources Included (| (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID) |
|---|-------------------------------------|
|---|-------------------------------------|

| | | Reserve | PNM NM CPP | PNM CPP | PNM NM CPP | , , |
|------|--|---------|------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Year | Resource | Margin | CO2 Tons1 | CO2 lbs/MWh1 | CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,275 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$7,802,586,625 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,467,606 | 1,003 | 1,504 | 24.74 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.30 | 3,088,155 | 957 | 1,422 | \$7,798,882,810 |
| | Data Center2 Solar1 (150 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$176,857,145 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 16.07 | 2,809,995 | 924 | 1,376 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 55,336,872 |
| | Data Center2 Solar2 (150 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 18.16 | 2,890,791 | 931 | 1,397 | \$82,107,568 |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 15.85 | 2,280,087 | 814 | 1,155 | 631 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (Ibs/MWh) |
| | Data Center2 Wind1 (200 MW) | | | | | 721 |
| | Data Center2 Wind2 (100 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14.09 | 1,028,876 | 538 | 459 | 26.010 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Data Center2 Wind3 (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | \$0 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (82 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.29 | 983,629 | 536 | 431 | \$0 |
| | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | | | | | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2025 | | 17.11 | 1,048,996 | 541 | 453 | \$7,802,586,625 |
| 2026 | | 15.55 | 1,093,367 | 557 | 468 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | 15 | 1,100,278 | 536 | 448 | \$7,798,882,810 |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 14 | 1,135,934 | 546 | 460 | |
| 2029 | Large GT (187 MW) | 20 | 1,204,277 | 554 | 480 | |
| 2030 | | 18 | 1,241,164 | 562 | 479 | |
| 2031 | | 15 | 1,325,905 | 563 | 503 | |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 14 | 1,734,346 | 385 | 521 | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | Small GT (85 MW) | 15 | 1,616,872 | 351 | 466 | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2034 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,697,594 | 362 | 483 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,756,808 | 367 | 486 | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 14 | 1,777,781 | 366 | 479 | |

| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
|------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,275 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$7,937,091,844 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,467,606 | 993 | 1,477 | 15.67 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,070,905 | 896 | 1,253 | \$7,931,836,084 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$259,063,204 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,990,417 | 849 | 1,133 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 65,960,408 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$106,333,414 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,206,960 | 848 | 1,117 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 583 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 2,901,000 | 766 | 944 | 619 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 14.18 | 1,640,309 | 516 | 451 | 35.419 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.17 | 1,739,583 | 528 | 454 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| 2025 | | 16.98 | 1,834,820 | 535 | 471 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| 2026 | Wind (100 MW) | 15.63 | 1,704,466 | 513 | 434 | \$7,937,091,844 |
| 2027 | Wind (100 MW) | 14.17 | 1,573,605 | 475 | 389 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15 | 1,500,401 | 461 | 368 | \$7,931,836,084 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,586,065 | 469 | 383 | |
| 2030 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,616,594 | 475 | 383 | |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19 | 1,712,407 | 477 | 400 | |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 17 | 2,440,301 | 395 | 489 | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 2,525,721 | 404 | 494 | |

Table 172. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID)

2,600,829

2,718,118

2,761,279

Small GT (85 MW)

Aeroderivative (40 MW)

Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW)

| | Table 1/3. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - F | VNG5 Lease Pu | rchases included, | FCPP Exit in 2031 | LUAD = HIGH, GAS | = HIGH, COZ = HIGH) |
|------|---|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,207 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$8,599,196,250 |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,463,429 | 994 | 1,478 | 15.48 |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,065,382 | 897 | 1,254 | \$8,593,245,740 |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$378,795,648 |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,986,882 | 850 | 1,133 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 64,578,445 |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$194,655,331 |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,201,386 | 850 | 1,118 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 570 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 2,893,348 | 768 | 944 | 598 |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 14.13 | 1,341,156 | 465 | 368 | 34.605 |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 |
| | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | | | | | \$0 |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 4 |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.33 | 1,270,654 | 445 | 329 | \$0 |
| | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | | | | | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | \$8,599,196,250 |
| 2025 | | 17.15 | 1,359,500 | 452 | 347 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments |
| 2026 | | 16 | 1,409,649 | 464 | 357 | \$8,593,245,740 |
| 2027 | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | 16 | 1,442,731 | 457 | 357 | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15 | 1,484,846 | 465 | 366 | |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,571,021 | 473 | 382 | |
| 2030 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,601,421 | 479 | 382 | |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19 | 1,702,949 | 480 | 399 | |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 17 | 2,452,564 | 397 | 491 | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 2,539,706 | 406 | 497 | |
| 2034 | Small GT (85 MW) | 15 | 2,609,202 | 411 | 505 | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 2,730,079 | 424 | 519 | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 14 | 2,761,081 | 423 | 513 | |

Table 173 2017 IRP: SIGS Retires in 2022 - DV/NGS Lesse Durchases included ECPD Exit in 2031 (LOAD = HIGH_GAS = HIGH_CO2 = HIGH)

| | Table 174. 2017 IRP: SJGS Retires in 2022 - PVNGS Lease Purchases included, FCPP Exit in 2031 (LOAD = HIGH, GAS = MID, CO2 = \$0) | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Year | Resource | Reserve Margin | PNM NM CPP CO2 Tons1 | PNM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | PNM NM CPP CO2 lbs/MWh1 | Optimized Portfolio (NPV) | | | |
| 2017 | FCPP Maint./Outage Capital | 26.02 | 4,860,275 | 1,304 | 1,682 | \$7,815,158,750 | | | |
| | San Juan Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Portfolio LOLH (Hours) | | | |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | 14.69 | 3,467,606 | 993 | 1,477 | 16.06 | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Porfolio Average (NPV) | | | |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | 14.41 | 3,070,905 | 896 | 1,253 | \$7,808,556,180 | | | |
| | Solar PV Distribution (50 MW) | | | | | Risk Portfolio Tail (NPV) | | | |
| | NMWEC Repower + 50 MW Solar PV for RPS | | | | | \$272,696,809 | | | |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | 14.25 | 2,990,417 | 849 | 1,133 | 20-Year CO2 (Tons) | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | 67,613,605 | | | |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | 20-Year CO2 Cost (NPV) | | | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 | | | |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | 19.77 | 3,206,960 | 848 | 1,117 | 20-Year PNM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | 598 | | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | 20-Year PNM NM CO2 (lbs/MWh) | | | |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | 16.96 | 2,900,217 | 766 | 944 | 639 | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | 20-Year Freshwater (Bn of Gal) | | | |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) | 14.18 | 1,633,394 | 518 | 450 | 36.241 | | | |
| | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | Outside Adjustment 1 | | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | \$0 | | | |
| | Palo Verde Undepreciated Assets | | | | | Outside Adjustment 2 | | | |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | \$0 | | | |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | Outside Model Adjustment 3 | | | |
| | Solar PV Large (50 MW) | | | | | \$0 | | | |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19.17 | 1,731,462 | 530 | 453 | Outside Model Adjustment 4 | | | |
| | PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) | | | | | \$0 | | | |
| 2025 | | 16.98 | 1,831,213 | 536 | 471 | Total Optimized NPV + Adjustments | | | |
| 2026 | | 15.43 | 1,888,190 | 547 | 481 | \$7,815,158,750 | | | |
| 2027 | Solar PV Large (100 MW) | 15.18 | 1,809,346 | 519 | 449 | Average Risk NPV + Adjustments | | | |
| 2028 | Large GT (187 MW) | 15 | 1,847,399 | 527 | 457 | \$7,808,556,180 | | | |
| 2029 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 15 | 1,940,788 | 534 | 472 | | | | |
| 2030 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 1,789,809 | 508 | 426 | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | | | | |
| 2031 | Large GT (187 MW) | 19 | 1,887,895 | 510 | 443 | | | | |
| 2032 | Four Corners Undepreciated Assets | 17 | 2,446,770 | 396 | 490 | | | | |
| | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | | | | |
| | Wind (100 MW) | | | | | | | | |
| 2033 | | 14 | 2,532,726 | 405 | 495 | | | | |
| 2034 | Small GT (85 MW) | 15 | 2,606,882 | 411 | 504 | | | | |
| 2035 | Aeroderivative (40 MW) | 14 | 2,727,294 | 424 | 518 | | | | |
| 2036 | Rio Bravo CC Expansion (210 MW) | 14 | 2,761,392 | 423 | 513 | | | | |

APPENDIX P. RELIABILITY ANALYSIS STUDY



PNM 2017 Reliability and System Flexibility Study



Astrapé Consulting

CONTENTS

| Executive Summary | |
|---|----|
| I. List of Figures | 11 |
| II. List of Tables | |
| III. Input Assumptions | |
| A. Study Years and Resource Plans | |
| B. Study Topology | |
| C. Load Modeling | |
| D. Economic Load Forecast Error | |
| E. Existing Thermal Resources | |
| F. Unit Outage Data | |
| G. Renewable Resource Modeling | |
| H. Load, Wind, and Solar Uncertainty Development | |
| I. Demand Response Modeling | |
| J. 2021 and 2024 Load/Resource Summary | |
| K. External Market Modeling | |
| L. Ancillary Service Requirements | |
| M. Cost of Unserved Energy | |
| N. Cost of Renewable Curtailment | 41 |
| IV. SERVM Model and Methodology | 41 |
| V. Reserve Margin Study | |
| VI. Flexibility Metrics | 51 |
| VII. Renewable Penetration Studies | |
| VIII. Energy Storage and Flexible Generation Analysis | 62 |
| | |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This study was performed by Astrapé Consulting at the request of PNM's Resource Planning Department. As wind and solar resources are added to the system, the intermittent nature of these resources causes an increase in system commitment and dispatch uncertainty, impacting both reliability and cost. The purpose of this study is to understand the reliability of the portfolio currently projected by PNM as well as potential renewable portfolios with significantly higher renewable penetration.

From an operational standpoint, incremental renewable resources increase net load⁶ uncertainty on a day ahead, multi-hour ahead, and intra-hour basis. This additional uncertainty requires planners to analyze the flexibility of their generation portfolio to ensure that the system can match generation and load on a real-time basis and minimize firm load shed events and renewable curtailment (over-generation periods). As wind or solar resources unexpectedly ramp up or down in output, the dispatch of the rest of the generation fleet must be changed rapidly to accommodate the change in net load. If the conventional fleet is not flexible enough to keep load and generation balanced, either reserve shortages or generation curtailment will occur. Typically, load shed events related to system ramping constraints are not included in traditional Loss of Load Expectation (LOLE) studies used for resource adequacy planning. These increased reliability events and renewable generation curtailment events are often mitigated by increasing system ancillary services such as load following reserve targets. By increasing the amount of online generation synched to the grid, the system can better meet short-term flexibility requirements, albeit at a cost.

Another way to mitigate reliability events caused by system inflexibility is adding flexible resources to the system. These types of resources start and ramp up quickly and include highly flexible turn-

⁶ Net load is load, net of any renewables or must run resources, and represents the amount of load that the dispatchable generation fleet will ultimately have to serve.

down ratios to better manage the system. Examples of these types of resources include quick start peaking units and battery storage resources.

To understand the impact of adding new renewable resources, Astrapé has used its Strategic Energy and Risk Valuation Model (SERVM) to model the system and complete the following goals:

(1) Calculate the required installed reserve margin to meet acceptable reliability for the PNM Balancing Area (BA).

(2) Calculate reliability metrics and total system costs for multiple portfolios and determine the load following requirements necessary to maintain reliability.

(3) Calculate reliability metrics and total system costs for a number of different renewable penetrations, and determine the load following requirements necessary to maintain reliability.

(4) Calculate the benefit of adding flexible resources to the current portfolio and higher renewable penetration scenarios.

Typical planning studies utilize load shapes and renewable profiles from a single weather year and simulate only average unit performance characteristics. Since flexibility and reliability issues are high-impact, low-probability events, a large number of scenarios of load, renewable output, and conventional generator performance should be considered to adequately capture their expected frequency and impact. Further, it is also impossible to understand system flexibility issues in models that do not have chronological dispatch of units to take into account unit start up times, ramp rates, minimum up times, and minimum down times. The impact of renewable integration is dependent on annual weather patterns, economic growth characteristics, and unit performance metrics, among other variables. In order to capture the full impact intermittent resources have on the system, this study takes a stochastic approach in modeling the uncertainty of weather on loads and renewable profiles, economic growth uncertainty, unit availability, and transmission availability with neighboring
utilities. Utilizing the chronological production cost and reliability software SERVM⁷, over 2,500 yearly simulations were performed at 5-minute time steps for each portfolio analyzed to perform the four goals outlined above. The SERVM simulation engine considers all unit constraints, and incorporates the load, and renewable output variability and uncertainty that system operators manage on a real-time basis to assess system flexibility and renewable integration costs. SERVM reports many reliability metrics including $LOLE_{CAP}$, $LOLE_{FLEX}$, renewable curtailment, and EUE as well as PNM Balancing Area Costs. Below are the definitions of these metrics.

(1) $LOLE_{CAP}$: number of loss of load events due to capacity shortages, calculated in events per year. Traditional LOLE calculations only calculate $LOLE_{CAP}$.

(2) LOLE_{FLEX}: number of loss of load events due to system flexibility problems, calculated in events per year. In other words, there was enough capacity installed but not enough flexibility to meet the net load ramps, or startup times prevented a unit coming online fast enough to meet the unanticipated ramps.

(3) Renewable curtailment: Renewable curtailment occurs during over-generation periods when the system cannot ramp down fast enough to meet net load.

(4) PNM Balancing Area Costs: Production costs + Net Purchase costs + Expected Unserved Energy Costs. Production costs include all fuel burn , variable O&M, startup costs, and CO_2 costs. Costs for renewable generation are based on Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) pricing.

Installed Reserve Margin Requirement

A prerequisite of identifying the proper installed reserve margin requirement is identifying an appropriate level of reliability for the PNM system. The industry-standard reliability threshold is 1 firm load shed event in ten years. This is known as the '0.1 LOLE' or '1-in-10 LOLE' standard. For

⁷ As discussed in more detail in the body of the report, SERVM is used by utilities, regulators, and grid operators across the country to analyze resource adequacy and renewable integration.

small systems with limited interconnections, this level of reliability is difficult to achieve. The simultaneous forced outage of two larger units during peak conditions puts significant risk on a small system compared to a larger system with more than 50 generators. Based on the size of PNM, Astrapé recommends that PNM target a 0.2 LOLE (two events in ten years) standard at a minimum. The reserve margin study results show that a minimum reserve margin target of 17% is needed to maintain 0.2 LOLE_{CAP}⁸. Figure ES1 shows those results. At the current 13% minimum reserve margin, four events in 10 years are expected.



Figure ES1. Reserve Margin Study Results

⁸ Typical industry standards do not distinguish $LOLE_{CAP}$ from $LOLE_{FLEX}$, but rather assume that any system being modeled has adequate flexibility to avoid all $LOLE_{FLEX}$. Since this analysis covers both flexibility and capacity needs, this report will maintain the distinction and refer to $LOLE_{CAP}$ for any measurement used to assess capacity needs.

The sensitivity analysis showed substantial risk in the market assistance assumptions. If market assistance was capped at 300 MW or 150 MW in high load hours⁹, then the reserve margins required to meet 0.2 LOLE are 22.5% and 27.5% respectively. Given recent changes in Arizona and other WECC markets as well as a review of historical hourly purchases in the last several years, Astrapé recommends maintaining a minimum of17% reserve margin for the balancing area. Even at a 17% reserve margin, the balancing area still has risk if import capacity deteriorates.

Reliability and Economic Metrics

Table ES1 shows the flexibility reliability metrics and system production costs of the current portfolio plan for 2021 and 2024 which has San Juan 1 and 4 retiring in 2022. As discussed in the report, the other plan analyzed has San Juan 1 and 4 continuing in 2024 The renewable penetration in the balancing area is 17% in 2021 and 19% in 2024. The results show the system is reliable from a capacity and system flexibility standpoint for 2021 and 2024 assuming the Base Case external market assumptions. The load following target needed to maintain a reasonable LOLE_{FLEX} value is 7% of load in addition to the 4% required for regulation reserves. PNM balance area costs increase as the load following target increases. Renewable curtailment which represents over-generation also increases slightly with higher load following targets. While renewable curtailment is only 1%, renewable curtailment events add additional risk to operators on a real time basis and also increase costs since the energy is assumed to be paid for at the PPA price regardless of whether or not it is used to serve load.

⁹ As discussed in more detail in the report, the 300 MW and 150 MW sensitivities were developed based on reviewing previous years actual historical purchases during peak hours

 Table ES1. Flexibility Metrics

| | BA Renewable Penetration/ PNM Renewable Gen ¹⁰ | LF Target | Renewable Curtailment | Renewable Curtailment | | LOLE _{FLEX} | PNM Balance Area Costs |
|----------------------|--|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | % of Load/GWh | % of Load | % of Renewable | MWh | Events Per Year | Events Per Year | M\$ |
| 2021 Base Case | 17%/2,322 | 3% | 0.83% | 19,579 | 0.165 | 1.02 | 339.3 |
| 2021 Base Case | 17%/2,322 | 5% | 0.97% | 22,833 | 0.141 | 0.25 | 343.6 |
| 2021 Base Case | 17%/2,322 | 7% | 1.11% | 26,265 | 0.138 | 0.16 | 348.0 |

| | BA Renewable Penetration/ PNM Renewable Gen | LF | Renewable Curtailment | Renewable Curtailment | LOLE _{CAP} | LOLE _{FLEX} | PNM Balance Area Costs |
|-----------------------|--|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | % of Load/GWh | % of Load | % of Renewable | MWh | Events Events Per Year Per Yea | | M\$ |
| 2024 SJ Retires | 19%/2,714 | 3% | 0.86% | 23,800 | 0.095 | 1.74 | 473.5 |
| 2024 SJ Retires | 19%/2,714 | 5% | 0.98% | 26,952 | 0.075 | 0.38 | 478.8 |
| 2024 SJ Retires | 19%/2,714 | 7% | 1.11% | 30,453 | 0.072 | 0.1 | 483.9 |

¹⁰ Renewable penetration is calculated as renewable generation divided by energy demand for the balancing area. The PNM Renewable represents the GWh of renewable generation. Both values are an average over the 36 weather years.

As the renewable penetration of the balancing area is increased to 40%, 50%, and 80%, load following targets must be increased substantially to avoid $LOLE_{FLEX}$. Table ES2 shows those results. Load following targets were increased from 7% of load to 17% or 18% of load in order to lower $LOLE_{FLEX}$ to reasonable levels. On average, this equates to 290 to 300 MW of online reserves to accommodate the renewable fleet. The renewable curtailment becomes a significant problem in the higher renewable penetration scenarios. System production costs are much higher as well due to all the renewable that is curtailed but still must be paid for based on an assumed PPA price. Also, to meet the higher load following requirements, the system fleet is forced to operate less efficiently causing costs to increase. Renewable curtailment increases to over 35% of the renewable fleet in the 80% renewable penetration scenario. Planning heuristics regarding LOLE_{FLEX}, renewable curtailment, and cost increases are included in the results section of the report.

Table ES2. Flexibility Metrics for Higher Renewable Penetration Levels

| | BA Renewable Penetration/ PNM Renewable Gen | LF Target | Renewable Curtailment | Renewable Curtailment | LOLE _{FLEX} | PNM Balance Area Costs |
|--|--|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | % of Load/GWh | % of Load | % of Renewable | MWh | Events Per Year | M\$ |
| 2024 SJ Retires | 19%/2,322 | 7% | 1.11% | 30,453 | 0.1 | 483.91 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 40% RPS (66.7% Solar) | 39%/5,544 | 17% | 17.58% | 981,443 | 0.17 | 545.17 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 40% RPS (66.7% Wind) | 38%/5,493 | 17% | 13.41% | 741,718 | 0.06 | 535.31 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 50% RPS (66.7% Solar) | 49%/7,038 | 17% | 26.10% | 1,847,546 | 0.33 | 576.25 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 50% RPS (66.7% Wind) | 48%/6,960 | 17% | 19.90% | 1,393,006 | 0.22 | 559.21 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 80% RPS (66.7% Solar) | 80%/11,519 | 18% | 45.50% | 5,258,862 | 1.64 | 717.79 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 80% RPS (66.7% Wind) | 79%/11,360 | 18% | 37.20% | 4,241,265 | 1.33 | 678.87 |

Energy storage resources and quick start LM6000 resources were added to the system to determine their impact on reliability and costs. The results show that energy storage is not economic in the 2024 SJGS Retires Case with approximately 20% BA renewable penetration, but as the BA approaches 40% renewable penetration and higher, energy storage shows significant value ranging from \$160/kW-yr to \$250/kW-yr depending on the scenario and battery configuration. The value is driven primarily by two factors in the high renewable penetration cases: (1) shifting curtailed renewable energy from the middle of the day to later in the day when net load peaks and (2) batteries provide inexpensive load following. The energy storage analysis showed that energy beyond 4 hours of full dispatch capability did not provide significant benefit.

The quick start CT resources provide approximately 37/kW-yr in system production costs savings in the 2024 SJGS Retires Case and the higher renewable penetration cases simulated. Interestingly, the CT analysis demonstrates that without associated changes to operating guidelines, incremental flexible units with characteristics as modeled don't reduce LOLE_{FLEX} significantly. The majority of LOLE_{FLEX} events are typically less than 10 minutes in duration and caused when online reserves are insufficient to meet the rapid increase in net load. Since the CT that was modeled has a 10-minute startup time, it cannot ramp quickly enough to alleviate this issue and additional load following is still needed to provide relief to the situation.

In conclusion, Astrapé recommends targeting a specific reliability metric instead of a fixed reserve margin level since changes to market availability or even the fleet composition could change the relationship between reserve margin and reliability. The recommended metric is 0.2 LOLE which translates to a future minimum reserve margin of 17% for the PNM system. Even at a 17% reserve margin, there is still market assistance risk which could justify even a higher target. The current portfolio is reliable from a capacity and flexibility standpoint given a 7% load following target is utilized in real time operations in addition to a 4% regulation target. As renewable penetration increases, it is expected that renewable curtailment could be a significant problem and that solutions such as energy storage will be required. However, given the current portfolio which still includes significant conventional generation, the energy storage projects are likely not economic.

I. LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1. Study Topology | 16 |
|---|----|
| Figure 2. 2021 Peak Load Rankings for All Weather Years | 18 |
| Figure 3. Conventional Resources on Forced Outage as a Percentage of Time | 24 |
| Figure 4. Average Solar Profile by Month | 28 |
| Figure 5. Average Wind Profiles by Month | 30 |
| Figure 6. Volatile Net Load vs. Smoothed Net Load | 31 |
| Figure 7. Load Intra-Hour Variation | 32 |
| Figure 8. Solar Intra-Hour Variation | 33 |
| Figure 9. Wind Intra-Hour Variation | 33 |
| Figure 10. Study Topology with Transmission Limits | 38 |
| Figure 11. Scarcity Pricing Curve | 39 |
| Figure 12. LOLE _{CAP} Example | 43 |
| Figure 13. Multi Hour LOLE _{FLEX} | 44 |
| Figure 14. Intra Hour LOLE _{FLEX} | 45 |
| Figure 15. Base Case Reserve Margin LOLE _{CAP} Results | 47 |
| Figure 16. Market Purchases as a Function of Peak Load | 48 |
| Figure 17. Actual Purchases During Peak Load (2013-2016) | 49 |
| Figure 18. LOLE _{FLEX} with 7% Load Following as a Function of Renewable Penetration | 58 |
| Figure 19. Renewable Curtailment Rule of Thumb | 60 |
| Figure 20. Renewable Portfolio Cost Increases | 62 |
| Figure 21. Renewable Curtailment Daily Example | 65 |
| | |

II. LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1. Expansion Plan | 13 |
|--|----|
| Table 2. Expansion Plan | 14 |
| Table 3. 2021 and 2024 Load Forecast | 17 |
| Table 4. 2021 External Region Diversity | 19 |
| Table 5. Load Forecast Error | 20 |
| Table 6. Summary of Resources | 20 |
| Table 7. Resource Constraints | 21 |
| Table 8. Heat Rate and Cost Characteristics | 22 |
| Table 9. Forced Outage Rate Data | 25 |
| Table 10. Planned Maintenance Rates | 25 |
| Table 11. Solar Resources | 26 |
| Table 12. Wind Resources | 28 |
| Table 13. Demand Response Resources | 34 |
| Table 14. PNM Balancing Area Load and Resources | 35 |
| Table 15. External Regions | 36 |
| Table 16. Unserved Energy Costs | 40 |
| Table 17. Case Probability Example | 42 |
| Table 18. Reserve Margin Sensitivities - LOLE _{CAP} | 50 |
| Table 19. 2021/2024 Flexibility Metrics | |
| Table 20. 2024 SJGS Retires: Monthly Physical Reliability Metrics | 53 |
| Table 21. 2024 San Juan Continues | 55 |
| Table 22. 2024 San Juan, Four Corners, and PV Lease Retire | 55 |
| Table 23. Scenarios Simulated | 56 |
| Table 24. Flexibility Metrics at 7% Load Following Target | |
| Table 25. Renewable Penetration Results Assuming Reasonable Load Following Targets | 59 |
| Table 26. Load Following MW | 59 |
| Table 27. 2024 SJ Retires Case: Add Flexible Generation and Energy Storage | 63 |
| Table 28. 2024 40% Renewable Penetration: Add Flexible Generation and Energy Storage | 64 |
| Table 29. 2024 50% Renewable Penetration: Add Energy Storage | 66 |

III. INPUT ASSUMPTIONS

A. STUDY YEARS AND RESOURCE PLANS

The selected years for this study are 2021 and 2024, which represent years with significant amounts of renewable additions expected on the system. In the first scenario, San Juan 1 & 4 are retired in 2022 and the Palo Verde (PV) lease is included. The second scenario assumes SJGS continues and the PV lease is excluded. Data Center 1 load and resources are included in both portfolios which includes substantial renewable additions. Additional gas peaking capacity is also include in the plans. Table 1 shows the resource expansion plan used for the two scenarios.

| | Table 1. Expan | Table 1. Expansion Plan | | | | | | | |
|------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 2017 IRP | 2017 IRP | | | | | | | |
| | SJGS Retires in 2022 | SJGS Continues | | | | | | | |
| | (PVNGS Lease Purchases) | (No PVNGS Lease Purchases) | | | | | | | |
| | LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID | LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID | | | | | | | |
| Year | Resource | Resource | | | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) | | | | | | | |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) | | | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) | | | | | | | |
| 2019 | Wind for RPS (50 MW) | Wind for RPS (50 MW) | | | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) | | | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) | | | | | | | |
| 2020 | Solar PV for RPS (49.5 MW) | Solar PV for RPS (49.5 MW) | | | | | | | |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) | | | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) | | | | | | | |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) | | | | | | | |
| | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) | | | | | | | |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | | | | |
| 2023 | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) | | | | | | | |
| | 2 x Large GT (374 MW) | Large GT (187 MW) | | | | | | | |
| | PVNGS U1 Lease Purchase (104 MW) | | | | | | | | |
| | Reciprocating Engines (41 MW) | | | | | | | | |

2024 PVNGS U2 Lease Purchase (10 MW) Solar PV Large (50 MW)

In addition to these two scenarios another scenario was developed based on feedback from the Public Advisory Session in April of 2017. This portfolio retires San Juan, Four corners, and excludes the lease on Palo Verde 3. The expansion plan for this sensitivity is shown in Table 2.

| | Table 2. Expansion Plan |
|------|--|
| | SJGS Retires in 2022; FC retires in2023 (No PVNGS Lease Purchases) LOAD = MID, GAS = MID, CO2 = MID |
| Year | Resource |
| 2018 | Data Center1 Solar1 (30 MW) Data Center1 Wind1 (50 MW) |
| 2019 | Data Center1 Solar2 (40 MW) Solar PV for RPS (50 MW) |
| 2020 | Data Center1 Solar3 (30 MW) Data Center1 Wind2 (50 MW) |
| 2021 | Data Center1 Solar4 (30 MW) Data Center1 Wind3 (50 MW) |
| 2022 | Data Center1 Solar5 (40 MW) Data Center1 Wind4 (30 MW) |
| 2023 | 1x1 NGCC (250 MW) Data Center1 Solar6 (20 MW) 2 x Large GT (374 MW) |
| 2024 | Large GT (187 MW) |

B. STUDY TOPOLOGY

Figure 1 shows the study topology used in the analysis. To adequately understand system resource adequacy, it is important to capture the load diversity and generator outage diversity that a system has with its neighbors. For this study, the PNM system was divided into three regions: (1) PNM-North, (2) PNM-South, and (3) PNM-Four Corners. The surrounding regions captured in the modeling included all of the Arizona load serving entities, Public Service Company of Colorado, Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Southwestern Public Service Company, and El Paso Electric Company. For commitment and dispatch purposes, the PNM regions and Tri State regions were committed as one region to reflect the current balancing area makeup. In this commitment and dispatch of the PNM and Tri State regions, the transmission constraints were respected. All output results of the modeling reflect the PNM Balancing Area which includes the Tri State regions. All transmission input information was provided by PNM Transmission.



C. LOAD MODELING

Table 3 displays the PNM annual peak forecast for 2021 and 2024 under normal weather conditions.

| | Table 5. 2021 and 2024 Edau 1 diecast | | | | | | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Coincident System Peak | North Region Load | South Region Load | | | | | |
| Year | (MW) | (MW) | (MW) | | | | | |
| 2021 | 1,999 | 1,871 | 128 | | | | | |
| 2024 | 2,082 | 1,949 | 134 | | | | | |

Table 3. 2021 and 2024 Load Forecast

*EE and PV-DG removed the forecast

To model the effects of weather uncertainty, 36 historical weather years were developed to reflect the impact of weather on load. Based on the last five years of historical weather and load, a neural network program was used to develop relationships between weather observations and load. Different relationships were built for each month. These relationships were then applied to the last 36 years of weather to develop 36 load shapes for 2021 and 2024. Equal probabilities were given to each of the 36 load shapes in the simulation. Figure 2 ranks all weather years by summer peak load and shows variance from normal weather. In the most severe weather conditions, the peak can be as much as 7% higher than under normal weather conditions.

Separate relationships were built for the North and South regions to ensure proper weather diversity was captured.



Figure 2. 2021 Peak Load Rankings for All Weather Years

Loads for each external region were developed in a similar manner as the PNM loads. A relationship between hourly weather and publicly available hourly load¹¹ was developed based on recent history, and then this relationship was applied to 36 years of weather data to develop 36 load shapes. Table 4 shows the resulting weather diversity between PNM-North and the other regions. When the PNM-North region is at peak load, the external regions are between 3% and 10% below their peak load on average over the 36-year period.

¹¹ FERC 714 Forms were accessed to pull hourly historical load for all neighboring regions.

| | PNM North | PNM South | Arizona Entities | EPE | PSCO | SWPSC | Tri-State North | Tri-State South |
|---|--------------|--------------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Average Non-Coincident Peak Load | 1,906 | 129 | 18,801 | 1,956 | 6,270 | 5,142 | 295 | 159 |
| Average Load when System is at Peak Load | 1,811 | 118 | 18,478 | 1,822 | 5,945 | 4,807 | 279 | 136 |
| Average Diversity | -5.0% | -8.3% | -1.7% | -6.9% | -5.2% | -6.5% | -5.4% | -14.5% |
| Average Load when PNM Balancing Area is at Peak Load | 1,901 | 125 | 16,935 | 1,824 | 5,696 | 4,676 | 290 | 149 |
| Average Diversity | -0.3% | -2.8% | -9.9% | -6.7% | -9.2% | -9.1% | -1.7% | -6.7% |

Table 4. 2021 External Region Diversity

D. ECONOMIC LOAD FORECAST ERROR

Economic load forecast error multipliers were developed to isolate the economic uncertainty that PNM has in its 4 and 5 year load forecasts. Based on reviewing Congressional Budget Office (CBO) GDP forecasts 4 years ahead and comparing those forecasts to actual data, a standard deviation was calculated and a normal distribution was developed for economic load forecast error. Because electric load grows at a slower rate than GDP, a 30% multiplier was applied to the raw CBO forecast error. Table 5 shows the economic load forecast multipliers and associated probabilities. The table shows that 2.7% of the time, it is expected that load will be under-forecasted by 5% four years out. The load forecast multipliers were applied to all regions. Within the simulations, when PNM under-forecasted load, the external regions also under-forecasted load. The SERVM model utilized each of the 36 weather years and applied each of these seven load forecast error points to create 252 different load scenarios. Each weather year was given equal probability of occurrence.

| | Table 5. Load Forecast Error |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Load Forecast Error Multipliers | Probability % |
| 0.95 | 2.7% |
| 0.97 | 14.0% |
| 0.99 | 23.8% |
| 1.00 | 19.1% |
| 1.01 | 23.8% |
| 1.03 | 14.0% |
| 1.05 | 2.7% |

E. EXISTING THERMAL RESOURCES

The existing thermal resources included in the 2021 and 2024 study are shown in the following table. All input data was based on the most recent PROMOD simulations and interactions with PNM planning.

| Table 6. Summary of Resources | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Unit Name | Fuel Type | Capacity (MW) | Location | | | |
| AFTON | Natural Gas | 230 | PNM-South | | | |
| FOUR CORNERS 4 | Coal | 100 | PNM-Four Corners | | | |
| FOUR CORNERS 5 | Coal | 100 | PNM-Four Corners | | | |
| PALO VERDE 1 | Uranium | 134 | PNM-Four Corners | | | |
| PALO VERDE 2 | Uranium | 134 | PNM-Four Corners | | | |
| PALO VERDE 3 | Uranium | 134 | PNM-Four Corners | | | |
| REEVES 1 | Natural Gas | 44 | PNM-North | | | |
| REEVES 2 | Natural Gas | 44 | PNM-North | | | |
| REEVES 3 | Natural Gas | 66 | PNM-North | | | |
| SAN JUAN 1 | Coal | 170 | PNM-Four Corners | | | |
| SAN JUAN 4 | Coal | 327 | PNM-Four Corners | | | |
| RIO BRAVO 1 | Natural Gas | 132 | PNM-North | | | |
| VALENCIA | Natural Gas | 158 | PNM-North | | | |
| LORDSBURG 1 | Natural Gas | 40 | PNM-South | | | |
| LORDSBURG 2 | Natural Gas | 40 | PNM-South | | | |
| LUNA 1 | Natural Gas | 190 | PNM-South | | | |
| LALUZ | Natural Gas | 40 | PNM-North | | | |

20

To accurately reflect the flexibility of the PNM system, each resource was modeled with detailed heat rate curves, min-up and min-down times, startup times, and ramp rates. All constraints were respected by SERVM in the simulations and are shown in Table 7. All units except for Palo Verde 1-3 were allowed to serve regulating and spinning reserves. Only Lordsburg 1 and 2, and La Luz were able to serve non-spinning reserves.

| Unit Name | Capacity MW | Minimum Capacity MW | 10 Min Ramping Capability MW | Min-up Time Hours | Min-down Time Hours | Startup Time Hours | Serve Regulation | Serve Quick Start (Non-Spin) |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| AFTON | 230 | 160 | 80.9 | 4 | 4 | 3 | Y | Ν |
| FOUR CORNERS 4 | 100 | 65 | 5.55 | 20 | 20 | 24 | Y | Ν |
| FOUR CORNERS 5 | 100 | 65 | 5.55 | 20 | 20 | 24 | Y | Ν |
| PALO VERDE 1 | 134 | 134 | 0 | 48 | 100 | 48 | Ν | Ν |
| PALO VERDE 2 | 134 | 134 | 0 | 48 | 100 | 48 | Ν | Ν |
| PALO VERDE 3 | 134 | 134 | 0 | 48 | 100 | 48 | Ν | Ν |
| REEVES 1 | 44 | 9 | 20.9 | 3 | 3 | 2 | Y | Ν |
| REEVES 2 | 44 | 90 | 19 | 3 | 3 | 2 | Y | Ν |
| REEVES 3 | 66 | 13 | 26.4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | Y | Ν |
| SAN JUAN 1 | 170 | 110 | 1.64 | 20 | 20 | 24 | Y | Ν |
| SAN JUAN 4 | 327 | 115 | 27.2 | 20 | 20 | 24 | Y | Ν |
| RIO BRAVO 1 | 132 | 80 | 52.8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | Y | Ν |
| VALENCIA | 158 | 66 | 13.1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | Y | Ν |
| LORDSBURG 1 | 40 | 50 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Y | Y |
| LORDSBURG 2 | 40 | 5 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Y | Y |
| LA LUZ | 40 | 5 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Y | Y |
| LUNA 1 | 190 | 100 | 190 | 8 | 8 | 3 | Y | Ν |

From a cost standpoint, the following fuel prices, startup costs, and variable O&M costs were used for each unit for 2021. The final column shows the July dispatch price at max for 2021.

| Unit Name | July 2021 Fuel Price \$/MMBtu | Startup Cost \$/Start | Variable O&M \$/MWh | Heat Rate at Max Btu/kWh | <u>July Dispatch</u> <u>Price at Max</u> <u>Capacity \$/MWh</u> |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| AFTON | \$4.33 | \$8,050 | 3.70 | 7.49 | 34.05 |
| FOUR CORNERS 4 | \$2.73 | \$0 | 0 | 10.11 | 31.00 |
| FOUR CORNERS 5 | \$2.73 | \$0 | 0 | 10.11 | 31.00 |
| PALO VERDE 1 | \$1.12 | \$0 | 0 | 10.3 | 12.46 |
| PALO VERDE 2 | \$1.12 | \$0 | 0 | 10.3 | 12.46 |
| PALO VERDE 3 | \$1.12 | \$0 | 0 | 10.3 | 12.46 |
| REEVES 1 | \$5.10 | \$0 | 1.00 | 10.75 | 45.18 |
| REEVES 2 | \$5.10 | \$0 | 1.00 | 11.08 | 46.54 |
| REEVES 3 | \$5.10 | \$0 | 1.00 | 11.00 | 46.23 |
| SAN JUAN 1 | \$1.15 | \$0 | 1.01 | 10.29 | 15.00 |
| SAN JUAN 4 | \$1.15 | \$0 | 1.01 | 10.35 | 15.00 |
| RIO BRAVO 1 | \$5.10 | \$6,900 | 3.00 | 10.28 | 45.71 |
| VALENCIA | \$4.33 | \$7,250 | 6.98 | 10.56 | 49.89 |
| LORDSBURG 1 | \$4.33 | \$0 | 5.50 | 9.60 | 44.38 |
| LORDSBURG 2 | \$4.33 | \$0 | 5.50 | 9.58 | 44.29 |
| LA LUZ | \$4.33 | \$0 | 5.50 | 9.45 | 43.81 |
| LUNA 1 | \$4.33 | \$0 | 7.56 | 7.41 | 31.70 |

Table 8. Heat Rate and Cost Characteristics

F. UNIT OUTAGE DATA

Unlike typical production cost models, SERVM is not provided an Equivalent Forced Outage Rate (EFOR) for each unit as an input. Instead, historical Generating Availability Data System (GADS) data events are entered for each unit, and SERVM randomly draws from these events to simulate the unit outages. Historical events are entered using the following variables:

Full Outage Modeling

Time-to-Repair Hours Time-to-Fail Hours

Partial Outage Modeling

Partial Outage Time-to-Repair Hours Partial Outage Derate Percentage Partial Outage Time-to-Fail Hours

Maintenance Outages

Maintenance Outage Rate - % of time in a month that the unit will be on maintenance outage. SERVM uses this percentage and schedules the maintenance outages during off peak periods

Planned Outages

Specific time periods are entered for planned outages. Typically these are performed during shoulder months.

As an example, assume that from 2013 through 2017, Four Corners 4 had 15 full outage events and 30 partial outage events reported in the GADs data. The Time-to-Repair and Time-to-Fail between each event is calculated from the GADS data. These multiple Time-to-Repair and Time-to-Fail inputs are the distributions used by SERVM. Since there typically is an improvement in EFOR across the summer, the data is broken up into seasons such that there is a set of Time-to-Repair and Time-to-Fail inputs for summer, off peak, and winter based on history. Further, assume Four Corners 1 is online in hour 1 of the simulation. SERVM will randomly draw a Time-to-Fail value from the distribution provided for both full outages and partial outages. The unit will run for that amount of time before failing. A partial outage will be triggered first if the selected Time-to-Fail value is lower than the selected full outage Time-to-Fail value. Next, the model will draw a Time-to-Repair value from the distribution and be on outage for that number of hours. When the repair is complete it will draw a new Time-to-Fail value. The process repeats until the end of the iteration when it will begin again for the subsequent iteration. The full outage counters and partial outage counters run in parallel. This

more detailed modeling is important to capture the tails of the distribution that a simple convolution method would not capture.

The most important aspect of unit performance modeling in reliability studies is the cumulative MW offline distribution. Most service reliability problems are due to significant coincident outages. Figure 3 shows the distribution of outages for the PNM Balancing area based on historical modeled outages. The figure demonstrates that in any given hour, the system can have between 0 and 1000 MW of its generators offline due to forced outages. The figure shows that in approximately 10% of all hours throughout the year, the balancing area has greater than 400 MW in a non-planned outage condition. This is typically comprised of several units that are on forced outage at the same time.



Figure 3. Conventional Resources on Forced Outage as a Percentage of Time

Table 9 shows modeled EFOR rates for each individual unit.

| | | Table 9. FC |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Unit Name | Fuel Type | EFOR |
| AFTON | Natural Gas | 4% |
| FOUR CORNERS 4 | Coal | 20% |
| FOUR CORNERS 5 | Coal | 20% |
| PALO VERDE 1 | Uranium | 2% |
| PALO VERDE 2 | Uranium | 2% |
| PALO VERDE 3 | Uranium | 2% |
| REEVES 1 | Natural Gas | 3% |
| REEVES 2 | Natural Gas | 2.27% |
| REEVES 3 | Natural Gas | 3% |
| SAN JUAN 1 | Coal | 17% |
| SAN JUAN 4 | Coal | 17% |
| RIO BRAVO 1 | Natural Gas | 3% |
| VALENCIA | Natural Gas | 3% |
| LORDSBURG 1 | Natural Gas | 3% |
| LORDSBURG 2 | Natural Gas | 3% |
| LA LUZ | Natural Gas | 3% |
| LUNA 1 | Natural Gas | 4% |

Table 9. Forced Outage Rate Data

Planned maintenance rates for 2021 and 2024 are shown in Table 10.

| Unit | Days | Rate |
|-----------------|------|------|
| AFTON | 35 | 10% |
| FOUR CORNERS 4 | 12 | 3% |
| FOUR CORNERS 5 | 8 | 2% |
| PALO VERDE 1 | 0 | 0% |
| PALO VERDE 2 | 35 | 10% |
| PALO VERDE 3 | 35 | 10% |
| REEVES 1 | 12 | 3% |

 Table 10. Planned Maintenance Rates

| REEVES 2 | 12 | 3% |
|-------------|----|----|
| REEVES 3 | 12 | 3% |
| SAN JUAN 1 | 0 | 0% |
| SAN JUAN 4 | 28 | 8% |
| RIO BRAVO 1 | 12 | 3% |
| VALENCIA | 12 | 3% |
| LORDSBURG 1 | 4 | 1% |
| LORDSBURG 2 | 4 | 1% |
| LA LUZ | 4 | 1% |
| LUNA 1 | 8 | 2% |

G. RENEWABLE RESOURCE MODELING

Table 11 shows the solar resources that were captured in the study along with the capacity credit assigned to each resource for reserve margin calculation purposes only.

| Projects | Total (MW) | СОД | PV Technology | Capacity Credit for Reserve Margin Calculations |
|----------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---|
| ABQ Solar | 2 | 4/8/2011 | Fixed Tilt | 55% |
| Los Lunas I | 5 | 6/1/2011 | Fixed Tilt | 55% |
| Deming | 5 | 8/3/2011 | Fixed Tilt | 55% |
| Alamogordo | 5 | 10/14/2011 | Fixed Tilt | 55% |
| Las Vegas (Gallinas) | 5 | 11/24/2011 | Fixed Tilt | 55% |
| Manzano | 8 | 10/18/2013 | Fixed Tilt | 55% |
| Los Lunas II | 2 | 10/17/2013 | Fixed Tilt | 55% |
| Deming II | 4 | 11/8/2013 | Fixed Tilt | 55% |
| Otero | 7.5 | 12/10/2013 | Fixed Tilt | 55% |
| Prosperity | 0.5 | 10/25/2011 | Fixed Tilt | 55% |
| Sandoval County | 8 | 2015 | Single Axis Tracking | 68% |
| Meadowlake | 9 | 2015 | Single Axis Tracking | 68% |
| Cibola County | 6 | 2015 | Single Axis Tracking | 68% |
| Solar PV Tier 1 | 40 | 2016 | Single Axis Tracking | 76% |

Table 11. Solar Resources

| New Projects | Total (MW) | COD | Technology | |
|-----------------------------|------------|------|----------------------|-----|
| Data Center 1 Solar 1 30 MW | 30 | 2018 | Single Axis Tracking | 76% |
| Data Center 1 Solar 2 40 MW | 40 | 2019 | Single Axis Tracking | 76% |
| Solar PV 2016 RFP | 49.5 | 2020 | Single Axis Tracking | 35% |
| Data Center 1 Solar 3 30 MW | 30 | 2020 | Single Axis Tracking | 76% |
| Data Center 1 Solar 4 30 MW | 30 | 2021 | Single Axis Tracking | 76% |
| Data Center 1 Solar 5 40MW | 40 | 2022 | Single Axis Tracking | 76% |
| Data Center 1 Solar 6 20MW | 20 | 2023 | Single Axis Tracking | 76% |
| Solar PV Large | 50 | 2024 | Single Axis Tracking | 35% |

Total by 2024 396.5*

*Including Tri-State, there is a total of 451.5 MW of solar in the PNM balancing area

Solar shapes were developed from data downloaded from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory ("NREL") National Solar Radiation Database ("NSRDB") Data Viewer. Data was available for the years 1998 through 2014. Data was downloaded from 6 different cities within the PNM balancing area and the projects were matched with a city for modeling purposes. Historical solar data from the NREL NSRDB Data Viewer included variables such as temperature, cloud cover, humidity, dew point, and global solar irradiance. The data obtained from the NSRDB Data Viewer was then used as an input into NREL's System Advisory Model ("SAM") for each year and city to generate the hourly solar profiles based on the solar weather data for both a fixed solar photovoltaic (PV) plant and a tracking solar PV plant. Inputs in SAM included the DC to AC ratio of the inverter module and the tilt and azimuth angle of the PV array. Data was normalized by dividing each point by the input array size of 4,000 kW DC. Solar profiles for 1980 to 1998 were selected by using the daily solar profiles from the day that most closely matched the total load out of the corresponding data for the days that we had for the 17-year interval. The profiles for the remaining years 1998 to 2014 came directly from the normalized raw data. Figure 4 shows the average output by hour of day for one of the city's fixed and tracking profiles.



Table 12 displays the wind resources modeled in the study.

| Table 12. Wind Resources | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|------|--|--|--|
| Projects | Total (MW) | COD | | | |
| NWWEC | 200 | 2000 | | | |
| Red Mesa | 102 | 2011 | | | |
| 2016 RFP Wind PPA 50 MW | 50 | 2018 | | | |
| Data Center 1 Wind 1 50 MW | 50 | 2018 | | | |
| Data Center 1 Wind 2 50 MW | 50 | 2020 | | | |
| Data Center 1 Wind 3 50 MW | 50 | 2021 | | | |
| Data Center 1 Wind 4 30 MW | 30 | 2022 | | | |
| Total by 2021 | 501.2 | | | | |
| Total by 2024 | 531.2 | | | | |

For the wind resources, 5 years of hourly data was available from the NM Wind Energy Center and Red Mesa wind projects. Based on the raw data, there was little to no correlation with load or weather variables. Therefore, instead of developing a weather/wind shape relationship, Astrapé used the 5 years of data and allowed the model to randomly draw days from those years. The draws were done

by season and load level. For example, in July during a peak load period, the model draws from daily historical July shapes when load is above a specific threshold. By performing the wind modeling in this manner, we ensured that our capacity factors and wind output from hour to hour reflect historical profiles¹². Figure 5 shows the average profiles by hour of day and month.

¹² If Astrapé had instead attempted to develop a neural net system for the weather to wind relationship, it is likely that the profiles would have not reflected the hour to hour movement that was seen in history which is important in system flexibility analysis.





The 10 MW geothermal resource was treated as a must run resource for this study.

H. LOAD, WIND, AND SOLAR UNCERTAINTY DEVELOPMENT

For purposes of understanding the economic and reliability impacts of renewable profile uncertainty, we capture the implications of unpredictable intra-hour volatility. To develop data to be used in the SERVM simulations, Astrapé used 2016 five-minute data for solar resources, wind resources, and load. Within the simulations, SERVM commits to the expected net load and then has to react to intra hour volatility as seen in history.

Intra-Hour Forecast Error and Volatility

Within each hour, all three components of net load (load, wind, and solar), can move unexpectedly due to both natural variation and forecast error. SERVM attempts to replicate this uncertainty, and the conventional resources must be dispatched to meet the changing net load patterns. An example of the volatile net load pattern compared to a smooth intra-hour ramp is shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Volatile Net Load vs. Smoothed Net Load

The intra-hour distributions of variation used in the simulation are shown in Figures 7 - 9. The 5 minute variability in load is quite low ranging mostly between $\pm -3\%$ on a normalized basis.

Figure 7. Load Intra-Hour Variation



The variability of solar and wind is much higher ranging from $\pm -20\%$ with the majority movements ranging between $\pm -7\%$. The system must have enough online reserves to cover these 5 minute moves as all quick start capacity still requires 10 minutes for startup.



I. DEMAND RESPONSE MODELING

Demand response programs are modeled as resources in the simulations. They are modeled with specific contract limits including seasonal capability, hours per year, and hours per day constraints. Table 13 shows a breakdown of the Demand Response modeled in the Study. The resources are called when temperatures in the region meet a specific threshold. For the modeling, Astrapé and PNM agreed to set the dispatch of these resources where they would be called on average 50 hours per year but would be available for all hours of every summer.

| | Table 13. Demand Response Resources | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | Power Saver Program | Peak Saver Program | | |
| Capacity (MW) | 53.75 | 20 | | |
| Season | June-Sept | June-Sept | | |
| Hours Per Year | 100 | 100 | | |
| Hours Per Day | 4 | 6 | | |

J. 2021 AND 2024 LOAD/RESOURCE SUMMARY

Table 14 shows the load and resource summary for both study years for the PNM balancing area. All Distributed Generation (DG) PV is removed from load in the calculations, however, the DG PV was modeled as a resource in the simulations. The renewable values represent their appropriate capacity values and not nameplate values.

| Region | PNM | PNM | PNM |
|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | Current Portfolio | SJGS Retires | SJGS Continues |
| Year | 2021 | 2024 | 2024 |
| Summer Peak Load | 2,434 | 2,515 | 2,515 |
| Nuclear Resources | 402 | 402 | 298 |
| Coal/Combined Cycle | 1,472 | 975 | 1,472 |
| Peaking Resources | 724 | 1,182 | 913 |
| Demand Response Resources | 54 | 54 | 54 |
| Renewable Resources | 241 | 302 | 285 |
| Total Resources | 2,893 | 2,915 | 3,022 |
| Reserve Margin | 18.8% | 15.9% | 20.2% |

Table 14. PNM Balancing Area Load and Resources

Notes: Includes Tri -State Load and Resources

- \circ Reserve Margin = (Resources Demand) / Demand
 - Demand is the Annual Peak Load Forecast.
 - Demand response programs are included as resources and not subtracted from demand.
 - Wind resources are counted as 5% of nameplate capacity and PV resources are counted based on the capacity credit shown in Section G.

K. EXTERNAL MARKET MODELING

For a utility the size of PNM, the market plays a significant role in economic and reliability results. If several of PNM's large generators were experiencing an outage at the same time (even if loads weren't extremely high), and PNM did not have access to surrounding markets, there is a high likelihood of unserved load. The market representation used in SERVM was developed through consultation with PNM staff, FERC Forms, EIA Forms, and reviews of IRP information from neighboring regions. Table 15 shows the breakdown of capacity for each external region captured in the modeling. Each external region was modeled near its target reserve margin based on publicly available IRP information. While it is expected that reserves could be higher than this in the short term, it is not appropriate to incorporate such an assumption since it would represent an ability of PNM to lean heavily on external regions to meet reserve margin assuming that these external regions would have excess capacity perpetually. By setting the study up this way, only weather diversity and generator outage diversity are being captured amongst neighboring utilities.

| | Table 15. External Regions | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| | Arizona Entities | EPE | PSCO | SWPSC |
| | | | | |
| Summer Peak Load Forecast (MW) | 18,800 | 1,956 | 6,270 | 5,147 |
| Target RM* | 15% | 15% | 13.40% | 14% |
| | | | | |
| Nuclear | 1,824 | 624 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | |
| Coal/Combined Cycle | 14,705 | 369 | 5,265 | 2,765 |
| Peaking | 3,991 | 1,233 | 1,519 | 2,982 |
| Pump Storage | 176 | 0 | 162 | 0 |
| Hydro | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PV | 1,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wind | 0 | 0 | 2,100 | 1,250 |
| DR | 165 | 30 | 63 | 51 |
| Total Capacity | 22,061 | 2,256 | 9,109 | 7,048 |

*Reserve Margins assume 55% capacity credit for solar and 5% capacity credit for wind

The study topology including transmission capability is shown in Figure 10. The SERVM model dispatches each region's resources to load and then allows regions to share energy on an hourly basis based on economics but subject to transmission constraints. Changes in energy purchases are not allowed intra-hour. Regulating and spinning reserves are not allowed to be purchased from external regions but the additional hourly energy purchased allows for PNM to lower the dispatch of its own units to serve these ancillary services. Given the deficiency in load side generation in the PNM–North region, a substantial amount of energy will be transferred from the Four Corners Region and PNM–South. For these purposes, SERVM allows the PNM balancing area to be committed and dispatched together to a common system load. This includes PNM-North, PNM-Four Corners, PNM-South, Tri-State North, and Tri-State South. Then this smaller system can purchase and sell resources to the external region as appropriate.

It is obvious that the transmission is constrained within the balancing area and from outside the balancing area. As the location of additional conventional or renewable generation is not located in the PNM-N or PNM-S regions, the reliability results of this study are impacted in a negative way.





*All transmission constraints are in MW

The transfers within the PNM balancing area were based on the production cost of the resources. The cost of transfers between external regions and PNM are based on marginal costs with a \$10/MWh profit margin. In cases where a region is short of resources, scarcity pricing is added to the marginal costs. As a region's hourly reserve margin approaches zero, the scarcity pricing for that region increases. Figure 11 shows the scarcity pricing curve that was used in the simulations. It should be

noted that the frequency of these scarcity prices are very low because in the majority of hours, there is plenty of capacity to meet load after the market has cleared¹³.





L. ANCILLARY SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

For this study, three distinct ancillary services were modeled: Regulating Reserves, Spinning Reserves, and Non-Spinning Reserves. Traditional contingency reserves are defined as spinning and non-spinning reserves. Four percent of load was required for 10 min regulating reserves at all times, which equates to 100 MW during peak conditions and 60 MW on average. Only units with Automatic Generation Control (AGC) can serve this need. Firm load would be shed to maintain this regulation requirement. The spinning requirement was varied from three percent of load to seven percent of load to understand the impact additional spin has on reliability. SERVM commits enough resources to

¹³The market clearing algorithm within SERVM attempts to get all regions to the same price subject to transmission constraints and the \$10/MWh profit margin. If a region's original price is \$1,000/MWh based on the conditions and scarcity pricing in that region alone, it is highly probable that a surrounding region will provide enough capacity to that region to bring prices down to reasonable levels.
meet this requirement, but in the scenario where resources are not available, the spinning requirement can be reduced to zero. The non-spin requirement was set to 4% of load.

M. COST OF UNSERVED ENERGY

Unserved energy costs were derived based on information from national studies completed for the Department of Energy in 2003¹⁴ and 2009¹⁵ along with three other studies performed previously by different consultants. The national studies were compilations of other surveys performed by utilities over the last two decades. All studies split the customer class categories into residential, commercial, and industrial. The values were then applied to the actual PNM customer class mix to develop system unserved energy cost values. Table 16 shows those results. The final results were escalated at 2% per year to 2021 dollars for purposes of this study. Expected unserved energy costs are also a very small percentage of total system costs near the economic optimum reserve margin.

| | | Tab | le 16. Unserved | Energy Costs | | |
|-------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | | 2003 DOE Study | 2009 DOE Study | Christiansen Associates | Billinton and Wacker | Karuiki and Allan |
| | | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 |
| | Weightings | \$/kW-yr | \$/kW-yr | \$/kW-yr | \$/kW-yr | \$/kW-yr |
| | | | | | | |
| Residential | 45% | 1.55 | 0.54 | 3.32 | 2.91 | 1.34 |
| Commercial | 46% | 88.83 | 78.58 | 23.83 | 24.77 | 26.37 |
| Industrial | 9% | 29.61 | 7.25 | 12.35 | 24.77 | 62.5 |
| | | | | | | |
| Weighted Av | erage | | | | | |
| \$/kWh | | 43.91 | 36.71 | 13.5 | 14.9 | 18.42 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Average | | | | | | |
| \$/kWh | | 25.49 | | | | |

¹⁴ <u>http://certs.lbl.gov/pdf/54365.pdf</u>
¹⁵ <u>http://certs.lbl.gov/pdf/lbnl-2132e.pdf</u>

N. COST OF RENEWABLE CURTAILMENT

For this study, the cost of renewable curtailment was assumed to be equal to the PPA price for wind and solar energy which was approximately \$40/MWh.

IV. SERVM MODEL AND METHODOLOGY

The SERVM Model is a chronological generation commitment and dispatch model that allows users to simulate electric systems down to 1 minute intervals taking into account all unit constraints while co-optimizing energy and ancillary services. Many planning models do not take into account all unit constraints and do not dispatch on a chronological basis, all which is essential in understanding intra hour system flexibility and renewable integration costs. SERVM outputs both physical reliability metrics such as $LOLE_{CAP}$ and $LOLE_{FLEX}$ as well as total system balancing area costs of every scenario simulated. When SERVM commits and dispatches resources to net load, it doesn't have perfect knowledge of the load and renewable profiles on a five minute interval. SERVM is used by entities across the U.S. including the Southern Company, TVA, Duke Energy, ERCOT, Pacific Gas & Electric, and the California Public Utilities Commission for resource adequacy and renewable integration analysis. Because of its rapid commitment and dispatch engine, it is able to simulate thousands of iterations varying load, generator outages, and renewable profiles across a multi area topology. Since most reliability events are high impact, low probability events, evaluating thousands of iterations is essential. As discussed previously, SERVM utilized 36 years of historical weather and load shapes, 7 points of economic load growth forecast error, and 10 iterations of unit outage draws for each scenario to represent the full distribution of realistic scenarios. The number of yearly simulation cases equals 36 weather years *7 load forecast errors *10 unit outage iterations = 2,520total iterations for each scenario modeled. The 2,520 iterations represent full year simulations at 5 minute intervals.

An example of probabilities given for each case is shown in Table 17. Each weather year is given equal probability and each weather year is multiplied by the probability of each load forecast error point to calculate the case probability.

| | | Load Multipliers | | |
|--------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Weather Year | due to Load | Load Multiplier | |
| Weather Year | Probability | Forecast Error | Probability | Case Probability |
| 1980 | 3.03% | 95% | 2.70% | 0.082% |
| 1980 | 3.03% | 97% | 14.00% | 0.424% |
| 1980 | 3.03% | 99% | 23.80% | 0.721% |
| 1980 | 3.03% | 100% | 19.00% | 0.576% |
| 1980 | 3.03% | 101% | 23.80% | 0.721% |
| 1980 | 3.03% | 103% | 14.00% | 0.424% |
| 1980 | 3.03% | 105% | 2.70% | 0.082% |
| 1981 | 3.03% | 95% | 2.70% | 0.082% |
| 1981 | 3.03% | 97% | 14.00% | 0.424% |
| 1981 | 3.03% | 99% | 23.80% | 0.721% |
| 1981 | 3.03% | 100% | 19.00% | 0.576% |
| 1981 | 3.03% | 101% | 23.80% | 0.721% |
| 1981 | 3.03% | 103% | 14.00% | 0.424% |
| 1981 | 3.03% | 105% | 2.70% | 0.082% |

 Table 17. Case Probability Example

For each case, and ultimately each iteration, SERVM commits and dispatches resources to load and ancillary service requirements by region on a 5-minute basis. As discussed in the load and renewable uncertainty sections, SERVM does not have perfect knowledge of the load or renewable resource output as it determines its commitment. SERVM begins with a week-ahead commitment, and as the prompt hour approaches the model is allowed to make adjustments to its commitment as units fail and more certainty around load and renewable output is gained. Ultimately, SERVM forces the system to react to these uncertainties while maintaining all unit constraints such as ramp rates, startup times, and min-up and min-down times. During each iteration, Loss of Load Expectation (LOLE) is calculated and the model splits LOLE into two categories based on the definition outlined in the following paragraph: (1) LOLE_{CAP} and (2) LOLE_{FLEX}.

(1) LOLE_{CAP}: number of loss of load events due to capacity shortages, calculated in events per year.
 Figure 12 shows an example of a capacity shortfall which typically occurs across the peak of a day.



Figure 12. LOLECAP Example

The industry standard is to maintain 1 LOLE_{CAP} event every 10 years which is equivalent to 0.1 $LOLE_{CAP}$ per year. As discussed in the 2013 RM Study, a utility the size of PNM will have difficulty meeting 0.1 $LOLE_{CAP}$ due simply to the fact that it's largest single contingency is 13% of its peak load (327 MW/2,432 MW of load) versus a 20,000 MW load system whose largest unit (1,000 MW) only makes up 5% of its peak load.

(2) $LOLE_{FLEX}$: number of loss of load events due to system flexibility problems, calculated in events per year. In other words, there was enough capacity installed but not enough flexibility to meet the net load ramps, or startup times prevented a unit coming online fast enough to meet the unanticipated ramps. Figures 13 and 14 shows $LOLE_{FLEX}$ examples. Figure 13 shows a multi hour ramping problem in which load could not be met whereas Figure 14 shows an intra hour ramping problem. Both of these loss of load events are categorized as $LOLE_{FLEX}$ events. The vast majority of $LOLE_{FLEX}$ events fall under the intra hour problems seen in Figure 14. These events are typically very short in duration and are caused by a rapid decline in solar or wind resources over a short time interval.



Figure 13. Multi Hour LOLEFLEX



This is a new metric introduced by SERVM so no industry standard is currently set to capture reliability due to flexibility issues. Generally, these type of flexibility events are short, less than an hour, and low in magnitude compared to traditional $LOLE_{CAP}$ events.

Other key metrics recorded for each iteration are (3) renewable curtailment and (4) total costs.

(3) Renewable curtailment: Renewable curtailment occurs during over-generation periods when the system cannot ramp down fast enough to meet net load.

(4) Total PNM Balance Area Costs: Production costs + Purchase Costs - Sales Revenue + ExpectedUnserved Energy Costs

These reliability and cost components are calculated for each of the 252 cases and weighted based on probability to calculate an expected total cost for each study simulated. Production costs include all fuel burn , variable O&M, startup costs, and CO_2 costs. Costs for renewable generation are based on Power PPA pricing.

Purchase costs represent any costs for purchases of power from external entities while sales revenue includes any sales to external entities. Expected unserved energy costs includes all the firm load shed events which are priced at the cost of unserved energy.

V. RESERVE MARGIN STUDY

To determine the appropriate amount of generating capacity needed on the PNM system, the 2021 study year was simulated for a wide range of reserve margins. To reduce reserve margin levels, CT capacity was removed from the system and then incremental Frame CT resources were added to the system. Figure 15 shows the $LOLE_{CAP}$ from 10% to 24% reserve margin. As reserve margin increases, $LOLE_{CAP}$ decreases. The standard used in the electric industry is the 1 day in 10 year standard which translates to 1 firm load shed event every 10 years or 0.1 $LOLE_{CAP}$. To meet this standard, a 21% reserve margin is needed in the balancing area. Given the smaller size of PNM, Astrapé has recommended in previous testimony and reports that the minimum target reserve margin level should be set at 0.2 $LOLE_{CAP}$ which equates to a 17% reserve margin. Adopting this reserve margin would mean that the system should expect to have a firm load event due to capacity shortages two times every ten years. This 17% reserve margin is higher than the current approved reserve margin of 13% which would expect 4 firm load shed events every ten years.



Figure 15. Base Case Reserve Margin LOLE_{CAP} Results

The Base Case Reserve Margin analysis assumes market assistance from neighbors based on the transmission inputs and load/resource balances discussed in the Input Sections of the report. Figure 16 shows the market assistance as a function of peak load during capacity shortage hours within the simulations. In the Base Case reserve margin study, the PNM balancing purchases several hundred MWs from neighboring regions. The simulations are stochastic, so in some hours there are zero MWs available, but in many hours when PNM has significant capacity offline due to forced outages there is substantial capacity in the marketplace.



Figure 16. Market Purchases as a Function of Peak Load

As current markets are changing within the WECC region, PNM believes there is risk in the simulations due to underlying market assistance assumptions. Figure 17 shows the actual purchases during peak load hours from 2013 - 2016. The actual values range from 0 - 400 MW. The majority of the points in Figure 16 align well with the actual values in Figure 17, however, a subset of the points from the simulations are higher than the 0 - 400 MW range.



Figure 17. Actual Purchases During Peak Load (2013-2016)

In order to understand how sensitive the results were to this assumption, the simulations were repeated capping the market assistance at 300 MW, 150 MW, and 0 MW which represents an island scenario. The 300 MW and 150 MW caps were developed from the actual purchases shown in Figure 17. Table 18 shows these results. In order to meet the 0.2 LOLE_{CAP} requirement, a 22.5% reserve margin is needed for the 300 MW cap case and a 27.4% reserve margin is needed for the 150 MW cap scenario. In the island case, a 35% reserve margin is required. Given the large units on the PNM system and the total size of the system, the market interaction is a significant assumption and does impose risk on operators because while assistance from neighbors is expected in many hours there is no guarantee it will be available. In summary, Astrapé believes that the current 13% reserve margin is too low and PNM should at target at minimum a 17% reserve margin.

| | | 300 MW | 150 MW | ISLAND |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Reserve Margin | Base Case | Import Limit | Import Limit | No Import Limit |
| 9.1% | 0.73 | Did not simulate | Did not simulate | Did not simulate |
| 10.7% | 0.54 | Did not simulate | Did not simulate | Did not simulate |
| 12.4% | 0.44 | Did not simulate | Did not simulate | Did not simulate |
| 14.1% | 0.33 | Did not simulate | Did not simulate | Did not simulate |
| 15.7% | 0.24 | 0.74 | 2.33 | Did not simulate |
| 17.4% | 0.19 | 0.47 | 1.75 | Did not simulate |
| 19.1% | 0.14 | 0.38 | 1.18 | Did not simulate |
| 20.7% | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.96 | Did not simulate |
| 22.4% | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.67 | Did not simulate |
| 24.1% | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.45 | 2.92 |
| 25.7% | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.29 | 1.85 |
| 27.4% | Did not simulate | 0.04 | 0.2 | 1.43 |
| 29.0% | Did not simulate | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.87 |
| 30.7% | Did not simulate | Did not simulate | Did not simulate | 0.61 |
| 32.4% | Did not simulate | Did not simulate | Did not simulate | 0.39 |
| 34.0% | Did not simulate | Did not simulate | Did not simulate | 0.24 |

Table 18. Reserve Margin Sensitivities - LOLECAP

To address a question that was asked in the public Advisory Session, Astrapé reviewed how the results were impacted if only the last ten years of weather were included in the modeling. This can be performed by attributing 0% probability to the first 26 years and giving 10% probability to the last 10 years. The results did not indicate a dramatic difference. At the 17.4% reserve margin level, the $LOLE_{CAP}$ is 0.176 events per year when only including the most recent 10 years of weather versus the 0.19 reported over all 36 weather years. This results in approximately a 1.5% reduction in reserve margin to meet the 0.2 $LOLE_{CAP}$ target.

VI. FLEXIBILITY METRICS

Using the 2021 current portfolio and the 2024 SJGS Retires portfolio, the operational capability of the system to meet net load ramps on a 5-minute basis was analyzed. Recall from the input sections that the regulation up requirement is 4% of load in all hours and that load is shed in the simulations to maintain this minimum level of operating reserves. SERVM also commits enough resources on the system to maintain a load following target in addition to the regulation up requirement which was varied in the analysis at 3%, 5%, and 7% of load. In addition, there was a 4% non-spinning requirement modeled in each of the simulations. With a higher load following target (online reserves), the system better manages net load ramps when wind or solar decreases unexpectedly. The 2021 and 2024 results are shown in Table 19. $LOLE_{FLEX}$ is reduced as the load following target increases, but renewable curtailment or overgen events increase. Also, PNM balance area costs increase as load following increases. This is due to units being operated further from maximum output and at higher heat rates along with purchases made in the market to ensure the online reserves. The results show that with the current expansion plan, the LOLE_{FLEX} events can be managed by increasing the load following target to 7%. The corresponding renewable curtailment is approximately 1% of the renewable fleet. Note the renewable penetration levels shown in the table are for the balancing area. This is simply the renewable generation divided by the load.

While the renewable fleet is larger in 2024, the retirement of San Juan 1 and 4 and the addition of gas peaking units provides additional flexibility to the system as shown by a lower LOLE_{FLEX} value with 7% load following. Also, even though there is a higher reserve margin in 2021 (18.8% in 2021 vs. 15.9% in 2024), the LOLE_{CAP} is lower for 2024 due to the retirements of San Juan 1 and 4 which are modeled with a 17% EFOR.

| | BA Renewable Penetration / PNM Renewable Gen | LF Targe t | Renewable Curtailmen t | Renewable Curtailmen t | LOLE _{CA} | LOLE _{FLE} X | PNM Balanc e Area Costs |
|----------------------------------|--|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | % of Load/GWh | % of Load | % of Renewable | MWh | Events Per Year | Events Per Year | М\$ |
| 2021 Current Portfoli o | 17%/2,322 | 3% | 0.83% | 19,579 | 0.165 | 1.02 | 339.3 |
| 2021 Current Portfoli o | 17%/2,322 | 5% | 0.97% | 22,833 | 0.141 | 0.25 | 343.6 |
| 2021 Current Portfoli o | 17%/2,322 | 7% | 1.11% | 26,265 | 0.138 | 0.16 | 348.0 |

| Table 19. 2021/2024 Flexibility Metrics |
|---|
|---|

| | BA Renewable Penetration / PNM Renewable Gen | LF | Renewable Curtailmen t | Renewable Curtailmen t | LOLE _{CA} P | LOLE _{FLE} X | PNM Balanc e Area Costs |
|--------------------|--|--------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | % of Load/GWh | % of Load | % of Renewable | MWh | Events Per Year | Events Per Year | M\$ |
| 2024 SJ Retires | 19%/2,714 | 3% | 0.86% | 23,800 | 0.095 | 1.74 | 473.5 |
| 2024 SJ Retires | 19%/2,714 | 5% | 0.98% | 26,952 | 0.075 | 0.38 | 478.8 |
| 2024 SJ Retires | 19%/2,714 | 7% | 1.11% | 30,453 | 0.072 | 0.1 | 483.9 |

Table 20 shows the physical reliability metrics on a monthly basis for the 2024 study year with a 7% load following assumption. $LOLE_{CAP}$ events occur only during the summer while the flex events and

renewable curtailment events can occur at any point during the year when net load moved unexpectedly on an intra- hour basis. From an EUE perspective, the cap events are much larger than the flex events. In fact, the $LOLE_{CAP}$ events reflect 275MWh per event while the $LOLE_{FLEX}$ events reflect 11.9 MWh per event. The $LOLE_{CAP}$ events last 2.85 hours per event while the $LOLE_{FLEX}$ events last less than an hour per event.

| | Table 20. 2024 SJGS Retires: Monthly Physical Reliability Metrics | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2024 | LOLE _{Cap} | LOLE _{Flex} | EUE _{Cap} | EUE _{Flex} | Curtailment | | | | |
| Month | Events Per Year | Events Per Year | MWh | MWh | MWh | | | | |
| 1 | - | 0.0006 | 0 | 0 | 3,874 | | | | |
| 2 | - | 0.0017 | 0 | 0 | 3,722 | | | | |
| 3 | - | 0.0067 | 0 | 0.02 | 2,958 | | | | |
| 4 | - | 0.0043 | 0 | 0.01 | 2,050 | | | | |
| 5 | - | 0.0036 | 0 | 0.01 | 2,881 | | | | |
| 6 | 0.0314 | 0.0231 | 13.27 | 0.33 | 1,367 | | | | |
| 7 | 0.0219 | 0.0321 | 3.83 | 0.54 | 910 | | | | |
| 8 | 0.0185 | 0.0192 | 2.66 | 0.29 | 879 | | | | |
| 9 | - | 0.001 | 0 | 0 | 1,412 | | | | |
| 10 | - | 0.0075 | 0 | 0.02 | 1,740 | | | | |
| 11 | - | 0.0028 | 0 | 0.01 | 4,058 | | | | |
| 12 | - | 0.0007 | 0 | 0 | 4,602 | | | | |
| Total | 0.0718 | 0.1031 | 19.75 | 1.23 | 30,453 | | | | |

Table 21 shows the results assuming San Juan 1 and 4 continues operation through 2024 and no Palo Verde lease extension. $LOLE_{CAP}$ increases compared to the San Juan Retires Case due to the high EFOR on the San Juan units. The removal of the PV lease benefits the system from a flexibility standpoint allowing renewable curtailment to decrease from the previous scenario. San Juan 1 and 4

also have reasonable turn down ratios¹⁶ which helps maintain low renewable curtailment. Similar to the San Juan Retires Case, the results show that a 7% load following target is necessary to achieve reasonable reliability and manage the intra hour volatility of the load and renewable fleet. The costs decreased due to the lower fuel forecasts for San Juan compared to replacement gas capacity in the SJGS Retires Case. Recall that PNM Balance Area Costs do not include capital and fixed O&M.

 $^{^{\}rm 16}$ San Juan 1 was modeled with a minimum of 110 MW and San Juan 4 was modeled with a minimum of 115 MW.

| | BA Renewable Penetration/ PNM Renewable Gen | LF | Renewable Curtailment | Renewable Curtailment | LOLE _{CAP} | LOLE _{FLEX} | PNM Balance Area Costs |
|----------------------|--|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| _ | % of Load/GWh | % of Load | % of Renewable | MWh | Events Per Year | Events Per Year | M\$ |
| 2024 SJ Continues | 18%/2,592 | 3% | 0.47% | 12,273 | 0.16 | 1.42 | 431.1 |
| 2024 SJ Continues | 18%/2,592 | 5% | 0.58% | 15,178 | 0.13 | 0.34 | 437.9 |
| 2024 SJ Continues | 18%/2,592 | 7% | 0.72% | 18,909 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 445.4 |

 Table 21.
 2024 San Juan Continues

Table 22 shows the results requested in the Advisory Session which retires Four Corners, San Juan 1 & 4, and excludes the PV lease from the portfolio. Due to the loss of inexpensive base load capacity, the balancing area costs increase dramatically. The flexibility metrics improve resulting in a 5% load following target required to maintain reliability. Renewable curtailment is also reduced under this scenario by approximately 0.5% versus the San Juan Retires Case.

| | Iak | | 24 Sali Suali, I Su | Conners, and FV | Lease Relife | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | BA Renewable Penetration/ PNM Renewable Gen | LF | Renewable Curtailment | Renewable Curtailment | LOLE _{CAP} | LOLE _{FLEX} | PNM Balance Area Costs |
| | % of Load/GWh | % of Load | % of Renewable | MWh | Events Per Year | Events Per Year | M\$ |
| 2024 SJ, FC, PV Lease Retire | 17%/2,393 | 3% | 0.35% | 8,537 | 0.04 | 0.68 | 503.8 |
| 2024 SJ, FC, PV Lease | 17%/2,393 | 5% | 0.50% | 12,166 | 0.04 | 0.1 | 509.5 |

Table 22. 2024 San Juan, Four Corners, and PV Lease Retire

| Retire | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----|-------|--------|------|------|-------|
| 2024 SJ, FC, PV Lease Retire | 17%/2,393 | 7% | 0.65% | 15,881 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 515.4 |

VII. RENEWABLE PENETRATION STUDIES

To understand the impact of higher renewable penetration levels on the PNM system, 40%, 50%, and 80% renewable penetration scenarios were simulated for the 2024 study year. To develop these scenarios, a mix of solar and wind resources were added to the SJGS Retires Case without reducing any conventional capacity. This in turn, will only reduce $LOLE_{CAP}$, but the focus is on the operational aspects of the system for this analysis. For each of the renewable penetrations, a portfolio with more wind and one with more solar was developed using a 66.66%/33.33% ratio. Table 23 summarizes the portfolios that were simulated. Much higher load following is needed for the high penetration scenarios.

Table 23. Scenarios Simulated

| Technology | LF Target |
|--|--------------|
| Base Case | 3%, 5%, 7% |
| 2024 SJGS Retires 40% BA RPS (66.7% Solar) | 7%, 14%, 17% |
| 2024 SJGS Retires 40% BA RPS (66.7% Wind) | 7%, 14%, 17% |
| 2024 SJGS Retires 50% BA RPS (66.7% Solar) | 7%, 14%, 17% |
| 2024 SJGS Retires 50% BA RPS (66.7% Wind) | 7%, 14%, 17% |
| 2024 SJGS Retires 80% BA RPS (66.7% Solar) | 7%, 15%, 18% |
| 2024 SJGS Retires 80% BA RPS (66.7% Wind) | 7%, 15%, 18% |

Table 24 shows the results if a load following target of 7% was maintained for each scenario. While not logical since operators would be forced to increase load following reserves as penetration levels increased, keeping the 7% shows how $LOLE_{FLEX}$ increases exponentially as more variable energy resources are added to the system. Also, curtailment of renewable generation rises steadily with the increase in renewables. In the 80% renewable scenarios, 30% - 40% of the renewable fleet is being

curtailed. In the high solar cases, renewable curtailment is higher since more generation is concentrated across the day where as the high wind cases distribute the generation more evenly throughout the day. Balance area costs also increase dramatically because the PPA price is assumed for all renewable generation whether it is used to meet load or curtailed.

| | BA Renewable | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| | Penetration/ | | | | | |
| | PNM Renewable Gen | LF Target | Curtailment | Curtailment | LOLE _{FLEX} | PNM Balance Area Costs |
| | % of Load/GWh | % of Load | % of Renewable | MWh | Events Per Year | M\$ |
| 2024 SJ Retires | 19%/2,714 | 7% | 1.11% | 30,453 | 0.1 | 483.91 |
| 2024 SJ Retires | | | | | | |
| 40% RPS (66.7% Solar) | 39%/5,544 | 7% | 12.08% | 674,410 | 2.79 | 499.17 |
| 2024 SJ Retires | | | | | | |
| 40% RPS (66.7% Wind) | 38%/5,493 | 7% | 8.15% | 450,903 | 2.84 | 490.36 |
| 2024 SJ Retires | | | | | | |
| 50% RPS (66.7% Solar) | 49%/7,038 | 7% | 21.63% | 1,531,139 | 7.67 | 531.95 |
| 2024 SJ Retires | | | | | | |
| 50% RPS (66.7% Wind) | 48%/6,960 | 7% | 14.16% | 991,488 | 10.26 | 511.46 |
| 2024 SJ Retires | | | | | | |
| 80% RPS (66.7% Solar) | 80%/11,519 | 7% | 41.06% | 4,746,101 | 31.95 | 671.51 |
| 2024 SJ Retires | | | | | | |
| 80% RPS (66.7% Wind) | 79%/11,360 | 7% | 31.45% | 3,585,011 | 52.11 | 627.73 |

Table 24. Flexibility Metrics at 7% Load Following Target

Figure 18 shows the $LOLE_{FLEX}$ with a 7% load following target graphically. Either load following targets need to be increased or additional flexible generation should be added to the system in order to reduce $LOLE_{FLEX}$ in the high renewable penetration scenarios.



Figure 18. LOLE_{FLEX} with 7% Load Following as a Function of Renewable Penetration

Table 25 shows the same scenarios with higher load following targets to achieve reasonable $LOLE_{FLEX}$. While the $LOLE_{FLEX}$ is reasonable for the 40% and 50% cases, additional load following or flexible resources are needed for the 80% penetration cases above the 18% load following assumption. The renewable curtailment and balance area costs are substantial compared to the Base Case. The renewable curtailment is likely the most significant problem with the higher penetration scenarios. As shown in the next section, to reduce the renewable curtailment in the high penetration cases, resources such as energy storage are required.

| | BA Renewable Penetration/ PNM Renewable Gen | LF Target | Renewable Curtailment | Renewable Curtailment | LOLE _{FLEX} | PNM Balance Area Costs |
|--|--|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | % of Load/GWh | % of Load | % of Renewable | MWh | Events Per Year | M\$ |
| Base Case | 19%/2,714 | 7% | 1.11% | 30,453 | 0.1 | 483.91 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 40% RPS (66.7% Solar) | 39%/5,544 | 17% | 17.58% | 981,443 | 0.17 | 545.17 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 40% RPS (66.7% Wind) | 38%/5,493 | 17% | 13.41% | 741,718 | 0.06 | 535.31 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 50% RPS (66.7% Solar) | 49%/7,038 | 17% | 26.10% | 1,847,546 | 0.33 | 576.25 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 50% RPS (66.7% Wind) | 48%/6,960 | 17% | 19.90% | 1,393,006 | 0.22 | 559.21 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 80% RPS (66.7% Solar) | 80%/11,519 | 18% | 45.50% | 5,258,862 | 1.64 | 717.79 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 80% RPS (66.7% Wind) | 79%/11,360 | 18% | 37.20% | 4,241,265 | 1.33 | 678.87 |

 Table 25. Renewable Penetration Results Assuming Reasonable Load Following Targets

To achieve the 17% and 18% load following targets needed for the high penetration cases, the system must maintain 290 - 300 MW on average in online reserves as shown in Table 26. These values are incremental to the 4% regulation up requirement which is approximately 60 MW on average across the year.

| Load Following | LF Average Across the Year |
|----------------|----------------------------|
| % of Load | MW |
| 3% | 100 |
| 5% | 122 |
| 7% | 139 |
| 14% | 252 |

| 15% | 262 |
|-----|-----|
| 17% | 295 |
| 18% | 302 |

Figure 19 shows renewable curtailment as a function of the balancing area's renewable penetration which was estimated from all the simulations. The black line represents the % of the total renewable fleet curtailed at each RPS level or the average curtailment at each RPS level. The red dotted line represents the marginal curtailment at each renewable penetration level. The chart shows that once the system is at 50% RPS, more than 60% of the next MWh will be curtailed.



Figure 19. Renewable Curtailment Rule of Thumb

Figure 20 summarizes the balance area cost increase relative to the 2024 SJGS Retires Case. Again, the cost increases are driven primarily by two factors (1) renewable curtailment (2) higher load following targets.





VIII. ENERGY STORAGE AND FLEXIBLE GENERATION ANALYSIS

The final analysis included the addition of conventional CT capacity and energy storage to 2024 SJGS Retires Case and higher penetration scenarios.

First, the resources were added to the 2024 SJGS Retires Case. A 5% load following target was assumed to determine whether or not the addition of flexible generation would improve system reliability. The following resources were added to the system: (1) 2 LM 6000 at 40 MW each, (2) 100 MW battery with 2 hour storage, (3) 100 MW batter with 4 hour storage, and (4) 100 MW batter with 6 hour storage. The LM6000 resources were modeled after La Luz and Lordsburg while the battery resource characteristics were generic. The battery was assumed to be able to serve 100 MW of regulation up and down and had aa 90% charging efficiency. The battery was modeled with an EFOR of 4%. Table 27 shows the 2024 SJGS Retires Case results, Table 28 shows the 40% renewable penetration results and Table 29 shows the 50% renewable penetration results. In looking

at the 2024 SJGS Retires Case results with a minimal amount of renewable curtailment, the energy storage does not provide much value. In fact, if we take the total balance area costs delta between the base case and each of the 2, 4, and 6 hour storage scenarios, the energy storage only provides between 17/kW-yr to 34/kW-yr in annual value¹⁷. The benefit would not support a new build energy storage project. The 2 LM6000 resources provide a total of 37/kW-yr in annual value. Because the load following target isn't increased in any of these runs, the LOLE_{FLEX} reduction is minimal. Also, since the CT resources have a start time of 10 minutes, the results show that the majority of the LOLE_{FLEX} events are less than 10 minutes and can only be avoided by having more online reserves. While the storage provides online spin, SERVM is counting it towards the 5% load following requirement and therefore the LOLE_{FLEX} is not impacted although it is serving as a cheaper online reserve option.

| | BA Renewable Penetration/ PNM Renewable Gen | LF Target | Curtailment | Curtailment | LOLE _{CAP} | LOLE _{FLEX} | PNM Balance Area Costs |
|---|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| | % of Load/GWh | % of Load | % | MWh | Events Per Year | Events Per Year | M\$ |
| 2024 SJ Retires | 19%/2,714 | 5% | 0.98% | 26,952 | 0.0747 | 0.38 | 478.78 |
| 2024 SJ Retires and 2 LM6000 (80 MW) | 19%/2,714 | 5% | 0.92% | 25,306 | 0.03 | 0.32 | 475.85 |
| 2024 SJ Retires and 100 MW 2 hour storage | 19%/2,714 | 5% | 0.92% | 25,206 | 0.0863 | 0.38 | 477.06 |
| 2024 SJ Retires and 100 MW 4 hour storage | 19%/2,714 | 5% | 0.84% | 23,019 | 0.0678 | 0.37 | 475.87 |
| 2024 SJ Retires and 100 MW 6 hour storage | 19%/2,714 | 5% | 0.85% | 23,354 | 0.0788 | 0.31 | 475.39 |

Table 27. 2024 SJ Retires Case: Add Flexible Generation and Energy Storage

 17 (\$478.78M - 477.06M)/100,000 kW = \$17/kW-yr

Table 28 shows the same analysis for the 40% penetration scenario. Recall that this portfolio is already reliable from an LOLE_{CAP} standpoint since only incremental renewable resources were added to the 2024 SJGS Retires Case to achieve higher penetration levels. The 2 LM6000 resources provide 37/kW-yr in value but do not reduce renewable curtailment or LOLE_{FLEX}. The energy storage resource greatly reduces renewable curtailment and produces a value of 163/kW-yr to 195/kW-yr. The reason the energy storage resource provides such tremendous value is it is providing extremely cheap load following and reducing renewable curtailment.

| | BA Renewable Penetration/ PNM Renewable Gen | LF Target | Curtailment | Curtailment | LOLE _{CAP} | LOLE _{FLEX} | PNM Balance Area Costs |
|---|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | % of Load/GWh | % of Load | % | MWh | Events Per Year | Events Per Year | M\$ |
| 2024 SJ Retires | | | | | | | |
| 40% RPS (66.7% Wind) | 38%/5,493 | 14% | 11.46% | 634,370 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 520.07 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 40% RPS (66.7% Wind) and 2 LM6000 (80 MW) | 38%/5,493 | 14% | 11.55% | 638,933 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 517.14 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 40% RPS (66.7% Wind) and 100 MW 2 hour storage | 38%/5,493 | 14% | 8.72% | 482,265 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 503.79 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 40% RPS (66.7% Wind) and 100 MW 4 hour storage | 38%/5,493 | 14% | 8.18% | 452,470 | 0 | 0.12 | 500.73 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 40% RPS (66.7% Wind) and 100 MW 6 hour storage | 38%/5,493 | 14% | 8.07% | 446,422 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 500.6 |

 Table 28.
 2024 40% Renewable Penetration:
 Add Flexible Generation and Energy Storage

Take Figure 21 for example which shows the renewable curtailment for a high penetration scenario. The red line represents the total renewable output plus must run nuclear resources online. The blue line represents load. In hours 11 through 17, a significant amount of renewable curtailment occurs. If an energy storage resource can shift this energy for use later in the day, substantial savings can be realized for the system. The results show that moving from 4 hour to 6 hour storage only provides marginally more savings and is likely not worth the additional capital costs.



Figure 21. Renewable Curtailment Daily Example

Table 29 shows the 50% results. Similar to the 40% renewable penetration results, the energy storage provides a value of \$221/kW-yr to \$255/kW-yr. Renewable Curtailment is reduced from 18% of the fleet down to 13% - 15% of the fleet. This analysis further shows the importance energy storage will play as renewable penetrations increase significantly.

Table 29. 2024 50% Renewable Penetration: Add Energy Storage

| | BA Renewable Penetration/ PNM Renewable Gen | LF Target | Curtailment | Curtailment | LOLE _{CAP} | LOLE _{FLEX} | PNM Balance Area Costs |
|--|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| | % of Load/GWh | % of Load | % | MWh | Events Per Year | Events Per Year | M\$ |
| 2024 SJ Retires 50% RPS (66.7% Wind) | 48%/6,960 | 14% | 17.97% | 1,257,800 | 0.03 | 0.43 | 543.08 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 50% RPS (66.7% Wind) and 100 MW 2 hour storage | 48%/6,960 | 14% | 14.52% | 1,016,502 | 0.01 | 0.43 | 520.99 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 50% RPS (66.7% Wind) and 100 MW 4 hour storage | 48%/6,960 | 14% | 13.88% | 971,548 | 0.01 | 0.39 | 517.84 |
| 2024 SJ Retires 50% RPS (66.7% Wind) and 100 MW 6 hour storage | 48%/6,960 | 14% | 13.73% | 961,211 | 0 | 0.4 | 517.53 |

PNM: Powering New Mexico Since 1917

About PNM

Founded in 1917 as Albuquerque Gas and Electric Company, PNM is a subsidiary of PNM Resources, a utility holding company based in Albuquerque, NM, and the only New Mexicoheadquartered company traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE:PNM). PNM employs more than 1,500 New Mexicans.



Albuquerque Gas and Electric Company Headquarters on 5th and Central in 1938

Reliable, Affordable Electricity for Homes and Businesses

PNM provides electricity to more than 500,000 New Mexicans living in seven pueblos and more than 40 communities, including Albuquerque, Santa Fe, Rio Rancho, Belen, Alamogordo, Las Vegas, Ruidoso, Deming and Silver City.

- · Consistently in top quartile performance nationally for reliability.
- Average residential bills in the top 25 percent for affordability in the region based on percent of annual household income.
- Industrial rates ranked in the top 25 percent for affordability for the region.

PNM Good Neighbor Fund

Funded by PNM customers, employees and shareholders, this program provides financial assistance to customers who are experiencing financial challenges and meet certain income and account requirements. Qualified customers may receive payment towards a past-due PNM electric bill. Learn more at **PNM.com/goodneighbor-fund.**

Investing in the Community

Each year, PNM and the PNM Resources Foundation assist New Mexico communities with more than \$3 million of support to nonprofit organizations. Since 1983, the Foundation has invested more than \$14 million in local nonprofit organizations to build strong and vibrant communities. Through funding from PNM shareholders, employees, customers and our company, PNM also invests in the communities it serves in three key areas education, economic development and the environment. Learn more at **PNM.com/community-investments.**

Strong Environmental Stewards

PNM is committed to providing responsible leadership for the preservation of the environment and to continuously improve our operations to reduce environmental impact. For information on key environmental areas, including clean energy, energy conservation, avian protection, waste reduction, water conservation, natural resource protection and other areas. Learn more at **PNM.com/envir-highlights.**

Energy Efficiency

PNM offers rebates and services to customers to reduce the initial cost of energy efficiency improvements, helping customers to save money and protect the environment. Learn more at **PNM.com/save.**

PNM Sky Blue®

PNM Sky Blue is a voluntary program that allows customers to purchase wind and solar energy at a premium price to show their commitment to the environment. Customers can purchase 100 kWh "blocks" of electricity or sign up for a set percentage of their usage. Learn more at **PNM.com/pnm-sky-blue1.**

